



Copyright © 2015-2016, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut. All rights reserved.

2016 Edition

Motion for Summary Judgment

A Guide to Resources in the Law Library

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Section 1: Motion for Summary Judgment	4
Figure 1: Motion for Summary Judgment (Form)	10
Section 2: Objection to Motion for Summary Judgment	12
Section 3: Affidavits and Documentary Proof	16
Section 4: Partial Summary Judgment	20

*Prepared by Connecticut Judicial Branch, Superior Court Operations,
Judge Support Services, Law Library Services Unit*

lawlibrarians@jud.ct.gov

These guides are provided with the understanding that they represent only a beginning to research. It is the responsibility of the person doing legal research to come to his or her own conclusions about the authoritativeness, reliability, validity, and currency of any resource cited in this research guide.

View our other pathfinders at
<http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawlib/selfguides.htm#Pathfinders>

This guide links to advance release slip opinions on the Connecticut Judicial Branch website and to case law hosted on Google Scholar.
The online versions are for informational purposes only.

See Also:

- [Answer, Special Defense, Counterclaim and Setoff to a Civil Complaint](#)
- [Motion to Dismiss](#)
- [Motion to Strike](#)
- [Oral Argument in Civil Matters](#)
- [Request to Revise](#)

[Connecticut Judicial Branch Website Policies and Disclaimers](http://www.jud.ct.gov/policies.htm)
<http://www.jud.ct.gov/policies.htm>

Introduction

A Guide to Resources in the Law Library

- **Motion for Summary Judgment:** "In any action, including administrative appeals which are enumerated in Section 14-7, any party may move for a summary judgment as to any claim or defense as a matter of right at any time if no scheduling order exists and the case has not been assigned for trial." Conn. P.B. [sec. 17-44](#) (2016).
- **Opposition to Summary Judgment:** "Any adverse party may, within ten days of the filing of the motion with the court, file a request for extension of time to respond to said motion. The clerk shall grant such request and cause the motion to appear on the short calendar not less than thirty days from the filing of the request. Any adverse party shall at least five days before the date the motion is to be considered on the short calendar file opposing affidavits and other available documentary evidence. Affidavits, and other documentary proof not already a part of the file, shall be filed and served as are pleadings." Conn. P.B. [sec. 17-45](#) (2016).
- **Affidavits:** "Supporting and opposing affidavits shall be made on personal knowledge, shall set forth such facts as would be admissible in evidence, and shall show affirmatively that the affiant is competent to testify to the matters stated therein. Sworn or certified copies of all papers or parts thereof referred to in an affidavit shall be attached thereto." Conn. P.B. [sec. 17-46](#) (2016).
- **Judgment:** "The judgment sought shall be rendered forthwith if the pleadings, affidavits and any other proof submitted show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Conn. P.B. [sec. 17-49](#) (2016).
- **Material Fact:** "is a fact which will make a difference in the result of the case." [Hosp. of Cent. Connecticut v. Neurosurgical Associates, P.C.](#), 139 Conn. App. 778, 783, 57 A.3d 794, 797 (2012).
- **Partial Summary Judgment as to Liability:** "A summary judgment, interlocutory in character, may be rendered on the issue of liability alone, although there is a genuine issue as to damages. In such case the judicial authority shall order an immediate hearing before a judge trial referee, before the court, or before a jury, whichever may be proper, to determine the amount of the damages. If the determination is by a jury, the usual procedure for setting aside the verdict shall be applicable. Upon the conclusion of these proceedings, the judicial authority shall forthwith render the appropriate summary judgment." Conn. P.B. [sec. 17-50](#) (2016).

Section 1: Motion for Summary Judgment

A Guide to Resources in the Law Library

SCOPE:

Bibliographic resources relating to general information on motions for summary judgment.

DEFINITIONS:

- **Summary judgment:** "is a method of resolving litigation when pleadings, affidavits, and any other proof submitted show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law...The motion for summary judgment is designed to eliminate the delay and expense of litigating an issue when there is no real issue to be tried." [Wilson v. New Haven](#), 213 Conn. 277, 279, 567 A.2d 829 (1989).
- **Standard of Review:** "In deciding a motion for summary judgment, the trial court must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party." [Robinson v. Cianfarani](#), 314 Conn. 521, 524, 107 A.3d 375 (2014).
- "[T]he trial court does not sit as the trier of fact when ruling on a motion for summary judgment.... [Its] function is not to decide issues of material fact, but rather to determine whether any such issues exist." [Vestuti v. Miller](#), 124 Conn. App. 138, 142, 3 A.3d 1046 (2010).

COURT RULES:

Amendments to the Practice Book (Court Rules) are published in the Connecticut Law Journal and posted [online](#).

- Connecticut Practice Book (2016)
 - § [11-10](#). Requirement That Memorandum of Law Be Filed with Certain Motions.
 - § [17-44](#). Summary Judgments; Scope of Remedy.
 - § [17-45](#). --Proceedings upon Motion for Summary Judgment; Request for Extension of Time to Respond.
 - § [17-46](#). --Form of Affidavits.
 - § [17-47](#). --When Appropriate Documents Are Unavailable
 - § [17-48](#). --Affidavits Made in Bad Faith
 - § [17-49](#). --Judgment
 - § [17-50](#). --Triable Issue as to Damages Only
 - § [17-51](#). --Judgment for Part of Claim

FORMS:

- 3 Joel M. Kaye, Connecticut Practice Series, [Connecticut Civil Practice Forms](#) (2004).
 - 106.15 Motion for Summary Judgment
- 18 Erin Carlson, Connecticut Practice Series, [Summary Judgment & Related Termination Motions](#) (2015).
 - Chapter 3. Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication
 - § 3:128 Sample supporting and opposition briefs
 - Motion for summary judgment by defendant
 - Notice of motion for summary judgment

- § 3:129 Sample supporting and opposition briefs
— Motion for summary judgment by defendant — Negligence action — Failure to produce evidence of injury caused by breach of duty — Motion
- § 3:130 Sample supporting and opposition briefs
— Motion for summary judgment by defendant — Action alleging violation of franchise act and unfair trade practices act — Defendant not a “franchise” within meaning of statute — Motion
- § 3:131 Sample supporting and opposition briefs
— Motion for summary judgment by defendant — Memorandum of points and authorities in support of motion for summary judgment — Defamation
- § 3:132 Sample supporting and opposition briefs
— Motion for summary judgment by defendant — Memorandum of points and authorities in support of motion for summary judgment — Negligence action against bus company
- § 3:133 Sample supporting and opposition briefs
— Motion for summary judgment by defendant — Memorandum of points and authorities in support of motion for summary judgment — Negligence action against owner of premises

- Ralph P. Dupont, [Dupont on Connecticut Civil Practice](#) (2015-2016).
 - F.17-44 Motion for Summary Judgment
- Robert M. Singer, [Library of Connecticut Collection Law Forms](#) (2016).
 - 6-012 Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment Liquidated Damages Provision
 - 9-020 Motion for Summary Judgment
 - 9-032 Motion for Summary Judgment
 - 19-006 Motion for Summary Judgment on Counterclaim—Based on Statute of Limitations
- Kimberly A. Peterson, [Civil Litigation in Connecticut: Anatomy of a Lawsuit](#) (1998).
 - Chapter 27. Motion for Summary Judgment
 - Example 3, Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum of Law pp. 257-260.

CASES:

- [Brown v. Otake](#), 164 Conn App. 686, 708 (2016).
“According to the court's articulation, summary judgment was warranted on the negligent misrepresentation count because ‘[t]here were no facts presented that showed negligent representation on the part of these defendants.’

Once you have identified useful cases, it is important to update the cases before you rely on them. Updating case law means checking to see if the cases are still good law. You can [contact your local law librarian](#) to learn about the tools available to you to update cases.

With respect to the count alleging intentional misrepresentation, the court articulated that it granted summary judgment because '[t]here were no facts presented that any misrepresentations were made, negligent or false.' On the basis of our review of the record, including the arguments of the parties at summary judgment, we construe the court's ruling as holding that the plaintiff failed to present any evidence rebutting the proof submitted by the defendants showing that the representations on which the plaintiff relies as misrepresentations were never made, thereby establishing a lack of a genuine issue of material fact on an essential element necessary to prevail at trial on either misrepresentation count. That conclusion is legally and logically correct, and supported by the record. Once the defendants presented evidence demonstrating the lack of a genuine issue of material fact regarding the existence of a misrepresentation of fact, the evidentiary burden shifted to the plaintiff, and he could no longer rely solely upon the allegations in his complaint. To survive summary judgment, the plaintiff needed to marshal some evidence countering that submitted by the defendants, and it was not the court's responsibility to search the evidentiary record provided by the moving party on his behalf. Having failed to present any evidence himself or to reference any portion of the evidence submitted by the defendants, the plaintiff failed to meet his burden. Accordingly, we conclude that the court properly granted summary judgment on the misrepresentation counts as a matter of law."

- Ramos v. J.J. Mottes Co., Superior Court, Judicial District of Hartford, CV 09-6006373-S (December 1, 2015) (61 Conn. L. Rptr. 329). "Because a party may now file a motion for summary judgment even before the pleadings are closed, a party no longer must plead a time limitation as a special defense prior to moving for summary judgment. 'If [the court] were to hold that a motion for summary judgment cannot be made prior to pleading a statute of limitations as a special defense, [the court] would negate that portion of §[17-44] that provides that a motion for summary judgment can be made "at any time," without the necessity of closing the pleadings.' (Footnote omitted.) *Girard v. Weiss*, 43 Conn. App. 397, 416, 682 A.2d 1078, cert. denied, 239 Conn. 946, 686 A.2d 121 (1996). 'When there is no such material fact in dispute or where there is agreement of the parties as to every relevant fact, we conclude that the pleadings need not be closed in order to move for summary judgment.' *Id.*, 417. In *Girard*, the court held that since the parties did not disagree as to the facts, the trial court could properly consider the motion for summary judgment. *Id.*"

Once you have identified useful cases, it is important to update the cases before you rely on them. Updating case law means checking to see if the cases are still good law. You can [contact your local law librarian](#) to learn about the tools available to you to update cases.

- [Mott v. Wal-Mart Stores E., LP](#), 139 Conn. App. 618, 628, 57 A.3d 391, 397 (2012). "To prevail on a motion for summary judgment, however, the defendant had an obligation to negate the factual claims as framed by the complaint. To that end, it was incumbent on the defendant to provide the court with more than its belief that it was 'readily evident' that the plaintiff ultimately would be unable to meet his obligation at trial to produce evidence to prove that the defendant had actual or constructive notice of the alleged defect. In other words, before the plaintiff had acquired any obligation to produce evidence that would tend to show that the defendant, in fact, had notice of the defect, the defendant had the burden of producing evidentiary support for its assertion that its lack of notice was an undisputed fact."
- [Kindred Nursing Centers E., LLC v. Morin](#), 125 Conn. App. 165, 169-70, 7 A.3d 919, 921 (2010). "Before addressing the merits of the plaintiff's arguments, we must address our authority to consider them. Ordinarily, the denial of a motion for summary judgment is not appealable. *Brown & Brown, Inc. v. Blumenthal*, 288 Conn. 646, 653, 954 A.2d 816 (2008). That rule does not apply, however, if the moving party was not afforded the opportunity to have a full trial on the merits. *Bristol v. Vogelsonger*, 21 Conn.App. 600, 609, 575 A.2d 252 (1990). Because the trial court in this case granted the defendant's motion for summary judgment, the plaintiff's appeal falls within this exception to the general rule, and, accordingly, it is properly before us."
- [Brown & Brown, Inc. v. Blumenthal](#), 288 Conn. 646, 653, 954 A.2d 816, 821-22 (2008). "In the present case, the plaintiff appeals from the trial court's denial of its motion for summary judgment. The denial of a motion for summary judgment does not result in a judgment, however, and no judgment therefore was rendered. 'As a general rule, an interlocutory ruling may not be appealed pending the final disposition of a case. See, e.g., *Doublewal Corp. v. Toffolon*, 195 Conn. 384, 388, 488 A.2d 444 (1985); see also *State v. Curcio*, [supra, 191 Conn. at 30, 463 A.2d 566] (right of appeal is purely statutory and is limited to appeals by aggrieved parties from final judgments). The denial of a motion for summary judgment ordinarily is an interlocutory ruling and, accordingly, not a final judgment for purposes of appeal. See, e.g., *Connecticut National Bank v. Rytman*, 241 Conn. 24, 34, 694 A.2d 1246 (1997).'"
- [Curry v. Allan S. Goodman, Inc.](#), 95 Conn. App. 147, 152-53, 895 A.2d 266 (2006). "Here, the defendant requested oral argument on its motion for summary judgment and, although the matter initially had appeared on the short calendar, both counsel initially agreed that the matter

should be marked 'off,' and it was only later reclaimed for oral argument when the court did not respond to the plaintiff's preliminary objection to the motion. Additionally, once the matter had been reclaimed by the defendant for oral argument on October 7 and again on November 17, 2004, it does not appear that either party actually marked the matter ready for adjudication on either date. Although it was not the responsibility of the court to schedule a hearing on the defendant's motion absent the filing of a request for adjudication, in this instance we believe that the court either should have notified counsel that it did not intend to respond in piecemeal fashion to counsel's preliminary objection or taken no action on the motion for summary judgment until such time as the parties, in fact, marked the motion for summary judgment ready for adjudication."

- [Krevis v. City of Bridgeport](#), 262 Conn. 813, 823-24, 817 A.2d 628 (2003). "In the present case, the colloquy between the plaintiff's counsel and the court reveals that the plaintiff's counsel was well aware of the procedural requirements for a motion for summary judgment. Nevertheless, after having conferred with his client, the plaintiff's counsel asked the court to rule immediately on the question of law in order to avoid presenting evidence for several days, after which the court might grant a motion for a directed verdict. We are satisfied on this record that the plaintiff's counsel knowingly waived compliance with the procedural provisions of the Practice Book relating to motions for summary judgment."
- [R.I. Waterman Prop., Inc. v. Misiorski](#), 51 Conn. App. 659, 661, 725 A.2d 340, 341 (1999). "We agree with the defendants that until the motion for permission to file a summary judgment motion is granted, § 17-45 does not come into effect. Once notice is given of the granting of permission to file a summary judgment motion, the motion for summary judgment should be placed on the short calendar not fewer than fifteen days from the giving of the notice. This gives the adverse party the opportunity to file opposing affidavits and other available documentary evidence as set forth in § 17-45."

WEST KEY NUMBERS:

TREATISES:

You can click on the links provided to see which law libraries own the title you are interested in, or visit our [catalog](#) directly to search for more treatises.

- *Judgments*, Key Numbers 178-190
- Kimberly A. Peterson, [Civil Litigation in Connecticut: Anatomy of a Lawsuit](#) (1998).
 - Chapter 27. Motion for Summary Judgment
- Jeanine M. Dumont, [Pleadings and Pretrial Practice: A Deskbook for Connecticut Litigators](#) (1998 ed.).
 - Chapter XI. Motion for Summary Judgment

- 18 Erin Carlson, Connecticut Practice Series, [Summary Judgment & Related Termination Motions](#) (2015).
 - Chapter 3. Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication
- Ralph P. Dupont, [Dupont on Connecticut Civil Practice](#) (2015-2016).
 - Chapter 17. Judgments
 - G. Summary Judgments
- Renee Bevacqua Bollier, [Stephenson's Connecticut Civil Procedure](#) (1997).
 - Chapter 9. Disposition Short of Trial.
 - § 100. Summary Judgment.
- Corey M. Dennis, [Roadmap to Connecticut Procedure](#), 83 Connecticut Bar Journal 271 (2009).

LAW REVIEWS:

Public access to law review databases is available on-site at each of our law libraries.

Figure 1: Motion for Summary Judgment (Form)

Form 105.1, Heading and Form 106.15, Motion for Summary Judgment.

No. _____

Superior Court

(First Named Plaintiff)

Judicial District of _____

v.

at _____

(First Named Defendant)

(Date)

Motion for Summary Judgment

(Motion By the Plaintiff)

The plaintiff claims that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact in the complaint and moves for a summary judgment and submits herewith the following affidavits and other documentary proof:

(list items attached)

(Motion By the Plaintiff – Liability Only)

The plaintiff claims that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact with respect to liability and moves for a summary judgment interlocutory in character on the issue of liability alone, and submits herewith the following affidavits and other documentary proof:

(list items attached)

The plaintiff further moves for an order for an immediate hearing before a referee (or the court or the jury) to determine the amount of the damages.

(Motion By the Defendant)

The defendant claims that

the action is barred by the statute of limitations

or

the action is res adjudicata

or

the action is barred by laches

or

the plaintiff is estopped from making the claims set forth in the complaint

or

he was not the owner of the automobile involved in the collision, as alleged in the complaint

or

there is no genuine issue as to the utterance of the alleged slander

or

(other defense claimed)

and moves for a summary judgment, and submits herewith the following affidavits and other documentary proof:

(list items attached)

AFFIDAVIT

(Name and residence) being duly sworn, does hereby depose and say

1. This affidavit is made on my own personal knowledge.
2. I am ____ years of age and competent to testify to the matters stated herein.
3. *(Set forth facts relevant and admissible in evidence)*

Subscribed and sworn to before me *(date)*

([Title of Person Taking Oath])

Sworn or certified copies of all papers or parts thereof referred to in an affidavit shall be attached thereto. (Rules, Sec. 381).

(P.B. 1963, Form 536; See Rules, Secs. 379-386 inclusive.)

Section 2: Objection to Motion for Summary Judgment

A Guide to Resources in the Law Library

SCOPE:

Bibliographic resources relating to objections to motions for summary judgment.

DEFINITIONS:

- "Any adverse party may, **within ten days** of the filing of the motion with the court, file a request for extension of time to respond to the motion. The clerk shall grant such request and cause the motion to appear on the short calendar not less than thirty days from the filing of the request. Any adverse party shall **at least five days** before the date the motion is to be considered on the short calendar file opposing affidavits and other available documentary evidence. Affidavits, and other documentary proof not already a part of the file, shall be filed and served as are pleadings." Conn. P.B. [sec. 17-45](#) (2016).
- "A party opposing a motion for summary judgment must provide an evidentiary foundation to demonstrate the existence of a genuine issue of material fact." [Barlow v. Palmer](#), 96 Conn. App. 88, 92, 898 A.2d 835, 837-38 (2006).

COURT RULES:

Amendments to the Practice Book (Court Rules) are published in the Connecticut Law Journal and posted [online](#).

- Connecticut Practice Book (2016)
 - § [11-10](#). Requirement That Memorandum of Law Be Filed with Certain Motions.
 - § [17-44](#). Summary Judgments; Scope of Remedy.
 - § [17-45](#). --Proceedings upon Motion for Summary Judgment; Request for Extension of Time to Respond.
 - § [17-46](#). --Form of Affidavits.
 - § [17-47](#). --When Appropriate Documents Are Unavailable
 - § [17-48](#). --Affidavits Made in Bad Faith
 - § [17-49](#). --Judgment
 - § [17-50](#). --Triable Issue as to Damages Only
 - § [17-51](#). --Judgment for Part of Claim

FORMS:

- 18 Erin Carlson, Connecticut Practice Series, [Summary Judgment & Related Termination Motions](#) (2015).
 - Chapter 3. Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication
 - § 3:137 Sample supporting and opposition briefs — Motion for summary judgment — Plaintiff's opposition — Memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to motion for summary judgment
 - § 3:138 Motion for summary judgment — Plaintiff's opposition — Memorandum of

points and authorities in opposition to motion for partial summary judgment — Negligent and intentional infliction of emotional distress

§ 3:139 Sample supporting and opposition briefs — Motion for summary judgment by defendant — Plaintiff's opposition — Written objections to evidence submitted in support of motion for summary judgment

- Kimberly A. Peterson, [Civil Litigation in Connecticut: Anatomy of a Lawsuit](#) (1998).
Chapter 27. Motion for Summary Judgment
 - Example 2, Objection to Motion for Summary Judgment Interlocutory in Character, p. 256.
- Joseph D. Garrison, [Library of Connecticut Employment Law Forms](#) (2011).
Chapter 11. Summary Judgment.
 - 11-002 Memo of Law in Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment – Wrongful Termination – Section 31-51q
- Joshua Koskoff, [Library of Connecticut Personal Injury Forms](#) 2nd ed. (2014).
Chapter 6 Objection to Motions/Requests
 - 6-012 Objection to Motion for Summary Judgment—Statute of Limitations
 - 6-013 Objection to Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment
- [Squeo v. Norwalk Hosp. Ass'n](#), 316 Conn 558, 594, 113 A.3d 932 (2015). "As a general rule, then, '[w]hen a motion for summary judgment is filed and supported by affidavits and other documents, an adverse party, by affidavit or as otherwise provided by ... [the rules of practice], must set forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial, and if he does not so respond, summary judgment shall be entered against him.' (Footnote omitted.) *Farrell v. Farrell*, 182 Conn. 34, 38, 438 A.2d 415 (1980). 'Requiring the nonmovant to produce such evidence does not shift the burden of proof. Rather, it ensures that the nonmovant has not raised a specious issue for the sole purpose of forcing the case to trial.' *Great Country Bank v. Pastore*, supra, 241 Conn. at 436."
- [RAB Performance Recoveries, LLC v. James](#), 151 Conn. App. 360, 366-67 (2014). "Although the defendant filed an objection to the motion for summary judgment in which he argued that there was a genuine issue of material fact as to the plaintiff's ownership of the debt, our rules of procedure make clear that an unsworn and conclusory assertion is

CASES:

Once you have identified useful cases, it is important to update the cases before you rely on them. Updating case law means checking to see if the cases are still good law. You can [contact your local law librarian](#) to learn about the tools available to you to update cases.

Once you have identified useful cases, it is important to update the cases before you rely on them. Updating case law means checking to see if the cases are still good law. You can [contact your local law librarian](#) to learn about the tools available to you to update cases.

insufficient to defeat a motion for summary judgment. See Practice Book § 17–45; *2830 Whitney Avenue Corp. v. Heritage Canal Development Associates, Inc.*, 33 Conn.App. 563, 567, 636 A.2d 1377 (1994) ('existence of [a] genuine issue of material fact must be demonstrated by counteraffidavits and concrete evidence')."

- [Rockwell v. Quintner](#), 96 Conn. App. 221, 229-30, 899 A.2d 738, 743-44 (2006). "An important exception exists, however, to the general rule that a party opposing summary judgment must provide evidentiary support for its opposition, and that exception has been articulated in our jurisprudence with less frequency than has the general rule. 'On a motion by [the] defendant for summary judgment the burden is on [the] defendant to negate each claim as framed by the complaint....' 49 C.J.S. 365, Judgments § 261(b) (1997). It necessarily follows that it is only '[o]nce [the] defendant's burden in establishing his entitlement to summary judgment is met [that] the burden shifts to [the] plaintiff to show that a genuine issue of fact exists justifying a trial.' 49 C.J.S. 366, supra, § 261(b). Accordingly, '[w]hen documents submitted in support of a motion for summary judgment fail to establish that there is no genuine issue of material fact, the nonmoving party has no obligation to submit documents establishing the existence of such an issue.' *Allstate Ins. Co. v. Barron*, supra, 269 Conn. at 405, 848 A.2d 1165."
- [Inwood Condo. Ass'n v. Winer](#), 49 Conn. App. 694, 697, 716 A. 2d 139 (1998). "To oppose a motion for summary judgment successfully, the nonmovant must recite specific facts in accordance with Practice Book (1998 Rev.) § § 17–45 and 17–46, formerly §§ 380 and 381, which contradict those stated in the movant's affidavits and documents and show that there is a genuine issue for trial. If he does not so respond, summary judgment shall be entered against him. *Farrell v. Farrell*, 182 Conn. 34, 38, 438 A.2d 415 (1980); *Rusco Industries, Inc. v. Hartford Housing Authority*, 168 Conn. 1, 5, 357 A.2d 484 (1975)."

WEST KEY NUMBERS:

TREATISES:

You can click on the links provided to see which law libraries own the title you are interested in, or visit our [catalog](#) directly to search for more treatises.

- *Judgments*, Key Numbers 178-190
- Kimberly A. Peterson, [Civil Litigation in Connecticut: Anatomy of a Lawsuit](#) (1998).
 - Chapter 27. Motion for Summary Judgment
- Jeanine M. Dumont, [Pleadings and Pretrial Practice: A Deskbook for Connecticut Litigators](#) (1998 ed.).
 - Chapter XI. Motion for Summary Judgment
 - 5. Opposition to the Motion

- 18 Erin Carlson, Connecticut Practice Series, [Summary Judgment & Related Termination Motions](#) (2015).
 - Chapter 3. Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication
 - V. Key Opposition Citations
- Ralph P. Dupont, [Dupont on Connecticut Civil Practice](#) (2015-2016).
 - Chapter 17. Judgments
 - G. Summary Judgments
- Renee Bevacqua Bollier, [Stephenson's Connecticut Civil Procedure](#) (1997).
 - Chapter 9. Disposition Short of Trial.
 - § 100. Summary Judgment.
- Corey M. Dennis, [Roadmap to Connecticut Procedure](#), 83 Connecticut Bar Journal 271 (2009).

LAW REVIEWS:

Public access to law review databases is available on-site at each of our law libraries.

Section 3: Affidavits and Documentary Proof

A Guide to Resources in the Law Library

SCOPE:

Bibliographic resources relating to affidavits and documentary proof in support of motions for summary judgment.

DEFINITIONS:

- “Supporting and opposing **affidavits** shall be made on personal knowledge, shall set forth such facts as would be admissible in evidence, and shall show affirmatively that the affiant is competent to testify to the matters stated therein. Sworn or certified copies of all papers or part thereof referred to in an affidavit shall be attached thereto.” Conn. P.B. sec. [17-46](#) (2016).
- **Affidavit:** “...defined as any voluntary ex parte statement reduced to writing, and sworn to or affirmed before some person legally authorized to administer an oath or affirmation.” *Wiretek, Inc. v. J.M. Taraerin Enterprises, LLC*, Superior Court, Judicial District of Hartford, No. HHD04-CV06-6002110-S (May 25, 2010) (2010 WL 2593271).
- “It is frequently stated in Connecticut's case law that, pursuant to Practice Book §§ 17-45 and 17-46, a party opposing a summary judgment motion must provide an **evidentiary foundation** to demonstrate the existence of a genuine issue of material fact.” *Rockwell v. Quintner*, 96 Conn. App. 221, 899 A.2d 738 (2006).
- **Personal knowledge:** “is variously described as knowledge acquired firsthand or from observation...” *Amos Fin., LLC v. Ctr. for Advanced Pediatrics, P.C.*, Superior Court, Judicial District of Stamford-Norwalk, No. CV11-6011064-S (March 11, 2013) (2013 WL 1364714).

STATUTES:

- Conn. Gen. Stat. (2015)
[Chapter 901](#) - Damages, Costs and Fees
§ [52-245](#). False statement concerning defense. Costs

COURT RULES:

Amendments to the Practice Book (Court Rules) are published in the Connecticut Law Journal and posted [online](#).

- Connecticut Practice Book (2016)
 - § [17-44](#). Summary Judgments; Scope of Remedy
 - § [17-45](#). --Proceedings upon Motion for Summary Judgment; Request for Extension of Time to Respond
 - § [17-46](#). --Form of Affidavits
 - § [17-47](#). --When Appropriate Documents Are Unavailable
 - § [17-48](#). --Affidavits Made in Bad Faith.
 - § [17-49](#). --Judgment

CODE OF EVIDENCE:

- Connecticut Code of Evidence (2000)
 - [9-1](#). Requirement of Authentication

FORMS:

- 18 Erin Carlson, Connecticut Practice Series, [Summary Judgment & Related Termination Motions](#) (2015).
 - Chapter 3. Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication
 - VI. Sample Forms
 - § 3:316 —Affidavit in support of motion for summary judgment

CASES:

Once you have identified useful cases, it is important to update the cases before you rely on them. Updating case law means checking to see if the cases are still good law. You can [contact your local law librarian](#) to learn about the tools available to you to update cases.

- [Taylor v. Barberino](#), 136 Conn. App. 283, 289-90, 44 A.3d 875, 878 (2012). "As this court has observed, '[o]nly evidence that would be admissible at trial may be used to support or oppose a motion for summary judgment' *United Services Automobile Assn. v. Marburg*, 46 Conn.App. 99, 110, 698 A.2d 914 (1997). The affidavits of Draskinis and Sgambati, in particular, plainly do not contain statements based on personal knowledge, as required under Practice Book § 17-46. Indeed, with respect to Draskinis, the court found, and we agree, that 'much of what is sworn to ... does [not] constitute facts that would be admissible at trial. Rather, much of the document consists of [statements] about matters which he has only learned of through reviews of deposition testimony, and offering statements that sound more like legal arguments than statements of fact.'"
- [Baldwin v. Curtis](#), 105 Conn. App. 844, 852, 939 A.2d 1249, 1254 (2008). "As the defendant's evidence failed to negate a genuine issue of material fact, the plaintiff was not obligated to submit documents establishing the existence of such an issue. See *Rockwell v. Quintner*, *supra*, 96 Conn.App. at 228, 899 A.2d 738 (defendant's evidence failed to '[exclude] any real doubt as to the existence of any genuine issue of material fact'). Having failed to negate a genuine issue of material fact, the defendant did not meet her burden of establishing that, as a matter of law, summary judgment should have been rendered in her favor."
- [City of New Haven v. Pantani](#), 89 Conn. App. 675, 679, 874 A. 2d 849 (2005). "[B]efore a document may be considered by the court in support of a motion for summary judgment, 'there must be a preliminary showing of [the document's] genuineness, i.e., that the proffered item of evidence is what its proponent claims it to be. The requirement of authentication applies to all types of evidence, including writings' Conn.Code Evid. § 9-1(a), commentary. Documents in support of or in opposition to a motion for summary judgment may be authenticated in a variety of ways, including, but not limited to, a certified copy of a document or the addition of an affidavit by a person with personal knowledge that the offered evidence is a true and accurate representation of what its proponent claims it to be."

Once you have identified useful cases, it is important to update the cases before you rely on them. Updating case law means checking to see if the cases are still good law. You can [contact your local law librarian](#) to learn about the tools available to you to update cases.

- [Paine Webber Jackson & Curtis, Inc. v. Winters](#), 13 Conn. App. 712, 721-22, 539 A.2d 595, 599-600 (1988).
“Pleadings per se do not constitute documentary proof under § 380. Allegations of pleadings not admitted by a party are not proof of their contents. They merely set forth the cause of action and the issues of fact and law raised in the pleadings. The framework of the case is built by the pleadings. Unadmitted allegations of pleadings do not constitute documentary proof of the existence of a genuine issue as to any material fact on a motion for summary judgment. The quantum of evidentiary proof admissible at trial relevant to these allegations, or any later amendment of them, is not documentary proof under § 380 probative of, or relevant to, the grant or denial of summary judgment. The court's consideration of a motion for summary judgment is limited to the evaluation as a matter of law of the documentary proof submitted under § 380. Additionally, in passing upon a motion for summary judgment, the trial court must view the documentary proof in the light most favorable to the nonmovant. *Rawling v. New Haven*, supra; *Strada v. Connecticut Newspapers, Inc.*, 193 Conn. 313, 317, 477 A.2d 1005 (1984); *Town Bank & Trust Co. v. Benson*, supra, 176 Conn. at 309, 407 A.2d 971; *United Oil Co. v. Urban Redevelopment Commission*, supra, 158 Conn. at 380, 260 A.2d 596.”

WEST KEY NUMBERS:

TREATISES:

You can click on the links provided to see which law libraries own the title you are interested in, or visit our [catalog](#) directly to search for more treatises.

- *Judgments*, Key Numbers 178-190
- Kimberly A. Peterson, [Civil Litigation in Connecticut: Anatomy of a Lawsuit](#) (1998).
 - Chapter 27. Motion for Summary Judgment
- Jeanine M. Dumont, [Pleadings and Pretrial Practice: A Deskbook for Connecticut Litigators](#) (1998 ed.).
 - Chapter XI. Motion for Summary Judgment
 - 3. The Mechanics of a Motion for Summary Judgment
- 18 Erin Carlson, Connecticut Practice Series, [Summary Judgment & Related Termination Motions](#) (2015).
 - § Chapter 3. Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication
- Ralph P. Dupont, [Dupont on Connecticut Civil Practice](#) (2015-2016).
 - Chapter 17. Judgments
 - § 17-45.3 Supporting Documents; Unsworn Statements and Reports Prohibited.
 - § 17-46.1 Affidavit, Facts Alleged Must be Admissible and Based on Affiant's Personal Knowledge.
 - § 17-46.2 Affidavit, Failure to File.

§ 17-48.1 Contempt; Discipline, Bad Faith
Affidavit

- Renee Bevacqua Bollier, [Stephenson's Connecticut Civil Procedure](#) (1997).
 - Chapter 9. Disposition Short of Trial.
 - § 100. Summary Judgment.
- James A. Fulton, [Succeeding by Summary Judgment: Is It Time to Recognize the Sham Affidavit Rule in the State Courts in Connecticut?](#) 23 Connecticut Lawyer 23 (2015)
- Corey M. Dennis, [Roadmap to Connecticut Procedure](#), 83 Connecticut Bar Journal 271 (2009).

**LAW REVIEWS
AND JOURNALS :**

Public access to law review databases is available on-site at each of our law libraries.

Section 4: Partial Summary Judgment

A Guide to Resources in the Law Library

SCOPE:

Bibliographic resources relating to partial (interlocutory) summary judgment as to liability only.

DEFINITIONS:

- **Partial Summary Judgment:** "A summary judgment, interlocutory in character, may be rendered on the issue of liability alone, although there is a genuine issue as to damages. In such case the judicial authority shall order an immediate hearing before a judge trial referee, before the court, or before a jury, whichever may be proper, to determine the amount of the damages. If the determination is by a jury, the usual procedure for setting aside the verdict shall be applicable. Upon the conclusion of these proceedings, the judicial authority shall forthwith render the appropriate summary judgment." Conn. P.B. [sec. 17-50](#) (2016).
- **Judgment for Part of Claim:** "If it appears that the defense applies to only part of the claim, or that any part is admitted, the moving party may have final judgment forthwith for so much of the claim as the defense does not apply to, or as is admitted, on such terms as may be just; and the action may be severed and proceeded with as respects the remainder of the claim." Conn. P.B. [sec. 17-51](#) (2016).

COURT RULES:

Amendments to the Practice Book (Court Rules) are published in the Connecticut Law Journal and posted [online](#).

- Connecticut Practice Book (2016)
 - § [17-44](#). Summary Judgments; Scope of Remedy.
 - § [17-50](#). --Triable Issue as to Damages Only
 - § [17-51](#). --Judgment for Part of Claim

FORMS:

- 3 Joel M. Kaye, Connecticut Practice Series, [Connecticut Civil Practice Forms](#) (2004).
 - 107.14 Interlocutory Summary Judgment
- 18 Erin Carlson, Connecticut Practice Series, [Summary Judgment & Related Termination Motions](#) (2015).
 - Chapter 3. Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication
 - § 3:134 Motion for summary judgment by defendant — Memorandum of points and authorities in support of motion for partial summary judgment — Negligent and intentional infliction of emotional distress
 - § 3:138 Motion for summary judgment — Plaintiff's opposition — Memorandum of

points and authorities in opposition to motion for partial summary judgment – Negligent and intentional infliction of emotional distress

- Ralph P. Dupont, [Dupont on Connecticut Civil Practice](#) (2015-2016).
 - F.17-50 Interlocutory Summary Judgment
- Kimberly A. Peterson, [Civil Litigation in Connecticut: Anatomy of a Lawsuit](#) (1998).
Chapter 27. Motion for Summary Judgment
 - Example 1, Motion for Summary Judgment Interlocutory in Character, with Respect to Liability p. 255.

CASES:

Once you have identified useful cases, it is important to update the cases before you rely on them. Updating case law means checking to see if the cases are still good law. You can [contact your local law librarian](#) to learn about the tools available to you to update cases.

- [Wahba v. J & J Blasting Corp.](#), Superior Court, Judicial District of Stamford-Norwalk, No. CV14-6020764-S (Nov. 4, 2014) (59 Conn. L. Rptr. 267) (2014 WL 6996849). “There is no appellate authority and a split among Superior Court authority as to whether it is proper to excise only certain allegations of a count through summary judgment when such judgment would not dispose of a discrete cause of action.’ *Trungadi v. Mauer*, Superior Court, judicial district of Fairfield, Docket No. CV-07-5008732-S (November 21, 2011, Bellis, J.) [53 Conn. L. Rptr. 9]. On one hand, some courts have granted partial summary judgment as to certain specifications of a cause of action contained within a single count, if it appears illogical to retain those specifications when they cannot ultimately succeed. *Mazurek v. Great American Insurance Company, Inc.*, Superior Court, judicial district of Waterbury, Complex Litigation Docket, Docket No. X02-CV-01-0177433-S (December 16, 2004, Schuman, J.) (38 Conn. L. Rptr. 402) (denying re-argument of a grant of summary judgment on fifty-one of the fifty-four specifications in a negligence count), aff’d in part and appeal dismissed in part, 284 Conn. 16 (2007). Other courts have stated, ‘[s]ummary judgment is unavailable as to particular allegations in a count when such an adjudication does not dispose of an entire cause of action...’ *Shelton Yacht & Cabana Club, Inc. v. Voccola*, Superior Court, judicial district of Ansonia-Milford, Docket No. CV-01-0075380-S (February 2, 2007, Stevens, J.).
- [GMAC Mortgage, LLC v. Ford](#), 144 Conn. App. 165, 176, 73 A.3d 742, 751 (2013). “[A] court may properly grant summary judgment as to liability in a foreclosure action if the complaint and supporting affidavits establish an undisputed prima facie case and the defendant fails to assert any legally sufficient special defense. See *LaSalle National Bank v. Shook*, 67 Conn.App. 93, 96-97, 787 A.2d 32 (2001); *Union Trust Co. v. Jackson*, 42 Conn.App. 413,

Once you have identified useful cases, it is important to update the cases before you rely on them. Updating case law means checking to see if the cases are still good law. You can [contact your local law librarian](#) to learn about the tools available to you to update cases.

417, 679 A.2d 421 (1996).”

- [Pfizer, Inc. v. Mine Safety Appliances Co.](#), Superior Court, Judicial District of Hartford, No. CV04-4034705S, (May 19, 2008) (45 Conn. L. Rptr. 577) (2008 WL 2314196). “While there still appears to be no definitive appellate authority and there continues to be a split in the Superior Court, ‘the majority of the cases do not allow a party to eliminate some, but not all, of the allegations of a single count through a motion for summary judgment.’ (Footnote omitted.) *Snodgrass v. Mulhearn*, Superior Court, judicial district of New Britain at New Britain, Docket No. HHB CV 03 0523029 (May 18, 2006, Shaban, J.) (noting absence of appellate authority and collecting cases). A recent explanation stated, ‘the majority rule ... is that Connecticut procedure does not allow entry of summary judgment on one part or allegation of a cause of action when the ruling will not dispose of an entire claim, and therefore, will not allow entry of judgment on that claim. See generally Practice Book § 17-51.’ (Footnote omitted.) *Bridgeport Harbor Place I, LLC v. Ganim*, Superior Court, judicial district of Waterbury, Complex Litigation Docket at Waterbury, Docket No. X06 CV 04 0184523 (October 5, 2007, Stevens, J.).”
- [Psaki v. Karlton](#), 97 Conn. App. 64, 70, 903 A.2d 224 (2006). “First, the judgment of the court did not dispose of all causes of action brought by the parties. In fact, the judgment did not even dispose of the breach of contract claim. Second, neither the trial court nor this court made any written determination pursuant to Practice Book § 61-4(a) regarding the significance of the issues presented in this case. Moreover, Practice Book § 61-4(a) is not applicable because it ‘applies to a trial court judgment that disposes of at least one cause of action....’ Here, it is without question that the court’s judgment does not dispose of at least one cause of action. Accordingly, we conclude that this appeal does not fall within either rule permitting an appeal from a judgment on less than all counts of the complaint.”

WEST KEY NUMBERS:

- *Judgments*, Key Numbers 178-190

TREATISES:

You can click on the links provided to see which law libraries own the title you are interested in, or visit our [catalog](#) directly to search for more treatises.

- Kimberly A. Peterson, [Civil Litigation in Connecticut: Anatomy of a Lawsuit](#) (1998).
 - Chapter 27. Motion for Summary Judgment
- Jeanine M. Dumont, [Pleadings and Pretrial Practice: A Deskbook for Connecticut Litigators](#) (1998 ed.).
 - Chapter XI. Motion for Summary Judgment

- 18 Erin Carlson, Connecticut Practice Series, [Summary Judgment & Related Termination Motions](#) (2015).
 - Chapter 3. Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication
- Ralph P. Dupont, [Dupont on Connecticut Civil Practice](#) (2015-2016).
 - Chapter 17. Judgments
 - G. Summary Judgments
- Renee Bevacqua Bollier, [Stephenson's Connecticut Civil Procedure](#) (1997).
 - Chapter 9. Disposition Short of Trial.
 - § 100. Summary Judgment.
- Corey M. Dennis, [Roadmap to Connecticut Procedure](#), 83 Connecticut Bar Journal 271 (2009).

LAW REVIEWS:

Public access to law review databases is available on-site at each of our law libraries.