
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

IN RE MATHEW D.*
(AC 22006)

Lavery, C. J., and Dranginis and Bishop, Js.

Argued January 7—officially released February 12, 2002

Respondent mother's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Fairfield, Juvenile Matters at Bridgeport, *Owens, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

CHARLES J. MOZZOCHI v. JAMES HALLAS ET AL.
(AC 21724)

Foti, Mihalakos and Flynn, Js.

Argued January 7—officially released February 12, 2002

Plaintiff's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Hartford, *Hon. Thomas H. Corrigan*, judge trial referee.

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. ALONZO JACKSON
(AC 21968)

Foti, Mihalakos and Flynn, Js.

Argued January 7—officially released February 12, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Waterbury, *Doherty, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

ANTONIO LINVAL-CASSIUS v. COMMISSIONER OF
CORRECTION
(AC 21739)

Lavery, C. J., and Bishop and Hennessy, Js.

Argued January 8—officially released February 12, 2002

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Haven, *Frank S. Meadow*, judge trial referee.

Per Curiam. We affirm the judgment on the basis of General Statutes § 18-98d, *Rivera v. Commissioner of Correction*, 254 Conn. 214, 756 A.2d 1264 (2000), and

Payton v. Albert, 209 Conn. 23, 547 A.2d 1 (1988).

The judgment is affirmed.

JANE DOE ONE ET AL. *v.* SHANNON OLIVER ET AL.
(AC 21269)

Mihalakos Bishop and Dupont, Js.

Argued January 14—officially released February 12, 2002

Plaintiff's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Waterbury, *Doherty, J.; Pellegrino, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

DOUGLAS BETHEA *v.* COMMISSIONER OF
CORRECTION
(AC 21891)

Schaller, Flynn and Hennessy, Js.

Submitted on briefs January 11—officially released February 12, 2002

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Haven, *William L. Hadden, Jr.*, judge trial referee.

Per Curiam. The appeal is dismissed.

ANDRE EVANS *v.* COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION
(AC 22034)

Foti, Dranginis and Dupont, Js.

Submitted on briefs January 11—officially released February 12, 2002

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of New Haven, *Munro, J.*

Per Curiam. The habeas court did not abuse its discretion in denying the petition for certification to appeal.

The appeal is dismissed.

MARVIN JONES *v.* COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION
(AC 21883)

Foti, Dranginis and Dupont, Js.

Submitted on briefs January 11—officially released February 12, 2002

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Danbury, *White, J.*

Per Curiam. The habeas court did not abuse its discretion in denying the petition for certification to appeal.

The appeal is dismissed.

DAVID L. JOYCE *v.* COMMISSIONER OF
CORRECTION
(AC 21817)

Foti, Dranginis and Dupont, Js.

Submitted on briefs January 11—officially released February 12, 2002

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Danbury, *Resha, J.*

Per Curiam. The habeas court did not abuse its discretion in denying the petition for certification to appeal.

The appeal is dismissed.

* In accordance with the spirit and intent of General Statutes § 46b-142 (b) and Practice Book § 79-3, the names of the parties involved in this appeal are not disclosed. The records and papers of this case shall be open for inspection only to persons having a proper interest therein and upon order of the Appellate Court.

Reporter of Judicial Decisions