
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

FERNANDO SOTO v. COMMISSIONER OF
CORRECTION
(AC 24135)

Schaller, West and DiPentima, Js.

Submitted on briefs January 23—officially released April 20, 2004

Petitioner's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Tolland, *Fuger, J.*

Per Curiam. The habeas court denied the petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus and his petition for certification to appeal to this court. After a careful review of the record and briefs, we conclude that the petitioner has not demonstrated that the issues are debatable among jurists of reason, that a court could resolve the issues in a different manner or that the questions raised deserve encouragement to proceed further. See *Simms v. Warden*, 230 Conn. 608, 616, 646 A.2d 126 (1994); see also *Lozada v. Deeds*, 498 U.S. 430, 432, 111 S. Ct. 860, 112 L. Ed. 2d 956 (1991).

The appeal is dismissed.

EMILIA MEZRIOUI v. NORMAN E. WHITNEY ET AL.
(AC 24047)

Flynn, Bishop and McLachlan, Js.

Argued April 1—officially released April 5, 2004¹

Plaintiff's appeal from the Superior Court in the Judicial District of Tolland, *Hon. Lawrence C. Klaczak*, judge trial referee.

Per Curiam. After a careful review of the pleadings, briefs, record and the memorandum of decision in this case, we affirm the thoughtful decision of the trial court.

The judgment is affirmed.

NORMAN E. WHITNEY v. EMILIA MEZRIOUI ET AL.
(AC 24510)

Flynn, Bishop and McLachlan, Js.

Argued April 1—officially released April 5, 2004²

Named defendant's from the Superior Court in the Judicial District of Tolland, *Hon. Harry Hammer*, judge trial referee.

Per Curiam. In this appeal from the trial court's denial of a motion to open a judgment of possession, the appellant has furnished this court no basis upon which we are able to determine that the court's denial of her motion was an abuse of discretion.

The judgment is affirmed.

¹ April 5, 2004, the date that this decision was released as a slip opinion, is the operative date for all substantive and procedural purposes.

² April 5, 2004, the date that this decision was released as a slip opinion, is the operative date for all substantive and procedural purposes.
