
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the advance release version of an opinion and the latest version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

ROBERT PRITSKER *v.* JO-ANN KEATING ET AL.
(AC 39308)

Keller, Mullins and Lavery, Js.

Argued September 13—officially released October 10, 2017

Plaintiff's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Stamford-Norwalk, *Lee, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

PROPEL FINANCIAL 1, LLC
v. JESUS ORTIZ ET AL.
(AC 39692)

Alvord, Prescott and Bishop, Js.

Submitted on briefs September 20—officially released October 10, 2017

Named defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Fairfield, *Hon. Alfred J. Jennings, Jr.*, judge trial referee; *Hon. Edward F. Stodolink*, judge trial referee.

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed and the case is remanded for the purpose of setting a new sale date.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* ROBERT CUADRADO
(AC 38901)

Alvord, Prescott and Bishop, Js.

Argued September 20—officially released October 10, 2017

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Waterbury, geographical area number four, *Fasano, J.*;

Per Curiam. The form of the judgment is improper, the judgment dismissing the defendant's motion to correct an illegal sentence is reversed and the case is remanded with direction to render judgment denying the defendant's motion.

RICKY DIEHL ET AL. *v.* SCOTT POWELL
(AC 39038)

Sheldon, Prescott and Pellegrino, Js.

Submitted on briefs September 22—officially released October 10, 2017

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the judicial district of Stamford-Norwalk, *Tindill, J.*

Per Curiam. The appeal is dismissed as moot.
