
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

IN RE DANIEL S.*
(AC 22230)

Lavery, C. J., and Foti and Daly, Js.

Argued March 18—officially released April 16, 2002

Respondent father's appeal from the Superior Court
in the judicial district of Waterbury, *Dewey, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. ROBERT BEEMER
(AC 21650)

Schaller, Flynn and Daly, Js.

Argued March 18—officially released April 16, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the
judicial district of New London, *Handy, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. ANTONIO LOZADA
(AC 21864)

Lavery, C. J., and Schaller and Flynn, Js.

Argued March 21—officially released April 16, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the
judicial district of New London, geographical area num-
ber ten, *Schimelman, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

LINDA A. BAILEY-RECIO v. ROBERT J. RECIO
(AC 21183)

Lavery, C. J., and Spear and Peters, Js.

Argued March 20—officially released April 16, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the
judicial district of Danbury, *Romeo G. Petroni*, judge
trial referee.

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT v. WILLIAM BILLINGTON
(AC 21194)

Dranginis, Bishop and Healey, Js.

Argued March 21—officially released April 16, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the
judicial district of New Britain, *Espinosa, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

CHARLES J. ALPUCHE ET AL. *v.* PINE LEDGE
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
(AC 21453)

Foti, Dranginis and Freedman, Js.

Argued March 25—officially released April 16, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the
judicial district of Danbury, *Adams, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

PATRICK DUFFY, M.D., P.C. *v.* DEPARTMENT OF
LABOR ET AL.
(AC 21510)

Foti, Dranginis and Stoughton, Js.

Argued March 26—officially released April 16, 2002

Plaintiff's appeal from the Superior Court in the judi-
cial district of Waterbury, *Rogers, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* ALLAN HALL
(AC 22338)

Foti, Flynn and Hennessy, Js.

Argued March 28—officially released April 16, 2002

Defendant's appeal from the Superior Court in the
judicial district of New Haven, *Licari, J.*

Per Curiam. The judgment is affirmed.

* In accordance with the spirit and intent of General Statutes § 46b-142
(b) and Practice Book § 79-3, the names of the parties involved in this appeal
are not disclosed. The records and papers of this case shall be open for
inspection only to persons having a proper interest therein and upon order
of the Appellate Court.

Reporter of Judicial Decisions