

| | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| DOCKET NO. UWY-CV-21-5028323¹ | : | SUPERIOR COURT |
| | : | |
| KEYIN WORTH | : | JUDICIAL DISTRICT |
| | : | OF WATERBURY |
| | : | |
| VS. | : | |
| | : | |
| CHRISTOPHER PICARD, ET AL | : | APRIL 25, 2024 |

**MEMORANDUM OF DECISION
MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER ARTICULATION**

INTRODUCTION:

These matters generally arise out of a previous foreclosure and consequent eviction. This court entered a decision dated February 7, 2024 on motions by the defendants in both related cases to enforce a settlement agreement reached in a Pre-argument Conference (PAC). The plaintiff filed several appeals. In connection with some of those appeals the plaintiff filed a motion for articulation of this court’s February 7, 2024 decision. The court issued an articulation on April 10, 2024. The plaintiff has now filed a motion to compel further articulation.²

¹ This decision also applies to a related matter, namely UWY-CV-18-5021726.

² Because of the title of the motion and the conclusion thereof, it is unclear whether this motion is directed to the Superior Court or to the Appellate Court. However, because the motion was provided to this court, the court will

**SUPERIOR COURT
WATERBURY J.D.**

APR 25 2024

CLERK’S OFFICE

ANALYSIS:

The court has already provided a detailed decision, and an articulation to the extent that the court found appropriate. As noted in the court's April 10, 2024 articulation, the court finds that the plaintiff's further requests for articulation are (i) unclear as to the ambiguity and consequent articulation needed, (ii) addressed to points which are clear and require no further articulation, (iii) attempt to re-argue the underlying decision(s), and/or (iv) attempt to inappropriately introduce facts beyond those introduced at the underlying hearing³. Accordingly, the court respectfully denies the plaintiff's motion, finding no further articulation is appropriate or necessary.



John L. Cordani, Judge

respond. To the extent that this motion is directed to the Appellate Court itself, this court will await any instructions therefrom and will respectfully comply therewith.

³ The court notes that the plaintiff refused to attend the underlying hearing.

4/25/24 Copy mailed to Keyin Worth
J.D.N.O. sent to all attorneys of record.
Araujo, Barbara
Assist. Clerk