



ADA NOTICE
The Judicial Branch of the State of Connecticut complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). If you need a reasonable accommodation in accordance with the ADA, contact a court clerk or an ADA contact person listed at www.jud.ct.gov/ADA.

Docket number DBD-CV23-5020219-S	Answer date 12/19/2023	Amount of claim \$3,767.00
Name of case RANKIN, MICHELLE Et Al v. MEGHAN LAGANZA WIZARDS YOUTH HOCKEY ASSOCIATION IN Et Al		

1. Disposition (Do not use this form for dispositions not identified in this section. Enter all other dispositions directly into the Judicial Branch Electronic Filing System.)

- A. Judgment for the Plaintiff after Default without Hearing in Damages
- B. Judgment for the Plaintiff after Default with Hearing in Damages
- C. Judgment for the Plaintiff after Hearing
- D. Judgment for the Defendant after Hearing
- E. Judgment as to Counterclaim

2. Reasons for Decision (Must be entered when a contested hearing is held, a counterclaim is filed, or a judgment is entered in an amount other than the amount claimed.)

Plaintiffs brought this action seeking return of the full season fee for their son's participation in the Wonderland Wizards Youth Hockey Association Inc. youth program for the U10 level for 2023-2024. Plaintiffs maintain that if their son was accepted into a Tier 1 hockey team they would be entitled to a refund in full from the Defendant Wizards. Neither party provided a copy of the signed commitment letter with the Wizards, but both parties agreed the plaintiffs signed the commitment letter and Plaintiffs paid the full fee for the season. A copy of the 2022-23 Letter of Commitment (unsigned) provided as Defendant's exhibit 5, does not mention a refund of fees if the player has been accepted into an official Tier 1 program. Plaintiffs' Exhibits submitted with the Complaint are prior season's rules (2021-2022). Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 shows only 14U level and above listed as Tier 1 level teams for Mid-Fairfield (the league into which their son was accepted) for the 2022-2023 season and does not show any U10 level team (the son's level) as being a Tier 1 team for either 2022-23 or 2023-24 season. It was incumbent upon the Plaintiff's to confirm that their understanding of the refund policy was correct (per the Complaint, "we were informed" but no person identified as providing said information) and whether the Mid-Fairfield U10 team to which their son was accepted was indeed a qualified team at the

(Continued on next page)

3. Damages And Costs

Damages:	\$
Prejudgment Interest:	\$
Attorney Fees:	\$
Total Damages:	\$

Entry Fee:	\$
Service:	\$
Other:	\$
Total Costs:	\$

Post-Judgment Interest

- Not Requested
- Denied
- Granted at an interest rate of: _____ percent a year.
- Execution Stayed

Total Amount of Damages and Costs: \$

4. Order Of Payment(s)

Total Amount above to be paid by: _____

Payments of \$ _____ every week other week month other month other _____

are due beginning on: Date _____

Signed Magistrate Allen	Type or print name Magistrate Allen	Date signed 5/16/24
-----------------------------------	---	-------------------------------

Name of case

RANKIN, MICHELLE Et Al v. MEGHAN LAGANZA WIZARDS YOUTH HOCKEY ASSOCIATION IN Et Al

(Continued from Previous page)

appropriate Tier 1 level. There was no testimony or evidence that the Defendant Wizards provided incorrect information, indeed, the testimony was that information on the Wizards website was up to date, thus it is unclear on what Plaintiffs are basing their claim for mutual mistake. The unsigned letter of commitment clearly states that releases to other teams will be provided upon payment of the full season's fees. Testimony was that such refund rules are applied uniformly to everyone and are necessary to prevent players from taking spots on multiple teams leading to a delay in and/or inability to obtain a full roster for all teams once team hopping settled down.

For all of the foregoing reasons, judgment for the Defendant.