



**ADA NOTICE**  
The Judicial Branch of the State of Connecticut complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). If you need a reasonable accommodation in accordance with the ADA, contact a court clerk or an ADA contact person listed at www.jud.ct.gov/ADA.

Docket number <b>DBD-CV-23-6048569-S</b>	Answer date <b>01/16/2024</b>	Amount of claim <b>\$5,000.00</b>
Name of case <b>NORWALK HOSPITAL v. REISERREADER AKA READER, ERIKA Et Al</b>		

**1. Disposition** (Do not use this form for dispositions not identified in this section. Enter all other dispositions directly into the Judicial Branch Electronic Filing System.)

- A. Judgment for the Plaintiff after Default without Hearing in Damages
- B. Judgment for the Plaintiff after Default with Hearing in Damages
- C. Judgment for the Plaintiff after Hearing
- D. Judgment for the Defendant after Hearing
- E. Judgment as to Counterclaim

**2. Reasons for Decision** (Must be entered when a contested hearing is held, a counterclaim is filed, or a judgment is entered in an amount other than the amount claimed.)

A trial of this matter was held on May 13, 2024, after an unsuccessful mediation. Present was Plaintiff's attorney Philip Monagan. Defendants Erika and Paul Reader were present. All who were to testify were put under oath and the court heard testimony.

Plaintiff proceeded with its complaint seeking \$5,000.00 for services rendered to Defendant Erika Reader. Plaintiff submitted into evidence an itemized invoice for such services.

Defendants contend that Plaintiff's emergency room charges were unwarranted, excessive, and possibly duplicative.

(Continued on next page)

**3. Damages And Costs**

Damages:	\$	5,000.00
Prejudgment Interest:	\$	
Attorney Fees:	\$	
<b>Total Damages:</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>5,000.00</b>

Entry Fee:	\$	95.00
Service:	\$	18.70
Other:	\$	
<b>Total Costs:</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>113.70</b>

**Post-Judgment Interest**

- Not Requested
- Denied
- Granted at an interest rate of: \_\_\_\_\_ percent a year.
- Execution Stayed

Total Amount of Damages and Costs: **\$ 5,113.70**

**4. Order Of Payment(s)**

Total Amount above to be paid by: \_\_\_\_\_

Payments of \$ 35.00 every  week  other week  month  other month  other \_\_\_\_\_

are due beginning on: Date \_\_\_\_\_

Signed <b>Magistrate Meyerson 444883</b>	Type or print name <b>Magistrate Meyerson 444883</b>	Date signed <b>05/16/2024</b>
---	---	----------------------------------

*(Continued from Previous page)*

Neither defendant provided any evidence showing how much the debt should be reduced.

It is the trier's exclusive province to weigh the conflicting evidence and accept all or some of a party's testimony. Gallo-Mure v. Tomchik, 78 Conn. App. 699, 715 (2003). A plaintiff or counterclaim plaintiff making a claim has the burden of proof as to that claim by a fair preponderance of the evidence. Tianti v. William Raveis Real Estate, Inc., 261 Conn. 690, 702 (1995). The court, after careful consideration of the testimony and evidence of the parties, including the exhibits, finds that Plaintiff has sustained its burden of proof.