Minutes of the Meeting  
Rules Committee  
June 2, 2008

On Monday, June 2, 2008 the Rules Committee met in the Attorneys’ Conference Room at 9:30 a.m. and recessed at 10:00 a.m. to conduct a public hearing in the Supreme Court Hearing Room to receive comments concerning proposed revisions to the Practice Book and the Code of Evidence. The Committee reconvened its meeting at 10:58 a.m. and adjourned at 12:43 p.m.

Members in attendance were:

HON. PETER T. ZARELLA, CHAIR  
HON. RICHARD W. DYER  
HON. ROLAND D. FASANO  
HON. C. IAN MCLACHLAN  
HON. BARRY C. PINKUS  
HON. PATTY JENKINS PITTMAN  
HON. RICHARD A. ROBINSON  
HON. MICHAEL R. SHELDON

Judge Thomas J. Corradino was not in attendance at this meeting.

Also in attendance was Carl E. Testo, Counsel to the Rules Committee.

Agenda

1. The Committee discussed proposed new Section 8-10 of the Code of Evidence, which is the tender years hearsay exception. Justice Zarella pointed out that there is a Public Act that is at variance with this proposal.

The Committee decided to continue consideration of this proposal to June 11 so that Justice Zarella has a chance to discuss it with the Chief Justice, the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee and the Chair of the Code of Evidence Committee.

2. The Committee unanimously approved the minutes of the meeting held on March 31, 2008.
3. The Committee considered a proposal from Attorneys Sarah Eagan and Jay Sicklick, on behalf of the Center for Children’s Advocacy, to further amend the proposed revision to Section 32a-1 (c) of the juvenile rules. Judge Christine Keller, Chief Administrative Judge for Juvenile Matters, attended this portion of the meeting.

After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted to further amend the proposed revisions to Section 32a-1 as set forth in Appendix A attached hereto.


After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted that no further changes be made to the proposed revision to Section 13-4.

5. The Committee considered letters from Attorney Tara L. Knight, on behalf of the Connecticut Criminal Defense Lawyers Association, Chief State’s Attorney Kevin T. Kane, State’s Attorney Jonathan C. Benedict, and Deputy Chief Public Defender Brian S. Carlow concerning the proposed revisions to Section 37-2.

After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted that no further changes be made to the proposed revisions to Section 37-2.

6. The Committee considered a letter from Attorney Claire Ancona-Berk suggesting further revisions to the proposed revision to Section 2-15A that would include within the purview of that section attorneys who work as contractors for an organization to which they provide legal services.

The Committee noted that the Connecticut Bar Association is currently studying this issue and therefore tabled the matter until the Committee obtains feedback from the CBA.

7. The Committee considered a letter from Attorney Kevin R. Hennessy, on behalf of the CBIA, concerning the proposed revisions to Rule 5.5 of the Rules of Professional Conduct and Section 2-15A.

After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted that no further changes be
made to the proposed revision to Section 2-15A.

8. The Committee considered a proposal by Attorney Veronica Halpine to further amend the proposed revisions to Rule 1.14 of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted to further amend the proposed revisions to Rule 1.14 of the Rules of Professional Conduct as set forth in Appendix A attached hereto.

9. The Committee considered a proposal by Attorney Nicholas Cimmino to amend Section 17-20 to adopt provisions of Substitute House Bill No. 5577, An Act Concerning Responsible Lending and Economic Security.

After discussion, the Committee took no action concerning the proposal.

Judge Dyer abstained from taking any action in this matter and did not participate in the discussion of it.

10. The Committee considered letters from Attorney Edward J. Gavin, on behalf of the Connecticut Criminal Defense Lawyers Association, and from Judge Joseph M. Shortall commenting on proposed new Section 8-10 of the Code of Evidence.

The Committee tabled consideration of these comments.

11. The Committee denied a proposal by Attorney Kenneth B. Lerman to amend Section 2-28A concerning attorney advertising.

12. The Committee considered a proposed commentary, submitted by Attorney Joseph Del Ciampo, to the proposed revisions to Section 13-4. This commentary was drafted at the request of Justice Zarella.

After discussion, the Committee unanimously approved the commentary to Section 13-4 as set forth in Appendix A attached hereto.

13. The Committee noted a letter from Attorney Peter L. Costas to Justice Zarella dated May 8, 2008 advising that a proposal by the Connecticut Bar Association to amend C.G.S. § 51-88, concerning the unauthorized practice of law, did not gain passage during the 2008 legislative session.

14. The Committee considered a letter from Senator Andrew J. McDonald in which he comments on proposed new Section 2-11A and suggests that proposed new Section 1-9A be deleted from the Rules Committee’s proposals.

After discussion, the Committee voted to deny the request to delete proposed new
Section 1-9A from the Rules Committee’s proposals, six members voting to deny the request and two members voting in support of it.

A Rules Committee member stated that when the resolution on which Section 1-9A is based was submitted to the judges for adoption at their June, 2007 meeting, there may have been a preamble. The Committee asked the undersigned to incorporate any such preamble into the commentary to proposed Section 1-9A for consideration by the Committee at its next meeting.

The Committee discussed Senator McDonald’s comments concerning proposed new Section 2-11A, but no motion was made with regard to it.

15. The Committee reviewed the list of matters that are pending before it and noted that the following major issues are among the matters it will consider in the upcoming year:

a.) proposed revisions to the Code of Judicial Conduct in light of changes that have been made to the ABA Model Code;

b.) a proposal by the Connecticut Bar Association to adopt minimum legal education rules;

16. The Committee tabled consideration of a memo from Attorney Nancy A. Porter with regard to Public Act 08-67 concerning the protection of family violence victims in family relations matters.

17. Except as otherwise noted above, the Committee unanimously voted to approve without further changes the proposed revisions to the Practice Book that were submitted to public hearing as set forth in Appendix A attached hereto.

The proposals in Appendix A will be submitted to the Superior Court judges for adoption at the June 30, 2008 Annual Meeting.
18. The Committee scheduled an adjourned meeting to be held on June 11 at 9:00 a.m. in the Attorneys’ Conference Room.

Respectfully submitted,

Carl E. Testo
Counsel to the Rules Committee

CET:pt
Attachment
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT


(a) When a client’s capacity to make or communicate adequately considered decisions in connection with a representation is [diminished] impaired, whether because of minority, mental impairment or for some other reason, the lawyer shall, as far as reasonably possible, maintain a normal client-lawyer relationship with the client.

(b) When the lawyer reasonably believes that the client has diminished capacity is unable to make or communicate adequately considered decisions, is at risk of likely to suffer substantial physical, financial or other harm unless action is taken and cannot adequately act in the client’s own interest, the lawyer may take reasonably necessary protective action, including consulting with individuals or entities that have the ability to take action to protect the client and, in appropriate cases, seeking the appointment of a [guardian ad litem, conservator or guardian] legal representative.

(c) Information relating to the representation of a client with [diminished] impaired capacity is protected by Rule 1.6. When taking protective action pursuant to subsection (b), the lawyer is impliedly authorized under Rule 1.6 (a) to reveal information about the client, but only to the extent reasonably necessary to protect the client’s interests.

COMMENTARY: The normal client-lawyer relationship is based on the assumption that the client, when properly advised and assisted, is capable of making decisions about important matters. When the client is a minor or [suffers from a diminished mental capacity] is unable to make or communicate adequately considered decisions, however, maintaining the ordinary client-lawyer relationship may not be possible in all respects. In particular, a severely incapacitated person may have no power to make legally binding decisions. Nevertheless, a client with [diminished] impaired capacity often has the ability to understand, deliberate upon, and reach conclusions about matters affecting the client’s own well-being. For example, children as young as five or six years of age, and certainly those of ten or twelve, are regarded as having opinions that are entitled to weight in legal proceedings
concerning their custody. So also, it is recognized that some persons of advanced age can be quite capable of handling routine financial matters while needing special legal protection concerning major transactions.

The fact that a client suffers a disability does not diminish the lawyer’s obligation [to treat the client with attention and respect] under these rules. Even if the person has a legal representative, the lawyer should as far as possible accord the represented person the status of client, particularly in maintaining communication.

The client may wish to have family members or other persons participate in discussions with the lawyer. When necessary to assist in the representation, the presence of such persons generally does not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client evidentiary privilege. Nevertheless, the lawyer must keep the client’s interests foremost and, except for protective action authorized under subsection (b), must look to the client, and not family members, to make decisions on the client’s behalf.

If a legal representative has already been appointed for the client, the lawyer should [ordinarily] look to the representative for decisions on behalf of the client only when such decisions are within the scope of the authority of the legal representative. In matters involving a minor, whether the lawyer should look to the parents as natural guardians may depend on the type of proceeding or matter in which the lawyer is representing the minor. If the lawyer represents the guardian as distinct from the ward, and is aware that the guardian is acting adversely to the ward’s interest, the lawyer may have an obligation to prevent or rectify the guardian’s misconduct. See Rule 1.2 (d).

Taking Protective Action. If a lawyer reasonably believes that a client is [at risk of] likely to suffer substantial physical, financial or other harm unless action is taken, and that a normal client-lawyer relationship cannot be maintained as provided in subsection (a) because the client lacks sufficient capacity to communicate or to make adequately considered decisions in connection with the representation, then subsection (b) permits the lawyer to take protective measures deemed necessary. Such measures could include: consulting with family members, using a reconsideration period to permit clarification or improvement of circumstances, using voluntary
surrogate decision-making tools such as durable powers of attorney or consulting with support groups, professional services, adult-protective agencies or other individuals or entities that have the ability to protect the client. In taking any protective action, the lawyer should be guided by such factors as the wishes and values of the client to the extent known, the client’s best interests and the goals of intruding into the client’s decision-making autonomy to the least extent feasible, maximizing client capacities and respecting the client’s family and social connections.

In determining the extent of the client’s [diminished] impaired capacity, the lawyer should consider and balance such factors as: the client’s ability to articulate reasoning leading to a decision, variability of state of mind and ability to appreciate consequences of a decision; the substantive fairness of a decision; and the consistency of a decision with the known long-term commitments and values of the client. In appropriate circumstances, the lawyer may seek guidance from an appropriate diagnostician.

If a legal representative has not been appointed, the lawyer should consider whether appointment of a [guardian ad litem, conservator or guardian] legal representative is necessary to protect the client’s interests. [Thus, if a client with diminished capacity has substantial property that should be sold for the client’s benefit, effective completion of the transaction may require appointment of a legal representative.] In addition, rules of procedure in litigation sometimes provide that minors or persons with [diminished] impaired capacity must be represented by a guardian or next friend if they do not have a general guardian. In many circumstances, however, appointment of a legal representative may be more expensive or traumatic for the client than circumstances in fact require. Evaluation of such circumstances is a matter entrusted to the professional judgment of the lawyer. In considering alternatives, however, the lawyer should be aware of any law that requires the lawyer to advocate the least restrictive action on behalf of the client.

**Disclosure of the Client’s Condition.** Disclosure of the client’s [diminished] impaired capacity could adversely affect the client’s interests. For example, raising the question of [diminished] impaired capacity could, in some circumstances,
lead to proceedings for involuntary conservatorship and/or commitment. Information relating to the representation is protected by Rule 1.6. Therefore, unless authorized to do so by these rules or other law, the lawyer may not disclose such information. When taking protective action pursuant to subsection (b), the lawyer is impliedly authorized to make the necessary disclosures, even when the client directs the lawyer to the contrary. Nevertheless, given the risks of disclosure, subsection (c) limits what the lawyer may disclose in consulting with other individuals or entities or seeking the appointment of a legal representative. At the very least, the lawyer should determine whether it is likely that the person or entity consulted with will act adversely to the client’s interests before discussing matters related to the client. The lawyer’s position in such cases is an unavoidably difficult one.

Emergency Legal Assistance. In an emergency where the health, safety or a financial interest of a person with diminished impaired capacity is threatened with imminent and irreparable harm, a lawyer may take legal action on behalf of such a person even though the person is unable to establish a client-lawyer relationship or to make or express considered judgments about the matter, when the person or another acting in good faith on that person’s behalf has consulted with the lawyer. Even in such an emergency, however, the lawyer should not act unless the lawyer reasonably believes that the person has no other lawyer, agent or other representative available. The lawyer should take legal action on behalf of the person only to the extent reasonably necessary to maintain the status quo or otherwise avoid imminent and irreparable harm. A lawyer who undertakes to represent a person in such an exigent situation has the same duties under these Rules as the lawyer would with respect to a client.

A lawyer who acts on behalf of a person with diminished impaired capacity in an emergency should keep the confidences of the person as if dealing with a client, disclosing them only to the extent necessary to accomplish the intended protective action. The lawyer should disclose to any tribunal involved and to any other counsel involved the nature of his or her relationship with the person. The lawyer should take steps to regularize the relationship or implement other protective solutions as soon as possible. Normally, a lawyer
would not seek compensation for such emergency actions taken.

**AMENDMENT NOTES:** The above changes make Rule 1.14 more clearly consistent with recent changes in conservatorship law and will reduce situations in which people having impaired capacity are placed in conservatorship when less restrictive alternatives are available.

**Rule 1.15. Safekeeping Property**

(a) As used in this rule, the terms below shall have the following meanings:

(1) “Allowable reasonable fees” for IOLTA accounts are per check charges, per deposit charges, a fee in lieu of a minimum balance, federal deposit insurance fees, sweep fees, and a reasonable IOLTA account administrative or maintenance fee.

(2) An “eligible institution” means (i) a bank or savings and loan association authorized by federal or state law to do business in Connecticut, the deposits of which are insured by an agency of the federal government, or (ii) an open-end investment company registered with the federal Securities and Exchange Commission and authorized by federal or state law to do business in Connecticut. In addition, an eligible institution shall meet the requirements set forth in subsection (g) (4) below. The determination of whether or not an institution is an eligible institution shall be made by the organization designated by the judges of the superior court to administer the program pursuant to subsection (g) (5) (E) below, subject to the dispute resolution process provided in subsection (g) (5) (4) (E) below.

(3) “Interest- or dividend-bearing account” means (i) an interest-bearing checking account, or (ii) an investment product which is a daily (overnight) financial institution repurchase agreement or an open-end money-market fund. A daily financial institution repurchase agreement must be fully collateralized by U.S. Government Securities and may be established only with an eligible institution that is “well-capitalized” or “adequately capitalized” as those terms are defined by applicable federal statutes and regulations. An open-end money-market fund must be invested solely in U.S. Government Securities or repurchase agreements fully
collateralized by U.S. Government Securities, must hold itself out as a "money-market fund" as that term is defined by federal statutes and regulations under the Investment Company Act of 1940 and, at the time of the investment, must have total assets of at least $250,000,000.

(4) "IOLTA account" means an interest- or dividend-bearing account established by a lawyer or law firm for clients’ funds at an eligible institution from which funds may be withdrawn upon request by the depositor without delay. An IOLTA account shall include only client or third person funds, except as permitted by subsection (g) [(7)] [(6)] below. The determination of whether or not an interest- or dividend-bearing account meets the requirements of an IOLTA account shall be made by the organization designated by the judges of the superior court to administer the program pursuant to subsection (g) [(5)] [(4)] below.

(5) "Non-IOLTA account" means an interest- or dividend-bearing account, other than an IOLTA account, from which funds may be withdrawn upon request by the depositor without delay.

(b) A lawyer shall hold property of clients or third persons that is in a lawyer’s possession in connection with a representation separate from the lawyer’s own property. Funds shall be kept in a separate account maintained in the state where the lawyer’s office is situated or elsewhere with the consent of the client or third person. Other property shall be identified as such and appropriately safeguarded. Complete records of such account funds and other property shall be kept by the lawyer and shall be preserved for a period of seven years after termination of the representation.

(c) A lawyer may deposit the lawyer’s own funds in a client trust account for the sole purposes of paying bank service charges on that account or obtaining a waiver of fees and service charges on the account, but only in an amount necessary for those purposes.

(d) Absent a written agreement with the client otherwise, a lawyer shall deposit into a client trust account legal fees and expenses that have been paid in advance, to be withdrawn by the lawyer only as fees are earned or expenses incurred.
(e) Upon receiving funds or other property in which a client or third person has an interest, a lawyer shall promptly notify the client or third person. Except as stated in this Rule or otherwise permitted by law or by agreement with the client or third person, a lawyer shall promptly deliver to the client or third person any funds or other property that the client or third person is entitled to receive and, upon request by the client or third person, shall promptly render a full accounting regarding such property.

(f) When in the course of representation a lawyer is in possession of property in which two or more persons (one of whom may be the lawyer) claim interests, the property shall be kept separate by the lawyer until the dispute is resolved. The lawyer shall promptly distribute all portions of the property as to which the interests are not in dispute.

(g) Notwithstanding subsections (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f), lawyers and law firms shall participate in the statutory program for the use of interest earned on lawyers’ clients’ funds accounts to provide funding for [(i)] the delivery of legal services to the poor by nonprofit corporations whose principal purpose is providing legal services to the poor and [(ii)] law school scholarships based on financial need. Lawyers and law firms shall place a client’s or third person’s funds which in an IOLTA account if (i) such funds are less than $10,000 in amount or are expected to be held for a period of not more than sixty business days [in an IOLTA account and shall only establish IOLTA accounts at eligible institutions], or (ii) the lawyer or law firm determines that the funds cannot earn income in excess of the costs incurred to secure such income. An IOLTA account may only be established at an eligible institution that meets the following requirements:

1. No earnings from the IOLTA account shall be made available to a lawyer or law firm.

2. The IOLTA account shall include only clients’ or a third person’s funds which are less than $10,000 in amount or are expected to be held for a period of not more than sixty business days.

3. Lawyers or law firms depositing a client’s or third person’s funds in an IOLTA account shall direct the depository institution:
(A) To remit interest or dividends, net of allowable reasonable fees, if any, on the average monthly balance in the account, or as otherwise computed in accordance with the institution’s standard accounting practices, at least quarterly, to the organization designated by the judges of the superior court to administer this statutory program;

(B) To transmit to the organization administering the program with each remittance a report that identifies the name of the lawyer or law firm for whom the remittance is sent, the amount of remittance attributable to each IOLTA account, the rate and type of interest or dividends applied, the amount of interest or dividends earned, the amount and type of fees and service charges deducted, if any, and the average account balance for the period for which the report is made and such other information as is reasonably required by such organization; and

(C) To transmit to the depositing lawyer or law firm at the same time a report in accordance with the institution’s normal procedures for reporting to its depositors.

[(4)][(3)] Participation by banks, savings and loan associations, and investment companies in the IOLTA program is voluntary. An eligible institution that elects to offer and maintain IOLTA accounts shall meet the following requirements:

(A) The eligible institution shall pay no less on its IOLTA accounts than the highest interest rate or dividend generally available from the institution to its non-IOLTA customers when the IOLTA account meets or exceeds the same minimum balance or other eligibility qualifications on its non-IOLTA accounts, if any. In determining the highest interest rate or dividend generally available from the institution to its non-IOLTA customers, an eligible institution may consider, in addition to the balance in the IOLTA account, factors customarily considered by the institution when setting interest rates or dividends for its non-IOLTA customers, provided that such factors do not discriminate between IOLTA accounts and non-IOLTA accounts and that these factors do not include the fact that the account is an IOLTA account. The eligible institution may offer, and the lawyer or law firm may request, a sweep account that provides a mechanism for the overnight investment of balances in the IOLTA account in an interest-
dividend-bearing account that is a daily financial institution repurchase agreement or a money-market fund. Nothing in this rule shall preclude an eligible institution from paying a higher interest rate or dividend than described above or electing to waive any fees and service charges on an IOLTA account. An eligible institution may choose to pay the higher interest or dividend rate on an IOLTA account in lieu of establishing it as a higher rate product.

(B) Interest and dividends shall be calculated in accordance with the eligible institution’s standard practices for non-IOLTA customers.

(C) Allowable reasonable fees are the only fees and service charges that may be deducted by an eligible institution from interest earned on an IOLTA account. Allowable reasonable fees may be deducted from interest or dividends on an IOLTA account only at the rates and in accordance with the customary practices of the eligible institution for non-IOLTA customers. No fees or service charges other than allowable reasonable fees may be assessed against the accrued interest or dividends on an IOLTA account. Any fees and service charges other than allowable reasonable fees shall be the sole responsibility of, and may only be charged to, the lawyer or law firm maintaining the IOLTA account. Fees and service charges in excess of the interest or dividends earned on one IOLTA account for any period shall not be taken from interest or dividends earned on any other IOLTA account or accounts or from the principal of any IOLTA account.

(5) The judges of the superior court, upon recommendation of the chief court administrator, shall designate an organization qualified under Sec. 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code, or any subsequent corresponding Internal Revenue Code of the United States, as from time to time amended, to administer this program. The chief court administrator shall cause to be printed in the Connecticut Law Journal an appropriate announcement identifying the designated organization. The organization administering the program shall comply with the following:

(A) Each June mail to each judge of the superior court and to each lawyer or law firm participating in the program a detailed annual report of all funds disbursed under the program including the amount disbursed to each recipient of funds;
(B) Each June submit the following in detail to the chief court administrator for approval and comment by the Executive Committee of the Superior Court: (i) its proposed goals and objectives for the program; (ii) the procedures it has established to avoid discrimination in the awarding of grants; (iii) information regarding the insurance and fidelity bond it has procured; (iv) a description of the recommendations and advice it has received from the Advisory Panel established by General Statutes § 51-81c and the action it has taken to implement such recommendations and advice; (v) the method it utilizes to allocate between the two uses of funds provided for in § 51-81c and the frequency with which it disburses funds for such purposes; (vi) the procedures it has established to monitor grantees to ensure that any limitations or restrictions on the use of the granted funds have been observed by the grantees, such procedures to include the receipt of annual audits of each grantee showing compliance with grant awards and setting forth quantifiable levels of services that each grantee has provided with grant funds; (vii) the procedures it has established to ensure that no funds that have been awarded to grantees are used for lobbying purposes; and (viii) the procedures it has established to segregate funds to be disbursed under the program from other funds of the organization;

(C) Allow the judicial branch access to its books and records upon reasonable notice;

(D) Submit to audits by the judicial branch; and

(E) Provide for a dispute resolution process for resolving disputes as to whether a bank, savings and loan association, or open-end investment company is an eligible institution within the meaning of this rule.

[(6)][(5)] Before an organization may be designated to administer this program, it shall file with the chief court administrator, and the judges of the superior court shall have approved, a resolution of the board of directors of such an organization which includes provisions:

(A) Establishing that all funds the organization might receive pursuant to subsection (g) [(3)] [(2)] (A) above will be exclusively devoted to providing funding for the delivery of legal services to the poor by nonprofit corporations whose principal purpose is providing legal services to the poor and for
law school scholarships based on financial need and to the collection, management and distribution of such funds;

(B) Establishing that all interest and dividends earned on such funds, less allowable reasonable fees, if any, shall be used exclusively for such purposes;

(C) Establishing and describing the methods the organization will utilize to implement and administer the program and to allocate funds to be disbursed under the program, the frequency with which the funds will be disbursed by the organization for such purposes, and the segregation of such funds from other funds of the organization;

(D) Establishing that the organization shall consult with and receive recommendations from the Advisory Panel established by General Statutes § 51-81c regarding the implementation and administration of the program, including the method of allocation and the allocation of funds to be disbursed under such program;

(E) Establishing that the organization shall comply with the requirements of this Rule; and

(F) Establishing that said resolution will not be amended, and the facts and undertakings set forth in it will not be altered, until the same shall have been approved by the judges of the superior court and ninety days have elapsed after publication by the chief court administrator of the notice of such approval in the Connecticut Law Journal.

[(7)] [(6)] Nothing in this subsection (g) shall prevent a lawyer or law firm from depositing a client’s or third person’s funds, regardless of the amount of such funds or the period for which such funds are expected to be held, in a separate non-IOLTA account established on behalf of and for the benefit of the client or third person. Such an account shall be established as:

(A) A separate clients’ funds account for the particular client or third person on which the interest or dividends will be paid to the client or third person; or

(B) A pooled clients’ funds account with subaccounting by the bank, savings and loan association or investment company or by the lawyer or law firm, which provides for the computation of interest or dividends earned by each client’s or third person’s funds and the payment thereof to the client or third person.
COMMENTARY: A lawyer should hold property of others with the care required of a professional fiduciary. Securities should be kept in a safe deposit box, except when some other form of safekeeping is warranted by special circumstances. All property that is the property of clients or third persons, including prospective clients, must be kept separate from the lawyer’s business and personal property and, if monies, in one or more trust accounts. Separate trust accounts may be warranted when administering estate monies or acting in similar fiduciary capacities. A lawyer should maintain on a current basis books and records in accordance with generally accepted accounting practices and comply with the requirements of Practice Book Section 2-27.

While normally it is impermissible to commingle the lawyer’s own funds with client funds, subsection (c) provides that it is permissible when necessary to pay bank service charges on that account. Accurate records must be kept regarding which part of the funds are the lawyer’s.

Lawyers often receive funds from which the lawyer’s fee will be paid. The lawyer is not required to remit to the clients’ funds that the lawyer reasonably believes represent fees owed. However, a lawyer may not hold funds to coerce a client into accepting the lawyer’s contention. The disputed portion of the funds must be kept in a trust account and the lawyer should suggest means for prompt resolution of the dispute, such as arbitration. The undisputed portion of the funds shall be promptly distributed.

Subsection (f) also recognizes that third parties, such as a client’s creditor who has a lien on funds recovered in a personal injury action, may have lawful claims against specific funds or other property in a lawyer’s custody. A lawyer may have a duty under applicable law to protect such third-party claims against wrongful interference by the client. In such cases the lawyer must refuse to surrender the property to the client until the claims are resolved. A lawyer should not unilaterally assume to arbitrate a dispute between the client and the third party, but, when there are substantial grounds for dispute as to the person entitled to the funds, the lawyer may file an action to have a court resolve the dispute.

The word “interests” as used in subsection (f) includes, but is not limited to, the following: a valid judgment
concerning disposition of the property; a valid statutory or judgment lien, or other lien recognized by law, against the property; a letter of protection or similar obligation that is both (a) directly related to the property held by the lawyer, and (b) an obligation specifically entered into to aid the lawyer in obtaining the property; or a written assignment, signed by the client, conveying an interest in the funds or other property to another person or entity.

The obligations of a lawyer under this Rule are independent of those arising from activity other than rendering legal services. For example, a lawyer who serves only as an escrow agent is governed by the applicable law relating to fiduciaries even though the lawyer does not render legal services in the transaction and is not governed by this Rule. A "lawyers’ fund" for client protection provides a means through the collective efforts of the bar to reimburse persons who have lost money or property as a result of dishonest conduct of a lawyer. Where such a fund has been established, a lawyer must participate where it is mandatory, and, even when it is voluntary, the lawyer should participate.

Subsection (g) requires lawyers and law firms to participate in the statutory IOLTA program and provides that client’s or third person’s funds shall be deposited in an IOLTA account if (i) such funds are less than $10,000 in amount or are expected to be held for a period of not more than sixty business days, or (ii) the lawyer or law firm determines that the funds cannot earn income in excess of the costs incurred to secure such income. In determining whether a client’s or third person’s funds cannot earn income in excess of the costs incurred to secure such income, the lawyer or law firm may consider the following factors:

(1) The amount of the funds to be deposited;
(2) The expected duration of the deposit, including the likelihood of delay in the matter for which the funds are held;
(3) The rates of interest or yield at financial institutions where the funds are to be deposited;
(4) The cost of establishing and administering non-IOLTA accounts for the client’s or third person’s benefit, including service charges, the costs of the lawyer’s or law firm’s services, and the costs of preparing any tax reports required for income accruing to the client or third person;
(5) The capability of financial institutions, lawyers or law firms to calculate and pay income to clients or third persons; and

(6) Any other circumstances that affect the ability of the client’s or third person’s funds to earn a net return for the client or third person.

The lawyer or law firm should review its IOLTA account at reasonable intervals to determine whether changed circumstances require further action with respect to the funds of any client or third person.

AMENDMENT NOTES: The above changes clarify the circumstances under which lawyers and law firms are obligated to deposit the funds of clients and third parties in IOLTA accounts.

Rule 5.5. Unauthorized Practice of Law

(a) A lawyer shall not practice law in a jurisdiction in violation of the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction, or assist another in doing so. The practice of law in this jurisdiction is defined in Practice Book Section 2-44A. Conduct described in subsections (c) and (d) in another jurisdiction shall not be deemed the unauthorized practice of law for purposes of this paragraph (a).

(b) A lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction, shall not:

(1) except as authorized by law, establish an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction for the practice of law; or

(2) hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in this jurisdiction.

(c) A lawyer admitted in another United States jurisdiction which accords similar privileges to Connecticut lawyers in its jurisdiction, and provided that the lawyer is not disbarred or suspended from practice in any jurisdiction, may provide legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction, that:

(1) are undertaken in association with a lawyer who is admitted to practice in this jurisdiction and who actively participates in the matter;

(2) are in or reasonably related to a pending or potential proceeding before a tribunal in this or another jurisdiction, if
the lawyer, or a person the lawyer is assisting, is authorized by law or order to appear in such proceeding or reasonably expects to be so authorized;

(3) are in or reasonably related to a pending or potential mediation or other alternative dispute resolution proceeding in this or another jurisdiction, with respect to a matter that is substantially related to, or arises in, a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice and are not services for which the forum requires pro hac vice admission; or

(4) are not within subdivisions (c) (2) or (c) (3) and arise out of or are substantially related to the legal services provided to an existing client of the lawyer’s practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice.

(d) A lawyer admitted to practice in another [United States] jurisdiction, and not disbarred or suspended from practice in any jurisdiction, may provide legal services in this jurisdiction that:

(1) are provided to the lawyer’s employer or its organizational affiliates and the lawyer is an authorized house counsel as provided in Practice Book Section 2-15A; or

(2) the lawyer is authorized by federal or other law to provide in this jurisdiction.

(e) A lawyer not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction and authorized by the provisions of this Rule to engage in providing legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction is thereby subject to the disciplinary rules of this jurisdiction with respect to the activities in this jurisdiction.

(f) A lawyer desirous of obtaining the privileges set forth in subsections (c) (3) or (4), (1) shall notify the Statewide Bar Counsel as to each separate matter prior to any such representation in Connecticut, (2) shall notify the Statewide Bar Counsel upon termination of each such representation in Connecticut, and (3) shall pay such fees as may be prescribed by the Judicial Branch.

COMMENTARY: A lawyer may practice law only in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is authorized to practice. A lawyer may be admitted to practice law in a jurisdiction on a regular basis or may be authorized by court rule or order or by law to practice for a limited purpose or on a restricted basis. Subsection (a) applies to unauthorized practice of law by a
lawyer, whether through the lawyer’s direct action or by the lawyer’s assisting another person.

A lawyer may provide professional advice and instruction to nonlawyers whose employment requires knowledge of the law; for example, claims adjusters, employees of financial or commercial institutions, social workers, accountants and persons employed in government agencies. Lawyers also may assist independent nonlawyers, such as paraprofessionals, who are authorized by the law of a jurisdiction to provide particular law-related services. In addition, a lawyer may counsel nonlawyers who wish to proceed pro se.

Other than as authorized by law or this Rule, a lawyer who is not admitted to practice generally in this jurisdiction violates subsection (b) if the lawyer establishes an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction for the practice of law. Presence may be systematic and continuous even if the lawyer is not physically present here. Such a lawyer must not hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in this jurisdiction. See also Rules 7.1 (a) and 7.5 (b). A lawyer not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction who engages in repeated and frequent activities of a similar nature in this jurisdiction such as the preparation and/or recording of legal documents (loans and mortgages) involving residents or property in this state may be considered to have a systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction which would not be authorized by this Rule and could thereby be considered to constitute unauthorized practice of law.

There are occasions in which a lawyer admitted to practice in another United States jurisdiction, and not disbarred or suspended from practice in any jurisdiction, may provide legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction under circumstances that do not create an unreasonable risk to the interests of their clients, the public or the courts. Subsection (c) identifies four such circumstances. The fact that conduct is not so identified does not imply that the conduct is or is not authorized. With the exception of subdivisions (d) (1) and (d) (2), this Rule does not authorize a lawyer to establish an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction without being admitted to practice generally here.
There is no single test to determine whether a lawyer’s services are provided on a “temporary basis” in this jurisdiction and may, therefore, be permissible under subsection (c). Services may be “temporary” even though the lawyer provides services in this jurisdiction for an extended period of time, as when the lawyer is representing a client in a single lengthy negotiation or litigation.

Subsection[s] (c) [and (d)] applies to lawyers who are admitted to practice law in any United States jurisdiction, which includes the District of Columbia and any state, territory or commonwealth of the United States. The word “admitted” in subsection (c) contemplates that the lawyer is authorized to practice in the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted and excludes a lawyer who, while technically admitted, is not authorized to practice, because, for example, the lawyer is in an inactive status.

Subdivision (c) (1) recognizes that the interests of clients and the public are protected if a lawyer admitted only in another jurisdiction associates with a lawyer licensed to practice in this jurisdiction. For this subdivision to apply, however, the lawyer admitted to practice in this jurisdiction must actively participate in and share responsibility for the representation of the client.

Lawyers not admitted to practice generally in a jurisdiction may be authorized by law or order of a tribunal or an administrative agency to appear before the tribunal or agency. This authority may be granted pursuant to formal rules governing admission pro hac vice or pursuant to informal practice of the tribunal or agency. Under subdivision (c) (2), a lawyer does not violate this Rule when the lawyer appears before a tribunal or agency pursuant to such authority. To the extent that a court rule or other law of this jurisdiction requires a lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction to obtain admission pro hac vice before appearing before a tribunal or administrative agency, this Rule requires the lawyer to obtain that authority.

Subdivision (c) (2) also provides that a lawyer rendering services in this jurisdiction on a temporary basis does not violate this Rule when the lawyer engages in conduct in anticipation of a proceeding or hearing in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is authorized to practice law or in which the lawyer
reasonably expects to be admitted *pro hac vice*. Examples of such conduct include meetings with the client, interviews of potential witnesses, and the review of documents. Similarly, a lawyer admitted only in another jurisdiction may engage in conduct temporarily in this jurisdiction in connection with pending litigation in another jurisdiction in which the lawyer is or reasonably expects to be authorized to appear, including taking depositions in this jurisdiction.

When a lawyer has been or reasonably expects to be admitted to appear before a court or administrative agency, subdivision (c) (2) also permits conduct by lawyers who are associated with that lawyer in the matter, but who do not expect to appear before the court or administrative agency. For example, subordinate lawyers may conduct research, review documents, and attend meetings with witnesses in support of the lawyer responsible for the litigation.

Subdivision (c) (3) permits a lawyer admitted to practice law in another jurisdiction to perform services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction if those services are in or reasonably related to a pending or potential mediation or other alternative dispute resolution proceeding in this or another jurisdiction, if the services are with respect to a matter that is substantially related to, or arises out of, a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice. The lawyer, however, must obtain admission *pro hac vice* in the case of a court-annexed arbitration or mediation or otherwise if court rules or law so require.

Subdivision (c) (4) permits a lawyer admitted in another jurisdiction to provide certain legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction if they arise out of or are substantially related to the lawyer’s practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted but are not within subdivisions (c) (2) or (c) (3). These services include both legal services and services that nonlawyers may perform but that are considered the practice of law when performed by lawyers.

Subdivision (c) (3) requires that the services be with respect to a matter that is substantially related to, or arises out of, a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted. A variety of factors may evidence such a relationship. However, the matter, although involving other jurisdictions, must have a significant connection with the jurisdiction in which the lawyer
is admitted to practice. A significant aspect of the lawyer’s work might be conducted in that jurisdiction or a significant aspect of the matter may involve the law of that jurisdiction. The necessary relationship might arise when the client’s activities and the resulting legal issues involve multiple jurisdictions. Subdivision (c) (4) requires that the services provided in this jurisdiction in which the lawyer is not admitted to practice be for (1) an existing client, i.e., one with whom the lawyer has a previous relationship and not arising solely out of a Connecticut-based matter and (2) arise out of or be substantially related to the legal services provided to that client in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice. Without both, the lawyer is prohibited from practicing law in the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is not admitted to practice.

Subdivision (d) (2) recognizes that a lawyer may provide legal services in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is not licensed when authorized to do so by federal or other law, which includes statute, court rule, executive regulation or judicial precedent.

A lawyer who practices law in this jurisdiction pursuant to subsections (c) or (d) or otherwise is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction. See Rule 8.5 (a).

In some circumstances, a lawyer who practices law in this jurisdiction pursuant to subsections (c) or (d) may have to inform the client that the lawyer is not licensed to practice law in this jurisdiction.

Subsections (c) and (d) do not authorize communications advertising legal services to prospective clients in this jurisdiction by lawyers who are admitted to practice in other jurisdictions.

**AMENDMENT NOTES:** The above change makes this rule consistent with the revisions proposed to Practice Book Section 2-15A to enable lawyers who are admitted to practice law in a foreign country but in no United States jurisdiction to register as authorized house counsel.

**Rule 7.4. Communication of Fields of Practice**

(a) A lawyer may communicate the fact that the lawyer does or does not practice in particular fields of law. [A lawyer shall not state or imply that the lawyer is a specialist except as follows and as provided in Rule 7.4A:]
[(1)](b) A lawyer admitted to engage in patent practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office may use the designation "[P]atent Attorney" or a substantially similar designation; and

[(2)](c) A lawyer engaged in admiralty practice may use the designation "[A]dmiralty", "[P]roctor in [A]dmiralty" or a substantially similar designation.

(d) A lawyer shall not state or imply that the lawyer is a specialist in a particular field of law except as provided herein and in Rule 7.4A.

COMMENTARY: This Rule permits a lawyer to indicate areas of practice in communications about the lawyer’s services, for example, in a telephone directory or other advertising. If a lawyer practices only in certain fields, or will not accept matters except in such fields, the lawyer is permitted so to indicate. A lawyer may indicate that the lawyer "concentrates in," "focuses on," or that the practice is "limited to" particular fields of practice as long as the statements are not false or misleading in violation of Rule 7.1. However, [stating that] the lawyer [is a "specialist"] or that the lawyer’s practice "is limited to" or "concentrated in" particular fields is not permitted may not use the terms "specialist," "certified," "board-certified," "expert" or any similar variation, unless the lawyer has been certified in accordance with Rule 7.4A. [These terms have acquired a secondary meaning implying formal recognition as a specialist. Hence, use of these terms may be misleading unless the lawyer is certified or recognized in accordance with procedures in the state where the lawyer is licensed to practice.]

Recognition of specialization in patent matters is a matter of long-established policy of the Patent and Trademark Office. Designation of admiralty practice has a long historical tradition associated with maritime commerce and the federal courts.

**Rule 7.4A. Certification as Specialist**

(a) Except as provided in Rule 7.4, a lawyer shall not state or imply that he or she is a specialist in a field of law unless the lawyer is currently certified as a specialist in that field of law by a board or other entity which is approved by the Rules Committee of the superior court of this state. Among the
criteria to be considered by the Rules Committee in determining upon application whether to approve a board or entity as an agency which may certify lawyers practicing in this state as being specialists, shall be the requirement that the board or entity certify specialists on the basis of published standards and procedures which (1) do not discriminate against any lawyer properly qualified for such certification, (2) provide a reasonable basis for the representation that lawyers so certified possess special competence, and (3) require redetermination of the special qualifications of certified specialists after a period of not more than five years.

(b) Upon certifying a lawyer practicing in this state as being a specialist, the board or entity that certified the lawyer shall notify the Statewide Grievance Committee of the name and juris number of the lawyer, the specialty field in which the lawyer was certified, the date of such certification and the date such certification expires.

[(b)](c) A lawyer shall not state that he or she is a certified specialist if the lawyer’s certification has terminated, or if the statement is otherwise contrary to the terms of such certification.

[(c)](d) Certification as a specialist may not be attributed to a law firm.

[(d)](e) Lawyers may be certified as specialists in the following fields of law:

(1) Administrative law: The practice of law dealing with states, their political subdivisions, regional and metropolitan authorities and other public entities including, but not limited to, their rights and duties, financing, public housing and urban development, the rights of public employees, election law, school law, sovereign immunity, and constitutional law; practice before federal and state courts and governmental agencies.

(2) Admiralty: The practice of law dealing with all matters arising under the carriage of goods by sea act (COGSA), Harter Act, Jones Act, and federal and state maritime law including, but not limited to, the carriage of goods, collision and other maritime torts, general average, salvage, limitation of liability, ship financing, ship subsidies, the rights of injured sailors and longshoremen; practice before
(3) Antitrust: The practice of law dealing with all matters arising under the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, Federal Trade Commission Act, Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act and state antitrust statutes including, but not limited to, restraints of trade, unfair competition, monopolization, price discrimination, restrictive practices; practice before federal and state courts and governmental agencies.

(4) Appellate practice: The practice of law dealing with all procedural and substantive aspects of civil and criminal matters before federal and state appeals courts including, but not limited to, arguments and the submission of briefs.

(5) Business Bankruptcy: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of the United States Bankruptcy Code when the debtor was engaged in business before the institution of a Chapter 7, 9, or 11 proceeding. This includes, but is not limited to, business liquidations, business reorganizations, and related adversary and contested proceedings.

(6) Child Welfare Law: The practice of law representing children, parents or the government in all child protection proceedings including emergency, temporary custody, adjudication, disposition, foster care, permanency planning, termination, guardianship, and adoption. Child Welfare Law does not include representation in private child custody and adoption disputes where the state is not a party.

(7) Consumer Bankruptcy: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of the United States Bankruptcy Code when the debtor was not engaged in business before the institution of a Chapter 7, 12, or 13 proceeding. This includes, but is not limited to, liquidations, wage earner plans, family farmers and related adversary and contested proceedings.

(8) Civil rights and discrimination: The practice of law dealing with all matters arising under federal and state law relating to proper treatment in the areas of, among others, public accommodations, voting, employment, housing, administration of welfare and social security benefits; practice before federal and state courts and governmental agencies.
(9) Civil trial practice: The practice of law dealing with representation of parties before federal or state courts in all noncriminal matters.

(10) Commercial transactions: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of commercial paper, contracts, sales and financing, including, but not limited to, secured transactions.

(11) Consumer claims and protection: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of consumer transactions including, but not limited to, sales practices, credit transactions, secured transactions and warranties; all matters arising under the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Magnuson-Moss Act, the Truth in Lending Act, state statutes such as the "Little FTC" acts, and other analogous federal and state statutes.

(12) Corporate and business organizations: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of the formation, operation and dissolution of corporations, partnerships (general and limited), agency and other forms of business organizations.

(13) Corporate finance and securities: The practice of law dealing with all matters arising under the Securities Act of 1933, Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Investment Advisors Act (or the Federal Securities Code, if adopted) and other federal and state securities statutes; financing corporate activities; mergers and acquisitions; practice before the Securities and Exchange Commission and state securities commissions.

(14) Criminal: The practice of law dealing with the prosecution or representation of persons accused of crimes at all stages of criminal proceedings in federal or state courts including, but not limited to, the protection of the accused’s constitutional rights.

(15) Environmental: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of the regulation of environmental quality by both federal and state governments; control of air pollution, water pollution, noise pollution, toxic substances, pesticides, and civilian uses of nuclear energy; solid waste/resource recovery; all matters arising under the National Environmental Policy Act, Clean Air Act, Federal Water Pollution Control Act, Noise Control Act, Solid Waste Disposal Act, Toxic Substance Control Act and other federal and state environmental statutes;
practice before federal and state courts and governmental agencies.

(16) Estate planning and probate: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of the analysis and planning for the conservation and disposition of estates, giving due consideration to the applicable tax consequences, both federal and state; the preparation of legal instruments in order to effectuate estate plans; administering estates, including tax related matters, both federal and state.

(17) Family and matrimonial: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of antenuptial and domestic relationships, separation and divorce, alimony and child support, child custody matters and adoption, giving due consideration to the tax consequences, and court proceedings relating thereto.

(18) Government contracts and claims: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of the negotiation and administration of contracts with federal and state governmental agencies.

(19) Immigration and naturalization: The practice of law dealing with obtaining and retaining permission to enter and remain in the United States including, but not limited to, such matters as visas, change of status, deportation and naturalization; representation of aliens before courts and governmental agencies; protection of aliens’ constitutional rights.

(20) International: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of the relations among states, international business transactions, international taxation, customs and trade law and foreign and comparative law.

(21) Labor: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of employment relations (public and private) including, but not limited to, unfair labor practices, collective bargaining, contract administration, the rights of individual employees and union members, employment discrimination; all matters arising under the National Labor Relations Act (Wagner Act), Labor Management Relations Act (Taft-Hartley Act), Labor Management Reporting and Disclosure Act (Landrum-Griffin Act), Fair Labor Standards Act, Title VII of The Civil Rights Act of 1964, Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA), Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), other
federal statutes and analogous state statutes; practice before the National Labor Relations Board, analogous state boards, federal and state courts, and arbitrators.

(22) Military: The practice of law dealing with the presentation of parties before courts-martial and other military tribunals in disputes arising under the uniform code of military justice; the representation of veterans and their dependents in seeking government benefits due to them on account of military service; handling civil law problems of the military.

(23) Natural Resources: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of the regulation of natural resources such as coal, oil, gas, minerals, water and public lands; the rights and responsibilities relating to the ownership and exploitation of such natural resources.

(24) Patent, trademark and copyright: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of the registration, protection and licensing of patents, trademarks or copyrights; practice before federal and state courts in actions for infringement and other actions; the prosecution of applications before the United States Patent and Trademark Office; counseling with regard to the law of unfair competition as it relates to patents, trademarks and copyrights.

(25) (A) Residential Real Estate: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of real property transactions involving single one-to-four family residential dwellings when the client uses such dwelling or expresses in writing the intent to use such dwelling as the client’s primary or other residence including, but not limited to, real estate conveyances, title searches and property transfers, leases, condominiums, cooperatives, and other common interest communities, planned unit developments, mortgages, condemnation and eminent domain, zoning and land use planning, property taxes, and determination of property rights. (B) Commercial Real Estate: The practice of law dealing with all aspects of real property transactions except for residential real estate as defined in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision, including, but not limited to, real estate conveyances, title searches and property transfers, leases, condominiums, cooperatives and other common interest communities, planned unit developments, mortgages, condemnation and eminent domain, zoning and land use planning, property taxes, real estate
development and financing (with due consideration to tax and securities consequences) and determination of property rights.

(26) Taxation: The practice of law dealing with all matters arising under the Internal Revenue Code, Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), state and local tax laws and foreign tax laws, including counseling with respect thereto; practice before federal and state courts and governmental agencies.

(27) Workers’ Compensation: The practice of law dealing with the representation of parties before federal and state agencies, boards and courts in actions to determine eligibility for workers’ compensation, and disability.

AMENDMENT NOTES: The changes to this rule require that upon certifying a lawyer as a specialist the certifying board or entity shall notify the Statewide Grievance Committee of the basic information pertinent to such certification.

Rule 7.4C. Application by Board or Entity to Certify Lawyers as Specialists

Any board or entity seeking the approval of the Rules Committee of the superior court for authority to certify lawyers practicing in this state as being specialists in a certain field or fields of law as set forth in Rule 7.4A [(d)],[e], shall file an original and six copies of its application with the Legal Specialization Screening Committee pursuant to Rule 7.4B.

AMENDMENT NOTES: The change to this rule reflects the change in the lettering of Section 7.4A above.

Rule 8.3. Reporting Professional Misconduct

(a) A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects, shall inform the appropriate professional authority. A lawyer may not condition settlement of a civil dispute involving allegations of improprieties on the part of a lawyer on an agreement that the subject misconduct not be reported to the appropriate disciplinary authority.

(b) A lawyer who knows that a judge has committed a violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct that raises a
substantial question as to the judge’s fitness for office shall inform the appropriate authority.

(c) This Rule does not require disclosure of information otherwise protected by Rule 1.6 or General Statutes § 51-81d (f) or obtained while serving as a member of a bar association ethics committee or the Judicial Branch Committee on Judicial Ethics.

COMMENTARY: Self-regulation of the legal profession requires that members of the profession initiate a disciplinary investigation when they know of a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct. Lawyers have a similar obligation with respect to judicial misconduct. An apparently isolated violation may indicate a pattern of misconduct that only a disciplinary investigation can uncover. Reporting a violation is especially important where the victim is unlikely to discover the offense.

A report about misconduct is not required where it would involve violation of Rule 1.6. However, a lawyer should encourage a client to consent to disclosure where prosecution would not substantially prejudice the client’s interests.

If a lawyer were obliged to report every violation of the Rules, the failure to report any violation would itself be a professional offense. Such a requirement existed in many jurisdictions but proved to be unenforceable. This Rule limits the reporting obligation to those offenses that a self-regulating profession must vigorously endeavor to prevent. A measure of judgment is, therefore, required in complying with the provisions of this Rule. The term “substantial” refers to the seriousness of the possible offense and not the quantum of evidence of which the lawyer is aware. A report should be made to the bar disciplinary agency unless some other agency, such as a peer review agency, is more appropriate in the circumstances. Similar considerations apply to the reporting of judicial misconduct.

The duty to report professional misconduct does not apply to a lawyer retained to represent a lawyer whose professional conduct is in question. Such a situation is governed by the Rules applicable to the client-lawyer relationship.

Information about a lawyer’s or judge’s misconduct or fitness may be received by a lawyer in the course of that lawyer’s participation in an approved lawyers or judges
assistance program. In that circumstance, providing for an exception to the reporting requirements of subsections (a) and (b) of this Rule encourages lawyers and judges to seek treatment through such a program. Conversely, without such an exception, lawyers and judges may hesitate to seek assistance from these programs, which may then result in additional harm to their professional careers and additional injury to the welfare of clients and the public.

AMENDMENT NOTES: The above change relieves lawyers who receive information while serving on a bar association ethics committee or the Judicial Branch Committee on Judicial Ethics from the affirmative duty to report misconduct.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently

The judicial duties of a judge take precedence over all the judge’s other activities. Judicial duties include all the duties of that office prescribed by law. In the performance of these duties, the following standards apply:

(a) Adjudicative Responsibilities.

(1) A judge should be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge should be unswayed by partisan interests, public clamor, or fear of criticism.

(2) A judge should maintain order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.

(3) A judge should be patient, dignified, and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers, and others with whom the judge deals in an official capacity, and should require similar conduct of lawyers, and of the judge’s staff, court officials, and others subject to the judge’s direction and control.

COMMENTARY: The duty to hear all proceedings fairly and with patience is not inconsistent with the duty to dispose promptly of the business of the court. Courts can be efficient and businesslike while being patient and deliberate.

(4) A judge should accord to every person who is legally interested in a proceeding, or that person’s lawyer, full
right to be heard according to law. A judge shall not initiate, permit or consider ex parte communications, or consider other communications made to the judge outside the presence of the parties concerning a pending or impending proceeding except that:

(A) Where circumstances require, ex parte communications for scheduling, administrative purposes or emergencies that do not deal with substantive matters or issues on the merits are authorized; provided:
(i) the judge reasonably believes that no party will gain a procedural or tactical advantage as a result of the ex parte communication, and
(ii) the judge makes provision promptly to notify all other parties of the substance of the ex parte communication and allows an opportunity to respond.

(B) A judge may obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on the law applicable to a proceeding before the judge if the judge gives notice to the parties of the person consulted and the substance of the advice, and affords the parties reasonable opportunity to respond.

(C) A judge may consult with court personnel whose function is to aid the judge in carrying out the judge’s adjudicative responsibilities or with other judges.

(D) A judge may, with the consent of the parties, confer separately with the parties and or their lawyers in an effort to mediate or settle matters pending before the judge.

(E) A judge may initiate or consider any ex parte communications when expressly authorized by law to do so.

COMMENTARY: The proscription against communications concerning a proceeding includes communications from lawyers, law teachers, and other persons who are not participants in the proceeding, except to the limited extent permitted.

To the extent reasonably possible, all parties or their lawyers shall be included in communications with a judge.

Whenever presence of a party or notice to a party is required by Canon 3 (a) (4), it is the party’s lawyer, or, if the party is unrepresented, the party, who is to be present or to whom notice is to be given.
An appropriate and often desirable procedure for a court to obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on legal issues is to invite the expert to file a brief amicus curiae.

Certain ex parte communication is approved by Canon 3 (a) (4) to facilitate scheduling and other administrative purposes and to accommodate emergencies. In general, however, a judge must discourage ex parte communication and allow it only if all the criteria stated in Canon 3 (a) (4) are clearly met. A judge must disclose to all parties all ex parte communications described in Canons 3 (a) (4) (A) and 3 (a) (4) (B) regarding a proceeding pending or impending before the judge.

A judge must not independently investigate facts in a case and must consider only the evidence presented.

A judge may request a party to submit proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, so long as the other parties are apprised of the request and are given an opportunity to respond to the proposed findings and conclusions.

A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Canon 3(a)(4) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge’s staff.

If communication between the trial judge and an appellate court with respect to a proceeding is permitted, a copy of any written communication or the substance of any oral communication should be provided to all parties.

(5) A judge should dispose promptly of the business of the court.

COMMENTARY: Prompt disposition of the court’s business requires a judge to devote adequate time to judicial duties, to be punctual in attending court and expeditious in determining matters under submission, and to insist that court officials, litigants and their lawyers cooperate with the judge to that end.

(6) A judge should abstain from public comment about a pending or impending proceeding in any court, and should require similar abstention on the part of court personnel subject to the judge’s direction and control. This subdivision does not prohibit judges from making public statements in the course of their official duties, from explaining for public
information the procedures of the court, or from correcting factual misrepresentation in the reporting of a case.

COMMENTARY: ‘‘Court personnel’’ does not include the lawyers in a proceeding before a judge. The conduct of lawyers is governed by the Rules of Professional Conduct.

(b) Administrative Responsibilities.

(1) A judge should diligently discharge his or her administrative responsibilities, maintain professional competence in judicial administration, and facilitate the performance of the administrative responsibilities of other judges and court officials.

(2) A judge should require the judge’s staff and court officials subject to the judge’s direction and control to observe the standards of fidelity and diligence that apply to the judge.

(3) A judge should take or initiate appropriate disciplinary measures against a judge or lawyer for unprofessional conduct of which the judge may become aware. A judge is not required to disclose information gained by the judge while serving as a member of a committee that renders assistance to ill or impaired judges or lawyers or while serving as a member of a bar association professional ethics committee or the Judicial Branch Committee on Judicial Ethics.

COMMENTARY: Disciplinary measures may include reporting a lawyer’s misconduct to an appropriate disciplinary body. The judge who receives this information still has discretion to report it to the appropriate authority, depending on the seriousness of the conduct and the circumstances involved.

(4) A judge, in the exercise of the judge’s power of appointment, should appoint on the basis of merit, should avoid favoritism, and should make only those appointments which are necessary. A judge should not approve compensation of appointees beyond the fair value of services rendered.

COMMENTARY: Appointees of the judge include officials such as referees, commissioners, special masters, receivers, guardians and personnel such as clerks, secretaries, and bailiffs. Consent by the parties to an appointment or an award of compensation does not relieve the judge of the obligation prescribed by this subdivision.
(5) A judge shall not knowingly advocate or knowingly participate in the appointment, employment, promotion or advancement of a relative in or to a position in the judicial branch. For purposes of this subdivision, relative means grandfather, grandmother, father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother, or half sister.

AMENDMENT NOTES: The above change relieves a judge who receives information while serving on the Judicial Branch Committee on Judicial Ethics from the affirmative duty to report misconduct.

(No changes are proposed to the remainder of this Canon.)

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE GENERAL PROVISIONS OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RULES

(NEW) Sec. 1-9A. –Judiciary Committee; Placement of Rules Information on Judicial Branch Website

(a) Each year the Rules Committee shall make itself available to meet with the members of the Judiciary Committee of the General Assembly (the Judiciary Committee) as soon as practicable after the first Rules Committee meeting in September to advise the Judiciary Committee as to the Rules Committee’s anticipated agenda for the upcoming year.

(b) As soon as practicable after the convening of each regular legislative session, the Chair of the Rules Committee shall invite the Senate and House Chairs and the Ranking Members of the Judiciary Committee, and such other members of that Committee as the Chairs may designate, to attend a meeting with the Rules Committee to confer and consult with respect to the rules of practice, pleadings, forms and procedure for the Superior Court and with respect to legislation affecting the courts pending before or to be introduced in the General Assembly.

(c) The Chair of the Rules Committee shall forward to the Judiciary Committee for review and comment all proposed revisions to the Practice Book and to the Code of Evidence.
which the Rules Committee has decided to submit to public hearing at least 35 days in advance of the public hearing thereon. If the Chair of the Rules Committee shall receive any comments from the Judiciary Committee with respect to such proposed revisions, he or she shall forward such comments to the members of the Rules Committee for their consideration in connection with the public hearing.

(d) The agendas and minutes of Rules Committee meetings, any proposed revisions to the Practice Book and to the Code of Evidence which the Rules Committee has decided to submit to public hearing, any comments by the Judiciary Committee with respect to such proposed revisions, and any proposed revisions that are adopted by the Superior Court judges shall be placed on the Judicial Branch website.

COMMENTARY: The above new rule is taken from a resolution adopted by the Superior Court judges at a meeting on June 29, 2007.

Sec. 1-10. Possession of Electronic Devices in Court Facilities

a) Personal computers may be used for note-taking in a courtroom, but if the judicial authority finds that the use of computers is disruptive of the court proceeding, it may limit such use. No other electronic devices shall be allowed used in a courtroom unless authorized by a judicial authority or permitted by these rules.

(b) An attorney in good standing in this state, who has in his or her possession a picture identification card authorized by the office of the chief court administrator indicating that he or she is an attorney, may possess in a court facility an electronic device, including, but not limited to, a cellular telephone, portable computer, or personal digital assistant, which device has the capacity to broadcast, record, or take photographs. Such devices shall not be used in any court facility for the purpose of broadcasting or recording audio or video, or for any photographic purposes, except that any person employed in a state’s attorneys’ office or a public defenders’ office that is located in a court facility may use such devices in such office. Cellular telephones may be used in a court facility for telephonic purposes to transmit and receive voice signals only, but in no event shall they be used in any courtroom, lockup, chambers, or offices, except that any
person employed in a state’s attorneys’ office or a public defenders’ office that is located in a court facility may use a cellular telephone in such office. Personal computers may be used, with the permission of the judicial authority, in a courtroom in conjunction with the conduct of a hearing or trial. A violation of this subsection may constitute misconduct or contempt. This subsection shall be in force for a period of one year from its effective date, unless terminated sooner or extended beyond said period by vote of the judges of the superior court, to enable an analysis of the effects of this subsection to be made and reported to such judges. This subsection shall not apply to attorneys who are employees of the Judicial Branch. Such attorneys shall comply with Judicial Branch policies concerning the possession and use of electronic devices in court facilities. This subsection shall not be deemed to restrict in any way the possession or use of electronic devices in court facilities by judges of the superior court, judge trial referees, state referees, family support magistrates or family support referees. The possession and use of electronic devices in court facilities are subject to policies promulgated by the chief court administrator.

COMMENTARY: The revision to subsection (a) allows the judicial authority to limit the use of personal computers in a courtroom if it finds that such use is disruptive of the proceedings. The changes also allow other electronic devices to be brought into a courtroom, but provide that they may not be used unless authorized by a judicial authority or permitted by rule.

Subsection (b) recognizes that the chief court administrator has the supervision, care and control of court facilities. (See Gen. Stat. Secs. 4b-11 and 6-32f.)

Sec. 2-9. Certification of Applicants Recommended for Admission; Conditions of Admission

(a) The committee shall certify to the clerk of the superior court for the county in which the applicant seeks admission and to the clerk of the superior court in New Haven the name of any such applicant recommended by it for admission to the bar and shall notify the applicant of its decision.
(b) The committee may, in light of the physical or mental disability of a candidate, determine that it will only recommend an applicant for admission to the bar conditional upon the applicant’s compliance with conditions prescribed by the committee relevant to the disability and the fitness of the applicant. Such determination shall be made after a hearing on the record is conducted by the committee or a panel thereof consisting of at least three members appointed by the chair, unless such hearing is waived by the applicant. The committee shall notify the applicant by mail of its decision and that the applicant must sign an agreement with the bar examining committee under oath affirming acceptance of such conditions and that the applicant will comply with them. Upon receipt of this agreement from the applicant, duly executed, the committee shall recommend the applicant for admission to the bar as provided herein. The committee shall forward a copy of the agreement to the statewide bar counsel, who shall be considered a party for purposes of defending an appeal under Section 2-11A.

COMMENTARY: The above changes would make the setting of conditions of admission and the applicant’s acceptance of them an administrative process rather than a court process.

Sec. 2-11. [Admission by Superior Court with Conditions] Monitoring Compliance with Conditions of Admission; Removal or Modification of Conditions

(a) [If pursuant to the committee’s recommendation of admission with conditions as provided in Section 2-9, the court admits the person as an attorney subject to those or other conditions, the court shall as a further condition require the attorney’s compliance with the conditions of admission to be monitored by the statewide bar counsel pursuant to regulations adopted by the statewide grievance committee governing such monitoring. The court may, upon application of the attorney and after receiving a report on the matter from statewide bar counsel, remove or modify the conditions previously imposed as circumstances warrant.] If an applicant is admitted to the bar after signing an agreement with the bar examining committee under oath affirming acceptance of the conditions prescribed by the
committee pursuant to Section 2-9 (b) and that he or she will comply with them, the statewide bar counsel shall monitor the attorney’s compliance with those conditions pursuant to regulations adopted by the statewide grievance committee governing such monitoring. The attorney so admitted or the statewide bar counsel may make application to the bar examining committee to remove or modify the conditions previously agreed to by such attorney as circumstances warrant. The bar examining committee, or a panel thereof consisting of at least three members appointed by its chair, shall conduct a hearing on the application, which shall be on the record, and shall also receive and consider a report from statewide bar counsel on the matter. Such hearing may be waived by the applicant and the statewide bar counsel. If, upon such application, the bar examining committee modifies such conditions, the attorney shall sign an agreement with the bar examining committee under oath affirming acceptance of the modified conditions and that he or she will comply with them, and the statewide bar counsel shall monitor the attorney’s compliance with them. The statewide bar counsel shall be considered a party for purposes of defending an appeal under Section 2-11A. All information relating to conditional admission of an applicant or attorney shall remain confidential unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(b) Upon the failure of the attorney to comply with the conditions of admission or the monitoring requirements adopted by the statewide grievance committee, the statewide bar counsel shall apply to the court in the judicial district of Hartford at Hartford for an appropriate order. The court, after hearing upon such application, may take such action as it deems appropriate. Thereafter, upon application of the attorney or of the statewide bar counsel and upon good cause shown, the court may set aside or modify the order rendered pursuant hereto.

COMMENTARY: The above changes would make this section consistent with the proposed revisions to Section 2-9 and make the removal or modification of conditions of admission an administrative process rather than a court process.
(NEW) Sec. 2-11A. Appeal from Decision of Bar Examining Committee Concerning Conditions of Admission

(a) A decision by the bar examining committee prescribing conditions for admission to the bar under Section 2-9 (b) or on an application to remove or modify conditions of admission under Section 2-11 (a) may be appealed to the superior court by the bar applicant or attorney who is the subject of the decision. Within thirty days from the issuance of the decision of the bar examining committee the appellant shall: (1) file the appeal with the clerk of the superior court for the judicial district of Hartford and (2) mail a copy of the appeal by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the office of the statewide bar counsel and to the office of the director of the bar examining committee as agent for the bar examining committee. The statewide bar counsel shall be considered a party for purposes of defending an appeal under this section.

(b) The filing of an appeal shall not, of itself, stay enforcement of the bar examining committee’s decision. An application for a stay may be made to the bar examining committee, to the court or to both. Filing of an application with the bar examining committee shall not preclude action by the court. A stay, if granted, shall be on appropriate terms.

(c) Within thirty days after the service of the appeal, or within such further time as may be allowed by the court, the director of the bar examining committee shall transmit to the reviewing court a certified copy of the entire record of the proceeding appealed from, which shall include a transcript of any testimony heard by the bar examining committee and the decision of the bar examining committee. By stipulation of all parties to such appeal proceedings, the record may be shortened. The court may require or permit subsequent corrections or additions to the record.

(d) The appellant shall file a brief within thirty days after the filing of the record by the bar examining committee. The appellee shall file its brief within thirty days of the filing of the appellant’s brief. Unless permission is given by the court for good cause shown, briefs shall not exceed thirty-five pages.

(e) The appeal shall be conducted by the court without a jury and shall be confined to the record. If alleged irregularities in procedure before the bar examining committee are not shown in the record, proof limited thereto may be
taken in the court. The court, upon request, shall hear oral argument.

(f) Upon appeal, the court shall not substitute its judgment for that of the bar examining committee as to the weight of the evidence on questions of fact. The court shall affirm the decision of the committee unless the court finds that substantial rights of the appellant have been prejudiced because the committee’s findings, inferences, conclusions, or decisions are: (1) in violation of constitutional provisions, rules of practice or statutory provisions; (2) in excess of the authority of the committee; (3) made upon unlawful procedure; (4) affected by other error of law; (5) clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative, and substantial evidence on the whole record; or (6) arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion or clearly unwarranted exercise of discretion. If the court finds such prejudice, it shall sustain the appeal and, if appropriate, rescind the action of the bar examining committee or take such other action as may be necessary. For purposes of further appeal, the action taken by the superior court hereunder is a final judgment.

(g) In all appeals taken under this section, costs may be taxed in favor of the statewide bar counsel in the same manner, and to the same extent, that costs are allowed in judgments rendered by the superior court. No costs shall be taxed against the bar examining committee, except that the court may, in its discretion, award to the appellant reasonable fees and expenses if the court determines that the action of the bar examining committee was undertaken without any substantial justification. “Reasonable fees and expenses” means any expenses not in excess of $7500 which the court finds were reasonably incurred in opposing the committee’s action, including court costs, expenses incurred in administrative proceedings, attorney’s fees, witness fees of all necessary witnesses, and such other expenses as were reasonably incurred.

(h) All information relating to the conditional admission of an attorney, including information submitted in connection with the appeal under this section, shall be confidential unless otherwise ordered by the court.

COMMENTARY: The above section would allow the bar applicant or attorney to file a record appeal to the Superior
Court from a decision by the bar examining committee prescribing conditions for admission to the bar under the proposed revision to Section 2-9 (b) or on an application to remove or modify conditions of admission under the proposed revision to Section 2-11 (a).

Sec. 2-15A. —Authorized House Counsel

(a) Purpose

The purpose of this section is to clarify the status of house counsel as authorized house counsel as defined herein, and to confirm that such counsel are subject to regulation by the judges of the superior court. Notwithstanding any other section of this chapter relating to admission to the bar, this section shall authorize attorneys licensed to practice in jurisdictions other than Connecticut to be permitted to undertake these activities, as defined herein, in Connecticut without the requirement of taking the bar examination so long as they are exclusively employed by an organization.

(b) Definitions

(1) Authorized House Counsel. An “authorized house counsel” is any person who:

(A) is a member in good standing of the entity governing the practice of law of each state (other than Connecticut) or territory of the United States, or the District of Columbia or any foreign jurisdiction in which the member is licensed;

(B) has been certified on recommendation of the bar examining committee in accordance with this section;

(C) agrees to abide by the rules regulating members of the Connecticut bar and submit to the jurisdiction of the statewide grievance committee and the superior court; and

(D) is, at the date of application for registration under this rule, employed in the state of Connecticut by an organization or relocating to the state of Connecticut in furtherance of such employment within three months of such application under this section and receives or shall receive compensation for activities performed for that business organization.

(2) Organization. An “organization” for the purpose of this rule is a corporation, partnership, association, or other legal entity (taken together with its respective parents,
subsidiaries, and affiliates) that is not itself engaged in the practice of law or the rendering of legal services outside such organization, whether for a fee or otherwise, and does not charge or collect a fee for the representation or advice other than to entities comprising such organization for the activities of the authorized house counsel.

(c) Activities

(1) Authorized Activities. An authorized house counsel, as an employee of an organization, may provide legal services in the state of Connecticut to the organization for which a registration pursuant to subsection (d) is effective, provided, however, that such activities shall be limited to:

(A) the giving of legal advice to the directors, officers, employees, and agents of the organization with respect to its business and affairs;

(B) negotiating and documenting all matters for the organization; and

(C) representation of the organization in its dealings with any administrative agency, tribunal or commission having jurisdiction; provided, however, authorized house counsel shall not be permitted to make appearances as counsel before any state or municipal administrative tribunal, agency, or commission, and shall not be permitted to make appearances in any court of this state, unless the attorney is specially admitted to appear in a case before such tribunal, agency, commission or court.

(2) Disclosure. Authorized house counsel shall not represent themselves to be members of the Connecticut bar or commissioners of the superior court licensed to practice law in this state. Such counsel may represent themselves as Connecticut authorized house counsel.

(3) Limitation on Representation. In no event shall the activities permitted hereunder include the individual or personal representation of any shareholder, owner, partner, officer, employee, servant, or agent in any matter or transaction or the giving of advice therefor unless otherwise permitted or authorized by law, code, or rule or as may be permitted by subsection (c) (1). Authorized house counsel shall not be permitted to prepare legal instruments or documents on behalf of anyone other than the organization employing the authorized house counsel.
(4) **Limitation on Opinions to Third Parties.** An authorized house counsel shall not express or render a legal judgment or opinion to be relied upon by any third person or party other than legal opinions rendered in connection with commercial, financial or other business transactions to which the authorized house counsel’s employer organization is a party and in which the legal opinions have been requested from the authorized house counsel by another party to the transaction. Nothing in this subsection (c) (4) shall permit authorized house counsel to render legal opinions or advice in consumer transactions to customers of the organization employing the authorized house counsel.

(d) **Registration**

(1) **Filing with the Bar Examining Committee.** The bar examining committee shall investigate whether the applicant is at least eighteen years of age[,] and is of good moral character, consistent with the requirement of Section 2-8 (3) regarding applicants for admission to the bar[, and has fulfilled the educational requirements of Section 2-8 (4)]. In addition, the applicant shall file with the bar examining committee, and the committee shall consider, the following:

(A) a certificate from each entity governing the practice of law of a state or territory of the United States, or the District of Columbia or any foreign jurisdiction in which the applicant is licensed to practice law certifying that the applicant is a member in good standing;

(B) a sworn statement by the applicant:

   (i) that the applicant has read and is familiar with the Connecticut Rules of Professional Conduct for attorneys and Chapter 2 (Attorneys) of the Superior Court Rules, General Provisions, and will abide by the provisions thereof;

   (ii) that the applicant submits to the jurisdiction of the statewide grievance committee and the superior court for disciplinary purposes, and authorizes notification to or from the entity governing the practice of law of each state or territory of the United States, or the District of Columbia in which the applicant is licensed to practice law of any disciplinary action taken against the applicant;

   (iii) listing any jurisdiction in which the applicant is now or ever has been licensed to practice law; and
(iv) disclosing any disciplinary sanction or pending proceeding pertaining or relating to his or her license to practice law including, but not limited to, reprimand, censure, suspension or disbarment, or whether the applicant has been placed on inactive status;

(C) a certificate from an organization certifying that it is qualified as set forth in subsection (b) (2); that it is aware that the applicant is not licensed to practice law in Connecticut; and that the applicant is employed or about to be employed in Connecticut by the organization as set forth in subsection (b) (1) (D);

(D) an appropriate application pursuant to the regulations of the bar examining committee;

(E) remittance of a filing fee to the bar examining committee as prescribed and set by that committee; and

(F) an affidavit from each of two members of the Connecticut bar, who have each been licensed to practice law in Connecticut for at least five years, certifying that the applicant is of good moral character and that the applicant is employed or will be employed by an organization as defined above in subsection (b) (2).

(2) Certification. Upon recommendation of the bar examining committee, the court may certify the applicant as authorized house counsel and shall cause notice of such certification to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal.

(3) Annual Client Security Fund Fee. Individuals certified pursuant to this section shall comply with the requirements of Sections 2-68 and 2-70 of this chapter, including payment of the annual fee and shall pay any other fees imposed on attorneys by court rule.

(4) Annual Registration. Individuals certified pursuant to this section shall register annually with the statewide grievance committee in accordance with Sections 2-26 and 2-27 (d) of this chapter.

(e) Termination or Withdrawal of Registration

(1) Cessation of Authorization to Perform Services. Authorization to perform services under this rule shall cease upon the earliest of the following events:

(A) the termination or resignation of employment with the organization for which registration has been filed, provided, however, that if the authorized house counsel shall commence
employment with another organization within 30 days of the termination or resignation, authorization to perform services under this rule shall continue upon the filing with the bar examining committee of a certificate as set forth in subsection (d) (1) (C);

(B) the withdrawal of registration by the authorized house counsel;

(C) the relocation of an authorized house counsel outside of Connecticut for a period greater than 180 consecutive days; or

(D) the failure of authorized house counsel to comply with any applicable provision of this rule.

Notice of one of the events set forth in subsections (e) (1) (A) through (C) or a new certificate as provided in subsection (e) (1) (A) must be filed with the bar examining committee by the authorized house counsel within 30 days after such action. Failure to provide such notice by the authorized house counsel shall be a basis for discipline pursuant to the Rules of Professional Conduct for attorneys.

(2) Notice of Withdrawal of Authorization. Upon receipt of the notice required by subsection (e) (1), the bar examining committee shall forward a request to the statewide bar counsel that the authorization under this chapter be revoked. Notice of the revocation shall be mailed by the statewide bar counsel to the authorized house counsel and the organization employing the authorized house counsel.

(3) Reappliance. Nothing herein shall prevent an individual previously authorized as house counsel to reapply for authorization as set forth in subsection (d).

(f) Discipline

(1) Termination of Authorization by Court. In addition to any appropriate proceedings and discipline that may be imposed by the statewide grievance committee, the superior court may, at any time, with cause, terminate an authorized house counsel’s registration, temporarily or permanently.

(2) Notification to Other States. The statewide bar counsel shall be authorized to notify each entity governing the practice of law in the state or territory of the United States, or the District of Columbia, in which the authorized house counsel is licensed to practice law, of any disciplinary action against the authorized house counsel.
(g) Transition

(1) Preapplication Employment in Connecticut. The performance of an applicant’s duties as an employee of an organization in Connecticut prior to the effective date of this rule shall not be grounds for the denial of registration of such applicant if application for registration is made within 6 months of the effective date of this rule.

(2) Immunity from Enforcement Action. An authorized house counsel who has been duly registered under this rule shall not be subject to enforcement action for the unlicensed practice of law for acting as counsel to an organization prior to the effective date of this rule.

COMMENTARY: The above changes enable lawyers who are admitted to practice law in a foreign country but in no United States jurisdiction to register as authorized house counsel.

The transition provisions of subsection (g) shall apply to any applicant becoming eligible to become an authorized house counsel as a result of an amendment to this rule if application for registration is made within six months of the effective date of such amendment, and the effective date of such amendment shall be deemed the effective date of this rule for such purpose.

Sec. 2-27. Clients’ Funds; Lawyer Registration

(a) Consistent with the requirement of Rule 1.15 of the Rules of Professional Conduct, each lawyer or law firm shall maintain, separate from the lawyer’s or the firm’s personal funds, one or more accounts accurately reflecting the status of funds handled by the lawyer or firm as fiduciary or attorney, and shall not use such funds for any unauthorized purpose.

(b) Each lawyer or law firm maintaining one or more trust accounts as defined in Section 2-28 (b) shall keep records of the maintenance and disposition of all funds of clients or of third persons held by the lawyer or firm in a fiduciary capacity from the time of receipt to the time of final distribution. Each lawyer or law firm shall retain the records required under this section for a period of seven years after final distribution of such funds or any portion thereof. Specifically, each lawyer or law firm shall maintain the following in connection with each such trust account:
(1) a receipt and disbursement journal identifying all deposits in and withdrawals from the account and showing the running account balance;
(2) a separate accounting page or column for each client or third person for whom funds are held showing (A) all receipts and disbursements and (B) a running account balance;
(3) at least quarterly, a written reconciliation of trust account journals, client ledgers and bank statements;
(4) a list identifying all trust accounts as defined in Section 2-28 (b); and
(5) all checkbooks, bank statements, and canceled or voided checks.
(c) Such books of account and statements of reconciliation, and any other records required to be maintained pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, shall be made available upon request of the statewide grievance committee or its counsel, or the disciplinary counsel for review, examination or audit upon receipt of notice by the statewide grievance committee of an overdraft notice as provided by Section 2-28 (f). Upon the filing of a grievance complaint or a finding of probable cause, such records shall be made available upon request of the statewide grievance committee, its counsel or the disciplinary counsel for review or audit.
(d) Each lawyer shall register with the statewide grievance committee, on a form devised by the committee, the address of the lawyer’s office or offices maintained for the practice of law, the name and address of every financial institution with which the lawyer maintains any account in which the funds of more than one client are kept and the identification number of any such account, and any other information requested on such form. Such registrations will be made on an annual basis and at such time as the lawyer changes his or her address or addresses or location or identification number of any such trust account in which the funds of more than one client are kept. The registration forms filed pursuant to this subsection and pursuant to Section 2-26 shall not be public; however, all information obtained by the statewide grievance committee from these forms shall be public, except the following: trust account identification numbers; the lawyer’s home address; and the lawyer’s birth date. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, all non-public
information obtained from these forms shall be available only to the statewide grievance committee and its counsel, the reviewing committees, the grievance panels and their counsel, the bar examining committee, the standing committee on recommendations for admission to the bar, disciplinary counsel, the client security fund committee and its counsel, a judge of the superior court, a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, any grievance committee or other disciplinary authority of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut or, with the consent of the lawyer, to any other person. The registration requirements of this subsection shall not apply to judges of the supreme, appellate or superior courts, judge trial referees, family support magistrates, federal judges, federal magistrate judges, federal administrative law judges or federal bankruptcy judges.

(e) The statewide grievance committee or its counsel may conduct random inspections and audits of accounts maintained pursuant to subsection (b) of this section to determine whether such accounts are in compliance with this section and Rule 1.15 of the Rules of Professional Conduct. If any random inspection or audit performed under this subsection discloses an apparent violation of this section or the Rules of Professional Conduct, the matter may be referred to a grievance panel for further investigation or to the disciplinary counsel for presentment to the superior court. Any lawyer whose accounts are selected for inspection or audit under this section shall fully cooperate with the inspection or audit, which cooperation shall not be construed to be a violation of Rule 1.6 (a) of the Rules of Professional Conduct. Any records, documents or information obtained or produced pursuant to a random inspection or audit shall remain confidential unless and until a presentment is initiated by the disciplinary counsel alleging a violation of Rule 1.15 of the Rules of Professional Conduct or of this section, or [discipline is imposed by] probable cause is found by the grievance panel, the statewide grievance committee or a reviewing committee [for violations of said rule or this section]. [Prior to] Contemporaneously with the commencement of a presentment or the filing of a grievance complaint [a hearing held pursuant to Section 2-35 (c)], notice shall be given in writing by the
statewide grievance committee to any client or third person whose identity may be publicly disclosed through the disclosure of records obtained or produced in accordance with this subsection. Thereafter, public disclosure of such records [at a presentment or hearing held pursuant to Section 2-35(c)] shall be subject to the client or third person having thirty days from the issuance of the notice [the reasonable opportunity] to seek a court order restricting publication of any such records disclosing confidential information. During the thirty day period, or the pendency of any such motion, any document filed with the court or as part of a grievance record shall refer to such clients or third persons by pseudonyms or with appropriate redactions, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(f) Violation of this section shall constitute misconduct.

COMMENTARY: The above changes make this rule consistent with the changes made to Section 2-50 below and provide a procedure that allows a client or third person whose identity may be publicly disclosed through the disclosure of records under this section to take steps to prevent disclosure of his or her identity without delaying the disciplinary proceeding.

Sec. 2-32. Filing Complaints against Attorneys; Action; Time Limitation

(a) Any person, including disciplinary counsel, or a grievance panel on its own motion, may file a written complaint, executed under penalties of false statement, alleging attorney misconduct whether or not such alleged misconduct occurred in the actual presence of the court. Complaints against attorneys shall be filed with the statewide bar counsel. Within seven days of the receipt of a complaint the statewide bar counsel shall review the complaint and process it in accordance with subdivisions (1), (2) or (3) of this subsection as follows:

(1) forward the complaint to a grievance panel in the judicial district in which the respondent maintains his or her principal office or residence, provided that, if the respondent does not maintain such an address in this state, the statewide bar counsel shall forward the complaint to any grievance panel; and notify the complainant and the respondent, by certified mail with return receipt, of the panel to which the complaint
was sent. The notification to the respondent shall be accompanied by a copy of the complaint. The respondent shall respond within thirty days of the date notification is mailed to the respondent unless for good cause shown such time is extended by the grievance panel. The response shall be sent to the grievance panel to which the complaint has been referred. The failure to file a timely response shall constitute misconduct unless the respondent establishes that the failure to respond timely was for good cause shown;

(2) refer the complaint to the chair of the statewide grievance committee or an attorney designee of the chair and to a nonattorney member of the committee, and the statewide bar counsel in conjunction with the chair or attorney designee and the nonattorney member, shall if deemed appropriate, dismiss the complaint on one or more of the following grounds:

(A) the complaint only alleges a fee dispute and not a clearly excessive or improper fee;
(B) the complaint does not allege facts which, if true, would constitute a violation of any provision of the applicable rules governing attorney conduct;
(C) the complaint does not contain sufficient specific allegations on which to conduct an investigation;
(D) the complaint is duplicative of a previously adjudicated complaint;
(E) the complaint alleges that the last act or omission constituting the alleged misconduct occurred more than six years prior to the date on which the complaint was filed;

(i) Notwithstanding the period of limitation set forth in this subparagraph, an allegation of misconduct that would constitute a violation of Rule 1.15, 8.1 or 8.4 (2) through (6) of the Rules of Professional Conduct may still be considered as long as a written complaint is filed within one year of the discovery of such alleged misconduct.

(ii) Each period of limitation in this subparagraph is tolled during any period in which: (1) the alleged misconduct remains undiscovered due to active concealment; (2) the alleged misconduct would constitute a violation of Rule 1.8 (c) and the conditions precedent of the instrument have not been satisfied; (3) the alleged misconduct is part of a continuing course of misconduct; or (4) the aggrieved party is under the
age of majority, insane, or otherwise unable to file a complaint
due to mental or physical incapacitation.

(F) the complaint alleges misconduct occurring in a
superior court, appellate court or supreme court action and the
court has been made aware of the allegations of misconduct
and has rendered a decision finding misconduct or finding that
either no misconduct has occurred or that the allegations
should not be referred to the statewide grievance committee;

(G) the complaint alleges personal behavior outside the
practice of law which does not constitute a violation of the
Rules of Professional Conduct;

(H) the complaint alleges the nonpayment of incurred
indebtedness;

(I) the complaint names only a law firm or other entity
and not any individual attorney, unless dismissal would result
in gross injustice. If the complaint names a law firm or other
entity as well as an individual attorney or attorneys, the
complaint shall be dismissed only as against the law firm or
entity;

(J) the complaint alleges misconduct occurring in
another jurisdiction in which the attorney is also admitted and
in which the attorney maintains an office to practice law, and
it would be more practicable for the matter to be determined in
the other jurisdiction. If a complaint is dismissed pursuant to
this subdivision, it shall be without prejudice and the matter
shall be referred by the statewide bar counsel to the
jurisdiction in which the conduct is alleged to have occurred.

(3) If a complaint alleges only a fee dispute within the
meaning of subsection (a) (2) (A) of this section, the statewide
bar counsel in conjunction with the chairperson or attorney
designee and the nonattorney member may stay further
proceedings on the complaint on such terms and conditions
deemed appropriate, including referring the parties to fee
arbitration. The record and result of any such fee arbitration
shall be filed with the statewide bar counsel and shall be
dispositive of the complaint. A party who refuses to utilize the
no cost fee arbitration service provided by the Connecticut Bar
Association shall pay the cost of the arbitration.

(b) The statewide bar counsel, chair or attorney
designee and nonattorney member shall have fourteen days
from the date the complaint was filed to determine whether to
dismiss the complaint. If after review by the statewide bar counsel, chair or attorney designee and nonattorney member it is determined that the complaint should be forwarded to a grievance panel for investigation in accordance with subsections (f) through (j) of this section, the complaint shall be so forwarded in accordance with subsection (a) (1) of this section within seven days of the determination to forward the complaint.

(c) If the complaint is dismissed by the statewide bar counsel in conjunction with the chair or attorney designee and nonattorney member, the complainant and respondent shall be notified of the dismissal in writing. The respondent shall be provided with a copy of the complaint with the notice of dismissal. The notice of dismissal shall set forth the reason or reasons for the dismissal. The complainant shall have fourteen days from the date notice of the dismissal is mailed to the complainant to file an appeal of the dismissal. The appeal shall be in writing setting forth the basis of the appeal and shall be filed with the statewide bar counsel who shall forward it to a reviewing committee for decision on the appeal. The reviewing committee shall review the appeal and render a decision thereon within sixty days of the filing of the appeal. The reviewing committee shall either affirm the dismissal of the complaint or order the complaint forwarded to a grievance panel for investigation in accordance with subsections (f) through (j) of this section. The decision of the reviewing committee shall be in writing and mailed to the complainant. The decision of the reviewing committee shall be final.

(d) The statewide bar counsel shall keep a record of all complaints filed. The complainant and the respondent shall notify the statewide bar counsel of any change of address or telephone number during the pendency of the proceedings on the complaint.

(e) If for good cause a grievance panel declines, or is unable pursuant to Section 2-29 (d), to investigate a complaint, it shall forthwith return the complaint to the statewide bar counsel to be referred by him or her immediately to another panel. Notification of such referral shall be given by the statewide bar counsel to the complainant and the respondent by certified mail with return receipt.
(f) The grievance panel, with the assistance of the grievance counsel assigned to it, shall investigate each complaint to determine whether probable cause exists that the attorney is guilty of misconduct. The grievance panel may, upon the vote of a majority of its members, require that a disciplinary counsel pursue the matter before the grievance panel on the issue of probable cause.

(g) Investigations and proceedings of the grievance panel shall be confidential unless the attorney under investigation requests that such investigation and proceedings be public.

(h) On the request of the respondent and for good cause shown, or on its own motion, the grievance panel may conduct a hearing on the complaint. The complainant and respondent shall be entitled to be present at any proceedings on the complaint at which testimony is given and to have counsel present, provided, however, that they shall not be entitled to examine or cross-examine witnesses unless requested by the grievance panel.

(i) The panel shall, within one hundred and ten days from the date the complaint was referred to it, unless such time is extended pursuant to subsection (j), do one of the following: (1) If the panel determines that probable cause exists that the respondent is guilty of misconduct, it shall file the following with the statewide grievance committee and with the disciplinary counsel: (A) its written determination that probable cause exists that the respondent is guilty of misconduct, (B) a copy of the complaint and response, (C) a transcript of any testimony heard by the panel, (D) a copy of any investigatory file and copies of any documents, transcripts or other written materials which were available to the panel. These materials shall constitute the panel’s record in the case. (2) If the panel determines that no probable cause exists that the respondent is guilty of misconduct, it shall dismiss the complaint unless there is an allegation in the complaint that the respondent committed a crime. Such dismissal shall be final and there shall be no review of the matter by the statewide grievance committee, but the panel shall file with the statewide grievance committee a copy of its decision dismissing the complaint and the materials set forth in subsection (i) (1) (B), (C) and (D). In cases in which there is an
allegation in the complaint that the respondent committed a crime, the panel shall file with the statewide grievance committee and with disciplinary counsel its written determination that no probable cause exists and the materials set forth in subsection (i) (1) (B), (C) and (D). These materials shall constitute the panel’s record in the case.

(j) The panel may file a motion for extension of time not to exceed thirty days with the statewide grievance committee which may grant the motion only upon a finding of good cause. If the panel does not complete its action on a complaint within the time provided in this section, the statewide grievance committee shall inquire into the delay and shall order that the panel take action on the complaint forthwith, or order that the complaint be forwarded to and heard by another panel or a reviewing committee designated by the statewide grievance committee. The panel shall not make a probable cause determination based, in full or in part, on a claim of misconduct not alleged in the complaint without first notifying the respondent that it is considering such action and affording the respondent the opportunity to be heard.

(k) The panel shall notify the complainant, the respondent, and the statewide grievance committee of its determination. The determination shall be a matter of public record if the panel determines that probable cause exists that the respondent is guilty of misconduct.

COMMENTARY: The above change makes this section consistent with the changes made to Section 2-50 below.

Sec. 2-35. Action by Statewide Grievance Committee or Reviewing Committee

(a) Upon receipt of the record from a grievance panel, the statewide grievance committee may assign the case to a reviewing committee which shall consist of at least three members of the statewide grievance committee, at least one third of whom are not attorneys. The statewide grievance committee may, in its discretion, reassign the case to a different reviewing committee. The committee shall regularly rotate membership on reviewing committees and assignments of complaints from the various grievance panels. An attorney who maintains an office for the practice of law in the same
judicial district as the respondent may not sit on the reviewing committee for that case.

(b) The statewide grievance committee and the reviewing committee shall have the power to issue a subpoena to compel any person to appear before it to testify in relation to any matter deemed by the statewide grievance committee or the reviewing committee to be relevant to the complaint and to produce before it for examination any books or papers which, in its judgment, may be relevant to such complaint. Any such testimony shall be on the record.

(c) If the grievance panel determined that probable cause exists that the respondent is guilty of misconduct, the statewide grievance committee or the reviewing committee shall hold a hearing on the complaint. If the grievance panel determined that probable cause does not exist, but filed the matter with the statewide grievance committee because the complaint alleges that a crime has been committed, the statewide grievance committee or the reviewing committee shall review the determination of no probable cause, take evidence if it deems it appropriate and, if it determines that probable cause does exist, shall take the following action: (1) if the statewide grievance committee reviewed the grievance panel’s determination, it shall hold a hearing concerning the complaint or assign the matter to a reviewing committee to hold the hearing; or (2) if a reviewing committee reviewed the grievance panel’s determination, it shall hold a hearing concerning the complaint or refer the matter to the statewide grievance committee which shall assign it to another reviewing committee to hold the hearing. At least two of the same members of a reviewing committee shall be physically present at all hearings held by such reviewing committee. Unless waived by the disciplinary counsel and the respondent, the remaining member of the reviewing committee shall obtain and review the transcript of each such hearing and shall participate in the committee’s determination. The review by the statewide grievance committee or reviewing committee of a grievance panel determination that probable cause exists shall not be limited to the grievance panel determination. The statewide grievance committee or reviewing committee may review the entire record and determine whether any allegation in the complaint, or any issue arising from the review of the record or
arising during any hearing on the complaint, supports a finding of probable cause of misconduct. If either the statewide grievance committee or the reviewing committee determines that probable cause does exist, it shall issue a written notice which shall include but not be limited to the following: (i) a description of the factual allegation or allegations that were considered in rendering the determination; and (ii) for each such factual allegation, an identification of the specific provision or provisions of the applicable rules governing attorney conduct considered in rendering the determination.

The statewide grievance committee or reviewing committee shall not make a probable cause determination based, in full or in part, on a claim of misconduct not alleged in the complaint without first notifying the respondent that it is contemplating such action and affording the respondent the opportunity to be heard. All hearings following a determination of probable cause shall be public and on the record, except for contemplated probable cause hearings which shall be confidential unless the respondent requests that such hearing be public.

(d) The complainant and respondent shall be entitled to be present at all hearings and other proceedings on the complaint at which testimony is given and to have counsel present. At all hearings, the respondent shall have the right to be heard in the respondent’s own defense and by witnesses and counsel. The disciplinary counsel shall pursue the matter before the statewide grievance committee or reviewing committee. The disciplinary counsel and the respondent shall be entitled to examine or cross-examine witnesses. At the conclusion of the evidentiary phase of a hearing, the complainant, the disciplinary counsel and the respondent shall have the opportunity to make a statement, either individually or through counsel. The statewide grievance committee or reviewing committee may request oral argument.

(e) Within ninety days of the date the grievance panel filed its determination with the statewide grievance committee pursuant to Section 2-32 (i), the reviewing committee shall render a final written decision dismissing the complaint, imposing sanctions and conditions as authorized by Section 2-37 or directing the disciplinary counsel to file a presentment against the respondent in the superior court and file it with the statewide grievance committee. Where there is a final decision
dismissing the complaint, the reviewing committee may give notice in a written summary order to be followed by a full written decision. The reviewing committee’s record in the case shall consist of a copy of all evidence it received or considered, including a transcript of any testimony heard by it, and its decision. The record shall also be sent to the statewide grievance committee. The reviewing committee shall forward a copy of the final decision to the complainant, the disciplinary counsel, the respondent, and the grievance panel to which the complaint was forwarded. The decision shall be a matter of public record if there was a determination by a grievance panel, a reviewing committee or the statewide grievance committee that there was probable cause that the respondent was guilty of misconduct [if the grievance complaint is not dismissed]. The reviewing committee may file a motion for extension of time not to exceed thirty days with the statewide grievance committee which shall grant the motion only upon a showing of good cause. If the reviewing committee does not complete its action on a complaint within the time provided in this section, the statewide grievance committee shall, on motion of the complainant or the respondent or on its own motion, inquire into the delay and determine the appropriate course of action. Enforcement of the final decision, including the publication of the notice of a reprimand pursuant to Section 2-54, shall be stayed for thirty days from the date of the issuance to the parties of the final decision. In the event the respondent timely submits to the statewide grievance committee a request for review of the final decision of the reviewing committee, such stay shall remain in full force and effect pursuant to Section 2-38 (b).

(f) If the reviewing committee finds probable cause to believe the respondent has violated the criminal law of this state, it shall report its findings to the chief state’s attorney.

(g) Within thirty days of the issuance to the parties of the final decision by the reviewing committee, the respondent may submit to the statewide grievance committee a request for review of the decision. Any request for review submitted under this section must specify the basis for the request including, but not limited to, a claim or claims that the reviewing committee’s findings, inferences, conclusions or decision is or are: (1) in violation of constitutional, rules of
practice or statutory provisions; (2) in excess of the authority of the reviewing committee; (3) made upon unlawful procedure; (4) affected by other error of law; (5) clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative, and substantial evidence on the whole record; or (6) arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion or clearly unwarranted exercise of discretion and the specific basis for such claim or claims. For grievance complaints filed on or after January 1, 2004, the respondent shall serve a copy of the request for review on disciplinary counsel in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17. Within fourteen days of the respondent’s submission of a request for review, disciplinary counsel may file a response. Disciplinary counsel shall serve a copy of the response on the respondent in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17. No reply to the response shall be allowed.

(h) If, after its review of a complaint pursuant to this section that was forwarded to the statewide grievance committee pursuant to Section 2-32 (i) (2), a reviewing committee agrees with a grievance panel’s determination that probable cause does not exist that the attorney is guilty of misconduct and there has been no finding of probable cause by the statewide grievance committee or a reviewing committee, the reviewing committee shall have the authority to dismiss the complaint within the time period set forth in subsection (e) of this section without review by the statewide grievance committee. The reviewing committee shall file its decision dismissing the complaint with the statewide grievance committee along with the record of the matter and shall send a copy of the decision to the complainant, the respondent, and the grievance panel to which the complaint was assigned.

(i) If the statewide grievance committee does not assign a complaint to a reviewing committee, it shall have one hundred and twenty days from the date the panel’s determination was filed with it to render a decision dismissing the complaint, imposing sanctions and conditions as authorized by Section 2-37 or directing the disciplinary counsel to file a presentment against the respondent. The decision shall be a matter of public record. The failure of a reviewing committee to complete its action on a complaint within the period of time provided in this section shall not be cause for dismissal of the complaint. If the statewide grievance committee finds probable
cause to believe that the respondent has violated the criminal law of this state, it shall report its findings to the chief state’s attorney.

COMMENTARY: The above changes make this section consistent with the changes made to Section 2-50 below.

Sec. 2-38. Appeal from Decision of Statewide Grievance Committee or Reviewing Committee [to Reprimand] Imposing Sanctions or Conditions

(a) A respondent may appeal to the superior court a decision by the statewide grievance committee or a reviewing committee [reprimanding] imposing sanctions or conditions against the respondent, in accordance with Section 2-37(a). [except that a] A respondent may not appeal a decision by a reviewing committee [reprimanding] imposing sanctions or conditions against the respondent if the respondent has not timely requested a review of the decision by the statewide grievance committee under Section 2-35 (g). Within thirty days from the issuance, pursuant to Section 2-36, of the decision of the statewide grievance committee, the respondent shall: (1) file the appeal with the clerk of the superior court for the judicial district of Hartford and (2) mail a copy of the appeal by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the office of the statewide bar counsel as agent for the statewide grievance committee and to the office of the chief disciplinary counsel.

(b) Enforcement of a final decision by the statewide grievance committee [reprimanding] imposing sanctions or conditions against the respondent pursuant to Section 2-35 (i), including the publication of the notice of a reprimand in accordance with Section 2-54, shall be stayed for thirty days from the issuance to the parties of such decision. Enforcement of a decision by a reviewing committee [reprimanding] imposing sanctions or conditions against the respondent, including the publication of the notice of a reprimand in accordance with Section 2-54, shall be stayed for thirty days from the issuance to the parties of the final decision of the reviewing committee pursuant to Section 2-35 (g). If within that period the respondent files with the statewide grievance committee a request for review of the reviewing committee’s decision, the stay shall remain in effect for thirty days from the issuance by the statewide grievance committee of its final
decision pursuant to Section 2-36. If the respondent timely commences an appeal pursuant to subsection (a) of this section, such stay shall remain in full force and effect until the conclusion of all proceedings, including all appeals, relating to the decision [reprimanding] imposing sanctions or conditions against the respondent. If at the conclusion of all proceedings, the decision [reprimanding] imposing sanctions or conditions against the respondent is rescinded, the complaint shall be deemed dismissed as of the date of the [reprimand] decision [for all purposes, including the application of Section 2-50 (b)] imposing sanctions or conditions against the respondent. An application to terminate the stay may be made to the court and shall be granted if the court is of the opinion that the appeal is taken only for delay or that the due administration of justice requires that the stay be terminated.

(c) Within thirty days after the service of the appeal, or within such further time as may be allowed by the court, the statewide bar counsel shall transmit to the reviewing court a certified copy of the entire record of the proceeding appealed from, which shall include the grievance panel’s record in the case, as defined in Section 2-32 (i), and a copy of the statewide grievance committee’s record or the reviewing committee’s record in the case, which shall include a transcript of any testimony heard by it or by a reviewing committee which is required by rule to be on the record, any decision by the reviewing committee in the case, any requests filed pursuant to Section 2-35 (g) of this section, and a copy of the statewide grievance committee’s decision on the request for review. By stipulation of all parties to such appeal proceedings, the record may be shortened. The court may require or permit subsequent corrections or additions to the record.

(d) The appeal shall be conducted by the court without a jury and shall be confined to the record. If alleged irregularities in procedure before the statewide grievance committee or reviewing committee are not shown in the record, proof limited thereto may be taken in the court. The court, upon request, shall hear oral argument.

(e) The respondent shall file a brief within thirty days after the filing of the record by the statewide bar counsel. The disciplinary counsel shall file his or her brief within thirty days of the filing of the respondent’s brief. Unless permission is
given by the court for good cause shown, briefs shall not exceed thirty-five pages.

(f) Upon appeal, the court shall not substitute its judgment for that of the statewide grievance committee or reviewing committee as to the weight of the evidence on questions of fact. The court shall affirm the decision of the committee unless the court finds that substantial rights of the respondent have been prejudiced because the committee’s findings, inferences, conclusions, or decisions are: (1) in violation of constitutional provisions, rules of practice or statutory provisions; (2) in excess of the authority of the committee; (3) made upon unlawful procedure; (4) affected by other error of law; (5) clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative, and substantial evidence on the whole record; or (6) arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion or clearly unwarranted exercise of discretion. If the court finds such prejudice, it shall sustain the appeal and, if appropriate, rescind the action of the statewide grievance committee or take such other action as may be necessary. For purposes of further appeal, the action taken by the superior court hereunder is a final judgment.

(g) In all appeals taken under this section, costs may be taxed in favor of the statewide grievance committee in the same manner, and to the same extent, that costs are allowed in judgments rendered by the superior court. No costs shall be taxed against the statewide grievance committee, except that the court may, in its discretion, award to the respondent reasonable fees and expenses if the court determines that the action of the committee was undertaken without any substantial justification. “Reasonable fees and expenses” means any expenses not in excess of $7500 which the court finds were reasonably incurred in opposing the committee’s action, including court costs, expenses incurred in administrative proceedings, attorney’s fees, witness fees of all necessary witnesses, and such other expenses as were reasonably incurred.

COMMENTARY: The above changes clarify that an attorney may file an appeal to the Superior Court from any sanction imposed on the attorney under Section 2-37.
Sec. 2-50. Records of Statewide Grievance Committee, Reviewing Committee and Grievance Panel

(a) The statewide grievance committee shall maintain the record of each grievance proceeding. The record in a grievance proceeding shall consist of the following:

(1) The grievance panel’s record as set forth in Section 2-32 (i);

(2) The reviewing committee’s record as set forth in Section 2-35 (e);

(3) The statewide grievance committee’s record;

(4) Any probable cause determinations issued by the statewide grievance committee or a reviewing committee;

(5) Transcripts of hearings held before the statewide grievance committee or a reviewing committee;

(6) The reviewing committee’s proposed decision;

(7) Any statement submitted to the statewide grievance committee concerning a proposed decision;

(8) The statewide grievance committee’s final decision;

(9) The reviewing committee’s final decision;

(10) Any request for review submitted to the statewide grievance committee concerning a reviewing committee’s decision; and

(11) The statewide grievance committee’s decision on the request for review.

(b) The following records of the statewide grievance committee shall not be [non]-public:

(1) All records pertaining to grievance complaints that have been decided by a local grievance committee prior to July 1, 1986.

[(2) All records pertaining to grievance complaints that have been filed on or after July 1, 1986, and that have been dismissed by a grievance panel, by the statewide grievance committee or by a reviewing committee. For purposes of this section, all grievance complaints that were pending before a grievance panel on July 1, 1986, shall be deemed to have been filed on that date.]

(2) All records of pending grievance complaints in which probable cause has not yet been determined.

[(3) All records of complaints dismissed pursuant to Section 2-32 (a) (2).]
(3) All records pertaining to grievance complaints that have been filed on or after July 1, 1986, and that have been dismissed by a grievance panel, by the statewide grievance committee or by a reviewing committee without a finding of probable cause that the attorney is guilty of misconduct.

(4) All records of complaints dismissed pursuant to Section 2-32 (a) (2) and 2-32 (c).

(c) All records enumerated in subsection (a) pertaining to grievance complaints that have been filed on or after July 1, 1986 in which probable cause has been found that the attorney is guilty of misconduct shall be public, whether or not the complaint is subsequently dismissed.

(4) All records of the statewide grievance committee and grievance panels pertaining to grievance proceedings that have been concluded by: (A) a final judgment of the superior court, after all appeals are exhausted, in a proceeding under Section 2-38 rescinding a reprimand, including a judgment directed on an appeal from the superior court; (B) a final judgment of the superior court, after all appeals are exhausted, in a proceeding commenced pursuant to Section 2-47, dismissing a presentment, including a judgment directed on an appeal from the superior court; or (C) a final judgment of the superior court, after all appeals are exhausted, dismissing a proceeding commenced pursuant to Sections 2-39 through 2-46 or Section 2-52, including a judgment directed on an appeal from the superior court.

(5) All records of pending grievance complaints in which probable cause has not yet been determined.

(c) Unless otherwise ordered by the court, all non-public records that are not public shall be available only to the statewide grievance committee and its counsel, the reviewing committees, the grievance panels and their counsel, the bar examining committee, the standing committee on recommendations for admission to the bar, disciplinary counsel, the client security fund committee and its counsel, a judge of the superior court, a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, any grievance committee or other disciplinary authority of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut or, with the consent of the respondent, to any other person. Such records
may be used or considered in any subsequent disciplinary or client security fund proceeding pertaining to the respondent.

[d] The following records of the statewide grievance committee shall be public:

1. Prior to a final decision being issued by the statewide grievance committee or a reviewing committee, the following portions of the record: (A) the grievance panel’s probable cause determination(s); (B) any probable cause determination(s) issued by the statewide grievance committee or a reviewing committee; and (C) transcripts of any public hearings held following a determination that probable cause exists.

2. After a final decision has been issued by the statewide grievance committee or a reviewing committee, all records pertaining to grievance complaints that have been filed on or after July 1, 1986, and that have not been dismissed or are not otherwise classified by this rule as non-public.

(e) Any respondent who was the subject of a complaint in which the respondent was misidentified and the complaint was dismissed shall be deemed to have never been subject to disciplinary proceedings with respect to that complaint and may so swear under oath. Records of such grievance complaints shall not be public.

(f) For purposes of this section, all grievance complaints that were pending before a grievance panel on July 1, 1986, shall be deemed to have been filed on that date.

COMMENTARY: The above changes will make public the entire record of the grievance complaint once probable cause has been found that the attorney is guilty of misconduct regardless of whether the complaint is subsequently dismissed.

Sec. 3-9. Withdrawal of Appearance; Duration of Appearance

(a) An attorney or party whose appearance has been filed shall be deemed to have withdrawn such appearance upon failure to file a written objection within ten days after written notice has been given or mailed to such attorney or party that a new appearance has been filed in place of the appearance of such attorney or party in accordance with Section 3-8.

(b) An attorney may withdraw his or her appearance for a party or parties in any action after the appearance of other
counsel representing the same party or parties has been entered. An application for withdrawal in accordance with this subsection shall state that such an appearance has been entered and that such party or parties are being represented by such other counsel at the time of the application. Such an application may be granted by the clerk as of course, if such an appearance by other counsel has been entered.

(c) All appearances of counsel shall be deemed to have been withdrawn 180 days after the entry of judgment in any action seeking a dissolution of marriage or civil union, annulment, or legal separation, provided no appeal shall have been taken. In the event of an appeal or the filing of a motion to open a judgment within such 180 days, all appearances of counsel shall be deemed to have been withdrawn after final judgment on such appeal or motion or within 180 days after the entry of the original judgment, whichever is later. Nothing herein shall preclude or prevent any attorney from filing a motion to withdraw with leave of the court during that period subsequent to the entry of judgment. In the absence of a specific withdrawal, counsel will continue of record for all postjudgment purposes until 180 days have elapsed from the entry of judgment or, in the event an appeal or a motion to open a judgment is filed within such 180 day period, until final judgment on that appeal or determination of that motion, whichever is later.

(d) Except as provided in subsections (a), (b) and (c), no attorney shall withdraw his or her appearance after it has been entered upon the record of the court without the leave of the court.

(e) All appearances in juvenile matters shall be deemed to continue during the period of delinquency or family with service needs supervision or during the period of any commitment to the commissioner of the department of children and families or protective supervision. [or during the period until final adoption following termination of parental rights; however, in the absence of a specific request, no] An attorney appointed by the Chief Child Protection Attorney to represent a parent in a prior pending neglect or uncared for proceeding shall automatically continue to represent the parent for any subsequent petition to terminate parental rights if the parent
appears at the first hearing on the termination petition and qualifies for appointed counsel, unless the attorney files a motion to withdraw pursuant to Section 3-10 that is granted by the judicial authority or the parent requests a new attorney. The attorney shall represent the client in connection with appeals, subject to Section 35-4 (b) 35a-20, and with [petitions for extensions,] motions for review of permanency plans, revocations or postjudgment motions and shall have access to any documents filed in court. The attorney for the child shall continue to represent the child in all proceedings relating to the child, including termination of parental rights and during the period until final adoption following termination of parental rights.

COMMENTARY: The amendments to this section are consistent with existing practice and assure that at the first hearing there is an attorney present.

Sec. 4-4. Electronic Filing

Papers may be filed, signed or verified by electronic means that comply with procedures and technical standards established by the office of the chief court administrator, which may also set forth the manner in which such papers shall be kept by the clerk. A paper filed by electronic means in compliance with such procedures and standards constitutes a written paper for the purpose of applying these rules.

COMMENTARY: The revision clarifies that the office of the chief court administrator, in addition to establishing procedures for the electronic filing of papers, may also establish procedures concerning the manner in which the clerk may keep papers that are filed electronically.

Sec. 7-20. Records of Short Calendar Matters

The clerk shall keep a record of all matters assigned for hearing on the civil short calendar together with the disposition made of them. Such records shall be retained for such period and in such format as determined by the chief court administrator.

COMMENTARY: The revision is intended to allow the clerk’s short calendar records to be kept in an electronic format instead of in paper form. These records are not part of the court file.
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO
THE CIVIL RULES

Sec. 13-4. –Experts

(a) A party shall disclose each person who may be called by that party to testify as an expert witness at trial, and all documents that may be offered in evidence in lieu of such expert testimony, in accordance with this section. The requirements of Section 13-15 shall apply to disclosures made under this section.

(b) A party shall file with the court and serve upon counsel a disclosure of expert witnesses which identifies the name, address and employer of each person who may be called by that party to testify as an expert witness at trial, whether through live testimony or by deposition. In addition, the disclosure shall include the following information:

(1) Except as provided in subdivision (b) (2) of this section, the field of expertise and the subject matter on which the witness is expected to offer expert testimony; the expert opinions to which the witness is expected to testify; and the substance of the grounds for each such expert opinion. Disclosure of the information required under this subsection may be made by making reference in the disclosure to, and contemporaneously producing to all parties, a written report of the expert witness containing such information.

(2) If the witness to be disclosed hereunder is a health care provider who rendered care or treatment to the plaintiff, and the opinions to be offered hereunder are based upon that provider’s care or treatment, then the disclosure obligations under this section may be satisfied by disclosure to the parties of the medical records and reports of such care or treatment. A witness disclosed under this subsection shall be permitted to offer expert opinion testimony at trial as to any opinion as to which fair notice is given in the disclosed medical records or reports. Expert testimony regarding any opinion as to which fair notice is not given in the disclosed medical records or reports shall not be permitted unless the opinion is disclosed in accordance with subdivision (b) (1) of this section.

(3) Except for an expert witness who is a health care provider who rendered care or treatment to the plaintiff, or unless otherwise ordered by the judicial authority or agreed
upon by the parties, the party disclosing an expert witness shall, within thirty days of such disclosure, produce to all other parties all materials obtained, created and/or relied upon by the expert in connection with his or her opinions in the case. If any such materials have already been produced to the other parties in the case, then a list of such materials, made with sufficient particularity that the materials can be easily identified by the parties, shall satisfy the production requirement hereunder with respect to those materials.

(4) Nothing in this section shall prohibit any witness disclosed hereunder from offering non-expert testimony at trial.

c) (1) Unless otherwise ordered by the judicial authority upon motion, a party may take the deposition of any expert witness disclosed pursuant to subdivision (b) of this section in the manner prescribed in Section 13-26 et seq. governing deposition procedure generally. Nothing contained in subsection (b) of this section shall impair the right of any party from exercising that party's rights under the rules of practice to subpoena or request production of any materials, to the extent otherwise discoverable, in addition to those produced under subsection (b) of this section, in connection with the deposition of any expert witness.

(2) Unless otherwise ordered by the judicial authority for good cause shown, or agreed upon by the parties, the fees and expenses of the expert witness for any such deposition, excluding preparation time, shall be paid by the party or parties taking the deposition. Unless otherwise ordered, the fees and expenses hereunder shall include only (A) a reasonable fee for the time of the witness to attend the deposition itself and the witness's travel time to and from the place of deposition; and (B) the reasonable expenses actually incurred for travel to and from the place of deposition and lodging, if necessary. If the parties are unable to agree on the fees and expenses due under this subsection, the amount shall be set by the judicial authority, upon motion.

d) (1) A party shall file with the court a list of all documents or records that the party expects to submit in evidence pursuant to any statute or rule permitting admissibility of documentary evidence in lieu of the live testimony of an expert witness. The list filed hereunder shall identify such documents or records with sufficient particularity
that they shall be easily identified by the other parties. The parties shall not file with the court a copy of the documents or records on such list.

(2) Unless otherwise ordered by the judicial authority upon motion, a party may take the deposition of any expert witness whose records are disclosed pursuant to subdivision (d) (1) of this section in the manner prescribed in Section 13-26 et seq. governing deposition procedure generally. Nothing contained in subsection (d) of this section shall impair the right of any party from exercising that party’s rights under the rules of practice to subpoena or request production of any materials, to the extent otherwise discoverable, in addition to those produced under subsection (d), in connection with the deposition of any expert witness.

(3) Unless otherwise ordered by the judicial authority for good cause shown, or agreed upon by the parties, the fees and expenses of the expert witness for any such deposition, excluding preparation time, shall be paid by the party or parties taking the deposition. Unless otherwise ordered, the fees and expenses hereunder shall include only (A) a reasonable fee for the time of the witness to attend the deposition itself and the witness’s travel time to and from the place of deposition; and (B) the reasonable expenses actually incurred for travel to and from the place of deposition and lodging, if necessary. If the parties are unable to agree on the fees and expenses due under this subsection, the amount shall be set by the judicial authority, upon motion.

(e) If any party expects to call as an expert witness at trial any person previously disclosed by any other party under subsection (b) hereof, the newly disclosing party shall file a notice of disclosure stating that the party adopts the expert disclosure already on file, or a specified part thereof. Such notice shall be filed within the time parameters set forth in subsection (g).

(f) A party may discover facts known or opinions held by an expert who had been retained or specially employed by another party in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial and who is not expected to be called as a witness at trial only as provided in Section 13-11 or upon a showing of exceptional circumstances under which it is impracticable for the party
seeking discovery to obtain facts or opinions on the same subject by other means.

(g) Unless otherwise ordered by the judicial authority the following schedule shall govern the expert discovery required under subsection (b), (c), (d) and (e) of this section.

1) Within one hundred and twenty days after the return date of any civil action, or at such other time as the court may order, the parties shall submit to the court for its approval a proposed “Schedule For Expert Discovery” which, upon approval by the court, shall govern the timing of expert discovery in the case. The deadlines proposed by the parties shall be realistic and reasonable, taking into account the nature and relative complexity of the case, the need for predicate discovery, and the estimated time until the case may be exposed for trial. If the parties are unable to agree on discovery deadlines, they shall so indicate on the proposed Schedule for Expert Discovery, in which event the court shall convene a scheduling conference to set those deadlines.

2) If a party is added or appears in a case after the proposed Schedule for Expert Discovery is filed, then an amended proposed Schedule for Expert Discovery shall be prepared and filed for approval by the court within sixty days after such new party appears, or at such other time as the court may order.

3) Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, disclosure of any expert witness under subsection (e) hereof shall be made within thirty days of the event giving rise to the need for that party to adopt the expert disclosure as its own (e.g., the withdrawal or dismissal of the party originally disclosing the expert).

4) Any request for modification of the approved Schedule For Expert Discovery or of any other time limitation under this section shall be made by motion stating the reasons therefore, and shall be granted if (A) agreed upon by the parties and will not interfere with the trial date; or (B) (i) the requested modification will not cause undue prejudice to any other party; (ii) the requested modification will not cause undue interference with the trial schedule in the case; and (iii) the need for the requested modification was not caused by bad faith delay of disclosure by the party seeking the modification.
(h) A judicial authority may, after a hearing, impose sanctions on a party for failure to comply with the requirements of this section. An order precluding the testimony of an expert witness may be entered only upon a finding that
(1) the sanction of preclusion, including any consequence thereof on the sanctioned party’s ability to prosecute or defend the case, is proportional to the non-compliance at issue, and
(2) the non-compliance at issue cannot adequately be addressed by a less severe sanction or combination of sanctions.

[Discovery of facts known and opinions held by experts, otherwise discoverable under the provisions of Section 13-2 and acquired or developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial, may be obtained only as follows:

(1) (A) A party may through interrogatories require any other party to identify each person whom the other party expects to call as an expert witness at trial, to state the subject matter on which the expert is expected to testify, and to state the substance of the facts and opinions to which the expert is expected to testify and a summary of the grounds for each opinion. (B) Unless otherwise ordered by the judicial authority upon motion, a party may take the deposition of any expert witness disclosed pursuant to subdivision (1) (A) of this rule in the manner prescribed in Section 13-26 et seq. governing deposition procedure generally.

(2) A party may discover facts known or opinions held by an expert who had been retained or specially employed by another party in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial and who is not expected to be called as a witness at trial only as provided in Section 13-11 or upon a showing of exceptional circumstances under which it is impracticable for the party seeking discovery to obtain facts or opinions on the same subject by other means.

(3) Unless manifest injustice would result, (A) the judicial authority shall require that the party seeking discovery pay the expert a reasonable fee for time spent in responding to discovery under subdivisions (1) (B) and (2) of this rule; and (B) with respect to discovery obtained under subdivision (1) (B) of this rule the judicial authority may require, and with respect to discovery obtained under subdivision (2) of this rule the judicial authority shall require, the party seeking discovery to pay the
other party a fair portion of the fees and expenses reasonably incurred by the latter party in obtaining facts and opinions from the expert.

(4) In addition to and notwithstanding the provisions of subdivisions (1), (2) and (3) of this rule, any plaintiff expecting to call an expert witness at trial shall disclose the name of that expert, the subject matter on which the expert is expected to testify, the substance of the facts and opinions to which the expert is expected to testify, and a summary of the grounds for each opinion, to all other parties within a reasonable time prior to trial. Each defendant shall disclose the names of his or her experts in like manner within a reasonable time from the date the plaintiff discloses experts, or, if the plaintiff fails to disclose experts, within a reasonable time prior to trial. If disclosure of the name of any expert expected to testify at trial is not made in accordance with this subdivision, or if an expert witness who is expected to testify is retained or specially employed after a reasonable time prior to trial, such expert shall not testify if, upon motion to preclude such testimony, the judicial authority determines that the late disclosure (A) will cause undue prejudice to the moving party; or (B) will cause undue interference with the orderly progress of trial in the case; or (C) involved bad faith delay of disclosure by the disclosing party. Once the substance of any opinion or opinions of an expert witness who is expected to testify at trial becomes available to the party expecting to call that expert witness, disclosure of expert witness information shall be made in a timely fashion in response to interrogatory requests pursuant to subdivision (1) (A) of this rule, and shall be supplemented as required pursuant to Section 13-15. Any expert witness disclosed pursuant to this rule within six months of the trial date shall be made available for the taking of that expert’s deposition within thirty days of the date of such disclosure. In response to any such expert disclosure, any other party may disclose the same categories of information with respect to expert witnesses previously disclosed or a new expert on the same categories of information who are expected to testify at trial on the subject for that party. Any such expert or experts shall similarly be made available for deposition within thirty days of their disclosure. Nothing contained in this rule shall preclude an agreement between the
parties on disclosure dates which are part of a joint trial management order.

COMMENTARY:

The revisions to this section are intended to facilitate meaningful depositions of experts and discovery of the reports and records of such experts. Among the changes to the current rule are the following. Subsection (a) sets forth the affirmative duty of a party to disclose each person who may be called by that party to testify as an expert witness at trial and all documents that may be offered in evidence in lieu of such expert testimony. Currently, a party may, through interrogatories, require any other party to identify each person whom the other party expects to call as an expert witness at trial. Subsection (b) identifies specifically the content of the disclosure and allows the party to contemporaneously produce a written report of the expert witness. Subsection (d) requires a party to file with the court a list of all documents or records that the party expects to submit in evidence in lieu of live testimony of an expert witness and sets forth the procedures for taking the deposition of any expert whose records are disclosed. Subsection (g) sets forth a schedule governing the expert discovery required under subsections (b), (c), (d) and (e). Subsection (h) sets forth sanctions that may be imposed on a party by the judicial authority for failure to comply with the requirements set out in this section.

Sec. 13-6. Interrogatories; In General

(a) In any civil action, in any probate appeal, or in any administrative appeal where the judicial authority finds it reasonably probable that evidence outside the record will be required, any party may serve in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17 written interrogatories, upon any other party to be answered by the party served. Written interrogatories may be served upon any party without leave of the judicial authority at any time after the return day. Except as provided in subsection (c) or where the interrogatories are served electronically as provided in Section 10-13 and in a format that allows the recipient to electronically insert the answers in the transmitted document, the party serving interrogatories shall leave sufficient space following each interrogatory in which the party to whom the
interrogatories are directed can insert the answer. In the event that an answer requires more space than that provided on interrogatories that were not served electronically and in a format that allows the recipient to electronically insert the answers in the transmitted document, [it] the answer shall be continued on a separate sheet of paper which shall be attached to the completed answers.

(b) Interrogatories may relate to any matters which can be inquired into under Sections 13-2 through 13-5 and the answers may be used at trial to the extent permitted by the rules of evidence. In all personal injury actions alleging liability based on the operation or ownership of a motor vehicle or alleging liability based on the ownership, maintenance or control of real property, the interrogatories shall be limited to those set forth in Forms 201, 202 and/or 203 of the rules of practice, unless upon motion, the judicial authority determines that such interrogatories are inappropriate or inadequate in the particular action. These forms are set forth in the Appendix of Forms in this volume. Unless the judicial authority orders otherwise, the frequency of use of interrogatories in all actions except those for which interrogatories have been set forth in Forms 201, 202 and/or 203 of the rules of practice is not limited.

(c) In lieu of serving the interrogatories set forth in Forms 201, 202 and/or 203 on a party who is represented by counsel, the moving party may serve on such party a notice of interrogatories, which shall not include the actual interrogatories to be answered, but shall instead set forth the number of the Practice Book form containing such interrogatories and the name of the party to whom the interrogatories are directed. The party to whom such notice is directed shall in his or her response set forth each interrogatory immediately followed by that party’s answer thereto.

(d) The party serving interrogatories or the notice of interrogatories shall not file them with the court.

COMMENTARY: The changes to this section clarify the procedures to be followed when interrogatories are served by delivering a copy electronically to the attorney or party in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17.
Sec. 13-10. —Responses to Requests for Production; Objections

(a) The party to whom the request is directed or such party’s attorney shall serve a written response, which may be in electronic format, within thirty days after the date of certification of service, in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17, of the request or, if applicable, the notice of requests for production on the responding party, unless:

(1) Counsel file with the court a written stipulation extending the time within which responses may be served; or

(2) The party to whom the requests for production are directed, after service in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17, files a request for extension of time, for not more than thirty days, within the initial thirty-day period. Such request shall contain a certification by the requesting party that the case has not been assigned for trial. Such request shall be deemed to have been automatically granted by the judicial authority on the date of filing, unless within ten days of such filing the party who has served the requests for production or the notice of requests for production shall file objection thereto. A party shall be entitled to one such request for each set of requests for production served upon that party; or

(3) Upon motion, the court allows a longer time.

(b) The response of the party shall be inserted directly on the original request served in accordance with Section 13-9 and shall state, with respect to each item or category, that inspection and related activities will be permitted as requested, unless the request or any part thereof is objected to, in which event the reasons for objection shall be stated on a cover sheet as provided herein. If, pursuant to subsection (b) of Section 13-9, a notice of requests for production is served in lieu of requests for production, the party to whom such notice is directed shall in his or her response set forth each request for production immediately followed by that party’s response thereto. No objection may be filed with respect to requests for production set forth in Forms 204, 205 and/or 206 of the rules of practice for use in connection with Section 13-9. Where a request calling for submission of copies of documents is not objected to, those copies shall be appended to the copy of the response served upon the party making the request. The
responding party shall attach a cover sheet to the response. The cover sheet shall comply with Sections 4-1 and 4-2 and shall state that the responding party will permit all inspection and related activities as requested or shall set forth those requests to which the party objects and the reasons for objection. The cover sheet and the response shall not be filed with the judicial authority unless the responding party objects to one or more requests, in which case only the cover sheet shall be so filed. Objection by a party to certain parts of the request shall not relieve that party of the obligation to respond to those portions to which that party has not objected within the thirty-day period. The party serving the request or the notice of requests for production may move for an order under Section 13-14 with respect to any failure on the part of the party to whom the request or notice is addressed to respond.

(c) No objection to any such request shall be placed on the short calendar list until an affidavit by either counsel is filed certifying that bona fide attempts have been made to resolve the differences concerning the subject matter of the objection and that counsel have been unable to reach an accord. The affidavit shall set forth the date of the objection, the name of the party who filed the objection and the name of the party to whom the objection was addressed. The affidavit shall also recite the date, time and place of any conference held to resolve the differences and the names of all persons participating therein, or, if no conference has been held, the reasons for the failure to hold such a conference. If an objection to any part of a request for production is overruled, compliance with the request shall be made at a time to be set by the judicial authority.

COMMENTARY: The changes to this section clarify that requests for production, inspection and examination made pursuant to Section 13-9 may be served by delivering a copy electronically to the attorney or party in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17.

Sec. 13-22. Admission of Facts and Execution of Writings; Requests for Admission

(a) A party may serve in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17 upon any other party a written request, which may be in electronic format, for the admission, for
purposes of the pending action only, of the truth of any matters relevant to the subject matter of the pending action set forth in the request that relate to statements or opinions of fact or of the application of law to fact, including the existence, due execution and genuineness of any documents described in the request. The party serving a request for admission shall separately set forth each matter of which an admission is requested and unless the request is served electronically as provided in Section 10-13 and in a format that allows the recipient to electronically insert the answers in the transmitted document, shall leave sufficient space following each request in which the party to whom the requests are directed can insert an answer or objection. Copies of documents shall be served with the request unless they have been or are otherwise furnished or made available for inspection and copying. The request may, without leave of the judicial authority, be served upon any party at any time after the return day. Unless the judicial authority orders otherwise, the frequency of use of requests for admission is not limited.

(b) The party serving such request shall not file it with the court but shall instead file a notice with the court which states that the party has served a request for admission on another party, the name of the party to whom the request has been directed and the date upon which service in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17 was made.

COMMENTARY: The changes to this section clarify that requests for admission may be served by delivering a copy electronically to the attorney or party in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17 and clarify the procedures to be followed in connection with such delivery.

Sec. 13-23. —Answers and Objections to Requests for Admission

(a) Each matter of which an admission is requested is admitted unless, within thirty days after the filing of the notice required by Section 13-22 (b), or within such shorter or longer time as the judicial authority may allow, the party to whom the request is directed files and serves upon the party requesting the admission a written answer or objection addressed to the matter, signed by the party or by his attorney. Any such answer or objection shall be inserted directly on the original
request. In the event that an answer or objection requires more space than that provided on a request for admission that was not served electronically and in a format that allows the recipient to electronically insert the answers in the transmitted document, it shall be continued on a separate sheet of paper which shall be attached to the response. Documents sought to be admitted by the request shall be filed with the response by the responding party only if they are the subject of an answer or objection. If objection is made, the reasons therefor shall be stated. The answer shall specifically deny the matter or set forth in detail the reasons why the answering party cannot truthfully admit or deny the matter. A denial shall fairly meet the substance of the requested admission, and when good faith requires that a party qualify his or her answer or deny only a part of the matter of which an admission is requested, such party shall specify so much of it as is true and qualify or deny the remainder. An answering party may not give lack of information or knowledge as a reason for failure to admit or deny unless such party states that he or she has made reasonable inquiry and that the information known or readily obtainable by him or her is insufficient to enable an admission or denial. A party who considers that a matter of which an admission has been requested presents a genuine issue for trial may not, on that ground alone, object to the request; the party may deny the matter or set forth reasons why he or she cannot admit or deny it. The responding party shall attach a cover sheet to the response which shall comply with Sections 4-1 and 4-2 and shall specify those requests to which answers and objections are addressed.

(b) The party who has requested the admission may move to determine the sufficiency of the answer or objection. No such motion shall be placed on the short calendar list until an affidavit by either counsel is filed certifying that bona fide attempts have been made to resolve the differences concerning the subject matter of the motion and that counsel have been unable to reach an accord. Unless the judicial authority determines that an objection is justified, it shall order that an answer be served. If the judicial authority determines that an answer does not comply with the requirements of this rule, it may order either that the matter is admitted or that an amended answer be served. The judicial authority may, in lieu
of these orders, determine that final disposition of the request be made at a designated time prior to trial.

COMMENTARY: The changes to this section clarify the procedures to be followed when answers or objections to requests for admission are served by delivering a copy electronically to the attorney or party in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17.

Sec. 13-30. —Deposition Procedure

(a) Examination and cross-examination of deponents may proceed as permitted at trial. The officer before whom the deposition is to be taken shall put the deponent on oath and shall personally, or by someone acting under the officer’s direction, record the testimony of the deponent. The testimony shall be taken stenographically or recorded by any other means authorized in accordance with Section 13-27 (f). If the testimony is taken stenographically, it shall be transcribed at the request of one of the parties.

(b) All objections made at time of the examination to the qualifications of the officer taking the deposition, or to the manner of taking it, or to the evidence presented, or to the conduct of any party, and any other objection to the proceedings, shall be noted by the officer upon the deposition. Evidence objected to shall be taken subject to the objections. (Any objection during a deposition must be stated concisely and in a nonargumentative manner.) Every objection raised during a deposition shall be stated succinctly and framed so as not to suggest an answer to the deponent and, at the request of the questioning attorney, shall include a clear statement as to any defect in form or other basis of error or irregularity. A person may instruct a deponent not to answer only when necessary to preserve a privilege, to enforce a limitation directed by the court, or to present a motion under subsection (c) of this section. In lieu of participating in the oral examination, parties may serve written questions in a sealed envelope on the party taking the deposition and the party shall transmit the questions to the officer, who shall propound them to the witness and record the answers verbatim.

(c) At any time during the taking of the deposition, on motion of a party or of the deponent and upon a showing that the examination is being conducted in bad faith or in such
manner as unreasonably to annoy, embarrass, or oppress the deponent or party, the court in which the action is pending may order the officer conducting the examination forthwith to cease taking the deposition, or may limit the scope and manner of the taking of the deposition as provided in Section 13-5. If the order made terminates the examination, it shall be resumed thereafter only upon the order of the court in which the action is pending.

(d) If requested by the deponent or any party, when the testimony is fully transcribed the deposition shall be submitted to the deponent for examination and shall be read to or by the deponent. Any changes in form or substance which the deponent desires to make shall be entered upon the deposition by the officer with a statement of the reasons given by the deponent for making them. The deposition shall then be signed by the deponent certifying that the deposition is a true record of the deponent’s testimony, unless the parties by stipulation waive the signing or the witness is ill or cannot be found or refuses to sign. If the deposition is not signed by the deponent within thirty days after its submission to the deponent, the officer shall sign it and state on the record the fact of the waiver or of the illness or absence of the deponent or the fact of the refusal or failure to sign together with the reason, if any, given therefor; and the deposition may then be used as fully as though signed unless on a motion to suppress under Section 13-31 (c) (4) the judicial authority holds that the reasons given for the refusal or failure to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.

(e) The person recording the testimony shall certify on the deposition that the witness was duly sworn by the person, that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the deponent, whether each adverse party or his agent was present, and whether each adverse party or his agent was notified, and such person shall also certify the reason for taking the deposition. The person shall then securely seal the deposition in an envelope endorsed with the title of the action, the address of the court where it is to be used and marked “Deposition of (here insert the name of the deponent),” shall then promptly deliver it to the party at whose request it was taken and give to all other parties a notice that the deposition has been transcribed and so delivered. The party at whose
request the deposition was taken shall file the sealed deposition with the court at the time of trial.

(f) Documents and things produced for inspection during the examination of the deponent, shall, upon the request of a party, be marked for identification and annexed to and returned with the deposition, and may be inspected and copied by any party, except that (1) the person producing the materials may substitute copies to be marked for identification, if the person affords to all parties fair opportunity to verify the copies by comparison with the originals, and (2) if the person producing the materials requests their return, the officer shall mark them, give each party an opportunity to inspect and copy them, and return them to the person producing them, and the materials may then be used in the same manner as if annexed to and returned with the deposition to the court, pending final disposition of the case.

(g) The parties may stipulate in writing and file with the court, or the court may upon motion order, that a deposition be taken by telephone, videoconference, or other remote electronic means. For the purposes of Sections 13-26 through 13-29 and this section, such a deposition is deemed taken at the place where the deponent is to answer questions. Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the rules governing the practice, procedures and use of depositions shall apply to remote electronic means depositions. The following additional rules, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the parties or ordered by the court, shall apply to depositions taken by remote electronic means:

(1) The deponent shall be in the presence of the officer administering the oath and recording the deposition.

(2) Any exhibits or other demonstrative evidence to be presented to the deponent by any party at the deposition shall be provided to the officer administering the oath and all other parties prior to the deposition.

(3) Nothing in subsection (g) shall prohibit any party from being with the deponent during the deposition, at that party’s expense; provided, however, that a party attending a deposition shall give written notice of that party’s intention to appear at the deposition to all other parties within a reasonable time prior to the deposition.
(4) The party at whose instance the remote electronic means deposition is taken shall pay all costs of the remote electronic means deposition for the transmission from the location of the deponent and one site for participation of counsel located in the judicial district where the case is pending together with the cost of the stenographic, video or other electronic record. The cost of participation in a remote electronic means deposition from any other location shall be paid by the party or parties participating from such other location.

(h) Notwithstanding this section, a deposition may be attended by any party by remote electronic means even if the party noticing the deposition does not elect to use remote electronic means if (i) a party desiring to attend by remote electronic means provides written notice of such intention to all parties in either the notice of deposition or a notice served in the same manner as a notice of deposition and (ii) if the party electing to participate by remote electronic means is not the party noticing the deposition, such party pays all costs associated with implementing such remote electronic participation by that party.

(i) Nothing contained in any provision providing for the use of remote electronic means depositions shall prohibit any party from securing a representative to be present at the location where the deponent is located to report on the record any events which occur in that location which might not otherwise be transmitted and/or recorded by the electronic means utilized.

(j) The party on whose behalf a deposition is taken shall at such party’s expense provide a copy of the deposition transcript and any permanent electronic record including audio or video tape to each adverse party.

COMMENTARY: The above change to subsection (b) clarifies the procedure to be followed in making objections during depositions. It is taken from N.Y. Ct. Rules, § 221.1(b).

The purpose of the provision in subsection (d) that allows the deponent to make changes in form or substance to the deposition is to allow the deponent to correct errors in the transcription. If a deponent realizes that his or her testimony was incorrect, such changes are not contemplated by this subsection.
Parties have a continuing duty to disclose pursuant to Section 13-15.

With regard to a lawyer’s duty to correct material evidence given by the lawyer, or his or her client or witness, that the lawyer comes to know is false, see Rule 3.3 (a) (3) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

Sec. 15-8. Dismissal in Court Cases for Failure to Make Out a Prima Facie Case

If, on the trial of any issue of fact in a civil matter tried to the court, the plaintiff has produced evidence and rested [his or her cause], [the] a defendant may move for judgment of dismissal, and the judicial authority may grant such motion[,] if [in its opinion] the plaintiff has failed to make out a prima facie case. The defendant may offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, without having reserved the right to do so and to the same extent as if the motion had not been made.

COMMENTARY: The above changes are made for clarity.

Sec. 17-14. Offer of Compromise by Plaintiff; How Made

After commencement of any civil action based upon contract or seeking the recovery of money damages, whether or not other relief is sought, the plaintiff may, not earlier than one hundred eighty days after service of process is made upon the defendant in such action but not later than thirty days before the commencement of jury selection in a jury trial or the commencement of evidence in a court trial, file with the clerk of the court a written offer of compromise signed by the plaintiff or the plaintiff’s attorney, directed to the defendant or the defendant’s attorney, offering to settle the claim underlying the action for a sum certain. For the purposes of this section, such plaintiff includes a counterclaim plaintiff under General Statutes § 8-132. The plaintiff shall give notice of such offer of compromise to the defendant’s attorney, or if the defendant is not represented by an attorney, to the defendant.

COMMENTARY: The changes to this section are intended to adopt the provisions of General Statutes § 52-192a(a) as amended by Public Act 07-141 § 16.
Sec. 17-18. — Judgment where Plaintiff Recovers an Amount Equal to or Greater than Offer

After trial the judicial authority shall examine the record to determine whether the plaintiff made an offer of compromise which the defendant failed to accept. If the judicial authority ascertains from the record that the plaintiff has recovered an amount equal to or greater than the sum certain specified in that plaintiff’s offer of compromise, the judicial authority shall add to the amount so recovered 8 percent annual interest on said amount. In the case of a counterclaim plaintiff under General Statutes § 8-132 the judicial authority shall add to the amount so recovered 8 percent annual interest on the difference between the amount so recovered and the sum certain specified in the counterclaim plaintiff’s offer of compromise. Any such interest shall be computed as provided in General Statutes § 52-192a. The judicial authority may award reasonable attorney’s fees in an amount not to exceed $350, and shall render judgment accordingly. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to abrogate the contractual rights of any party concerning the recovery of attorney’s fees in accordance with the provisions of any written contract between the parties to the action.

COMMENTARY: The changes to this section are intended to adopt the provisions of General Statutes § 52-192a(c) as amended by Public Act 07-141 §16.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE FAMILY RULES

Sec. 25-2. Complaints for Dissolution of Marriage or Civil Union, Legal Separation, or Annulment

(a) Every complaint in a dissolution of marriage or civil union, legal separation or annulment action shall state the date and place, including the city or town, of the marriage or civil union and the facts necessary to give the court jurisdiction.

(b) Every such complaint shall also state whether there are minor children issue of the marriage or minor children of the civil union and whether there are any other minor children born to the wife since the date of marriage of the parties, or born to a party to the civil union since the date of the civil
union, the name and date of birth of each, and the name of any individual or agency presently responsible by virtue of judicial award for the custody or support of any child. These requirements shall be met whether a child is issue of the marriage or not, whether a child is born to a party of the civil union or not, and whether custody of children is sought in the action or not. In every case in which the state of Connecticut or any town thereof is contributing or has contributed to the support or maintenance of a party or child of said party, such fact shall be stated in the complaint and a copy thereof served on the attorney general or town clerk in accordance with the provisions of Sections 10-12 through 10-17. Although the attorney general or town clerk shall be a party to such cases, he or she need not be named in the writ of summons or summoned to appear.

(c) The complaint shall also set forth the plaintiff’s demand for relief and the automatic orders as required by Section 25-5.

COMMENTARY: The change to this section clarifies that a complaint in a dissolution of civil union action shall state the place, including the city or town, of the civil union.

Sec. 25-36. Motion for Decree Finally Dissolving Marriage or Civil Union after Decree of Legal Separation

Every motion for a decree finally dissolving and terminating the marriage or civil union, after a decree of legal separation, shall state the number of the case in which the separation was granted, the date of the decree of legal separation and whether the parties have resumed [marital] relations relating to the marriage or civil union since the entry of the decree, and it shall be accompanied by an application for an order of notice to the adverse party.

COMMENTARY: The changes to this section clarify its applicability to civil unions.
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO
THE JUVENILE RULES

CHAPTER 26
DEFINITIONS

Sec. 26-1. Definitions Applicable to Proceedings on Juvenile Matters

In these definitions and in the rules of practice and procedure on juvenile matters, the singular shall include the plural and the plural, the singular where appropriate.

(a)(1) “Child” means any person under sixteen years of age and, for purposes of delinquency matters and family with service needs matters, “child” means any person (A) under sixteen years of age whose delinquent act or family with service needs conduct occurred prior to the person’s sixteenth birthday or, (B) sixteen years of age or older who, prior to attaining sixteen years of age, has violated any federal or state law or municipal or local ordinance, other than an ordinance regulating behavior of a child in a family with service needs, and, subsequent to attaining sixteen years of age, violates any order of a judicial authority or any condition of probation ordered by a judicial authority with respect to such delinquency proceeding; (2) “Youth” means any person sixteen or seventeen years of age; (3) “Youth in crisis” means any youth who, within the last two years, (A) has without just cause run away from the parental home or other properly authorized and lawful place of abode; (B) is beyond the control of the youth’s parents, guardian or other custodian; or (C) has four unexcused absences from school in any one month or ten unexcused absences in any school year; (4) The definitions of the terms “abused,” “mentally deficient,” “delinquent,” “delinquent act,” “dependent,” “neglected,” “uncared for,” “alcohol-dependent child,” “family with service needs,” “drug-dependent child,” “serious juvenile offense,” “serious juvenile offender,” and “serious juvenile repeat offender” shall be as set forth in General Statutes § 46b-120. (5) “Indian child” means an unmarried person under age eighteen who is either a member of a federally recognized Indian tribe or is eligible for membership in a federally recognized Indian tribe and is the biological child of a member of a federally
recognized Indian tribe, and is involved in custody proceedings, excluding delinquency proceedings.

(b) "Commitment" means an order of the judicial authority whereby custody and/or guardianship of a child or youth are transferred to the commissioner of the department of children and families.

(c) "Complaint" means a written allegation or statement presented to the judicial authority that a child’s or youth’s conduct as a delinquent or situation as a child from a family with service needs or youth in crisis brings the child or youth within the jurisdiction of the judicial authority as prescribed by General Statutes § 46b-121.

(d) "Detention" means a secure building or staff secure facility for the temporary care of a child who is the subject of a delinquent complaint.

(e) "Family support center" means a community-based service center for children and families involved with a complaint that has been filed with the Superior Court under General Statutes § 46b-149, that provides multiple services, or access to such services, for the purpose of preventing such children and families from having further involvement with the court as families with service needs.

(f) "Guardian" means a person who has a judicially created relationship with a child or youth which is intended to be permanent and self sustaining as evidenced by the transfer to the caretaker of the following parental rights with respect to the child or youth: protection, education, care and control of the person, custody of the person and decision making.

(g) "Hearing" means an activity of the court on the record in the presence of a judicial authority and shall include (1) "Adjudicatory hearing": A court hearing to determine the validity of the facts alleged in a petition or information to establish thereby the judicial authority’s jurisdiction to decide the matter which is the subject of the petition or information; (2) "Contested hearing on an order of temporary custody" means a hearing on an ex parte order of temporary custody or an order to [show cause] appear which is held [within] not later than ten days from the day of a preliminary hearing on such orders. Contested hearings shall be held on consecutive days except for compelling circumstances or at the request of the [parent or guardian] respondent; (3) "Dispositive hearing":
The judicial authority’s jurisdiction to adjudicate the matter which is the subject of the petition or information having been established, a court hearing in which the judicial authority, after considering the social study or predispositional study and the total circumstances of the child or youth, orders whatever action is in the best interests of the child, youth or family and, where applicable, the community. In the discretion of the judicial authority, evidence concerning adjudication and disposition may be presented in a single hearing. (4) “Preliminary hearing” means a hearing on an ex parte order of temporary custody or an order to appear or the first hearing on a petition alleging that a child or youth is uncared for, neglected, or dependent. A preliminary hearing on any ex parte custody order or order to appear shall be held [within] not later than ten days from the issuance of the order. (5) “Plea hearing” is a hearing at which (i) A parent or guardian who is a named respondent in a neglect, uncared for or dependency petition, upon being advised of his or her rights admits, denies, or pleads nolo contendere to allegations contained in the petition; or (ii) a child or youth who is a named respondent in a delinquency petition or information enters a plea of not guilty, guilty, or nolo contendere upon being advised of the charges against him or her contained in the information or petition, or a hearing at which a child or youth who is a named respondent in a family with service needs or youth in crisis petition admits or denies the allegations contained in the petition upon being advised of the allegations.

[(g)] (h) "Parent" means a biological mother or father or adoptive mother or father except a biological or adoptive mother or father whose parental rights have been terminated; or the father of any child or youth born out of wedlock, provided at the time of the filing of the petition (A) he has been adjudicated the father of such child or youth by a court which possessed the authority to make such adjudication, or (B) he has acknowledged in writing to be the father of such child or youth, or (C) he has contributed regularly to the support of such child, or (D) his name appears on the birth certificate, or (E) he has filed a claim for paternity as provided under General Statutes § 46b-172a, or (F) he has been named in the petition as the father of the minor child or youth by the mother.
(h) "Parties" includes: (1) The child or youth who is the subject of a proceeding and those additional persons as defined herein; (2) "Legal party": Any person, including a parent, whose legal relationship to the matter pending before the judicial authority is of such a nature and kind as to mandate the receipt of proper legal notice as a condition precedent to the establishment of the judicial authority's jurisdiction to adjudicate the matter pending before it; and (3) "Intervening party": Any person whose interest in the matter before the judicial authority is not of such a nature and kind as to entitle legal service or notice as a prerequisite to the judicial authority's jurisdiction to adjudicate the matter pending before it but whose participation therein, at the discretion of the judicial authority, may promote the interests of justice. An "intervening party" may in any proceeding before the judicial authority be given notice thereof in any manner reasonably appropriate to that end, but no such "intervening party" shall be entitled, as a matter of right, to provision of counsel by the court.

(i) "Permanency plan" means a plan developed by the commissioner of the department of children and families for the permanent placement of a child or youth in the commissioner's care. Permanency plans shall be reviewed by the judicial authority as prescribed in General Statutes §§ 17a-110 (b), 17a-111b [(b)] (c), 46b-129 (k) and 46b-141.

(j) "Petition" means a formal pleading, executed under oath, alleging that the respondent is within the judicial authority's jurisdiction to adjudicate the matter which is the subject of the petition by reason of cited statutory provisions and seeking a disposition. Except for a petition for erasure of record, such petitions invoke a judicial hearing and shall be executed filed by any one of the parties authorized to do so by statute, provided a delinquency petition may be executed filed by either a probation officer or juvenile prosecutor.

(k) "Information" means a formal pleading executed filed by a prosecutor alleging that a child or youth in a delinquency matter is within the judicial authority's jurisdiction.

(l) "Probation" means a legal status created in delinquency cases following conviction whereby a respondent child is permitted to remain in the home or in the physical
custody of a relative or other fit person subject to supervision by the court through the court’s probation officers and upon such terms as the judicial authority determines, subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the judicial authority.

[(m)] (n) “Respondent” means a [child] person who is alleged to be a delinquent or a child from a family with service needs, or a youth in crisis, or a parent or a guardian of a child or youth who is the subject of a petition alleging that the child is uncared for, neglected, or dependent or requesting termination of parental rights.

[(n)] (o) “Specific steps” means those judicially determined steps the parent or guardian and the commissioner of the department of children and families should take in order for the parent or guardian to retain or regain custody of a child or youth.

(p) “Staff secure facility” means a residential facility (1) that does not include construction features designed to physically restrict the movements and activities of juvenile residents who are placed therein, (2) that may establish reasonable rules restricting entrance to and egress from the facility, and (3) in which the movements and activities of individual juvenile residents may, for treatment purposes, be restricted or subject to control through the use of intensive staff supervision.

[(o)] (q) “Supervision” includes: (1) “Nonjudicial supervision”: A legal status without the filing of a petition or a court conviction or adjudication but following the child’s admission to a complaint wherein a probation officer exercises supervision over the child with the consent of the child and the parent; (2) “Protective supervision”: A disposition following adjudication in neglected, uncared for or dependent cases created by an order of the judicial authority requesting a supervising agency other than the court to assume the responsibility of furthering the welfare of the family and best interests of the child when the child’s place of abode remains with the parent or any suitable or worthy person, subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the court; and (3) “Judicial supervision”: A legal status similar to probation for a child adjudicated to be from a family with service needs or subject to
supervision pursuant to an order of suspended proceedings under General Statutes § 46b-133b or §46b-133e.

[(p)] (r) ‘‘Take into Custody Order’’ means an order by a judicial authority that a child be taken into custody and immediately turned over to a detention superintendent where probable cause has been found that the child has committed a delinquent act and the child meets the criteria set forth in practice book section 31a-13.

(s) “Victim” means the person who is the victim of a delinquent act, a parent or guardian of such person, the legal representative of such person or an advocate appointed for such person pursuant to General Statutes § 54-221.

COMMENTARY: The changes in subsection (a) are made to standardize terms.

New subsection (e) adopts the definition of “family support center” in June Special Session, Public Act 07-4, Sec. 31 (a).

The revision in subsection (f) reflects General Statutes § 46b-120(1) and (2) that define child and youth separately in child protection cases; therefore, youth must be added.

Changes in subsection (g) (2) are intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-129(d), as amended by Public Act 06-102, Sec. 9. The type of hearing addressed in subsection (g) (3) occurs in delinquency, family with service needs, and child protection matters; therefore, “youth” has been added. The revision in subsection (g) (4) adopts provisions of General Statutes § 46b-129(d), as amended by Public Act 06-102, Sec. 9.

Subsection (h) applies to child protection matters; therefore it has been amended to reference both child and youth.

Subsection (i) has been revised to reflect that not every hearing requires legal service; therefore, to be more inclusive, notice is added.

The changes in subsection (j) are made to standardize terms. Because the definition applies to child protection matters, it has been amended to reference both child and youth.

Use of the term “filed” in subsection (k) reflects existing procedure. The deleted phrase in this subsection is
redundant, as the phrase that immediately precedes it includes these individuals.

The use of the term “filed” in subsection (l) reflects existing procedure.

The more general term “person” is used in subsection (n) to encompass all terms that are later listed: child, youth, and parent.

The change in subsection (o) is made to standardize terms.

New subsection (p) adopts the definition of “staff secure facility” in June Special Session, Public Act 07-4, Sec. 32 (c).

The changes in subsection (q) are made to standardize terms and for clarification. The change is made in (q) (1) because the statute does not provide for nonjudicial handling of youth in crisis matters. The statutory reference is added in subsection (q) (3) because it provides for suspended proceedings for participation in the school violence prevention program.

The amendment to subsection (r) adds language to include the legal standard.

New subsection (s) adopts the definition of “victim” in General Statutes § 46b-122.

CHAPTER 27
RECEPTION AND PROCESSING OF [NONJUDICIAL] DELINQUENCY, AND FAMILY WITH SERVICE NEEDS[, OR YOUTH IN CRISIS] COMPLAINTS[, OR PETITIONS

Sec. 27-1A. Referrals for Nonjudicial Handling of Delinquency Complaints

(a) Any police summons accompanied by a police report alleging an act of delinquency shall be in writing and signed by the police officer and filed with the clerk of the superior court for juvenile matters. After juvenile identification and docket numbers are [entered] assigned, the summons and report shall be referred to the probation department for possible nonjudicial handling. [Any family with service needs or youth in crisis complaint or petition may be designated by the probation department for nonjudicial handling.]
(b) If the probation officer [initiates] determines that a delinquency[, family with service needs or youth in crisis petition] complaint is [that may be] eligible for nonjudicial handling, the probation officer [shall] may cause a [summons] notice to be [issued or] mailed to the child and parent or guardian [containing a notice to appear] setting forth with reasonable particularity the [allegations of the petition] contents of the complaint and fixing a time and location of the court and date not less than seven days, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays, subsequent to [service or] mailing.

(c) Delinquency [M]atters eligible for nonjudicial handling shall be designated as such on the docket. If the prosecuting authority objects to the designation, the judicial authority shall determine if such designation is appropriate. The judicial authority may refer to the office of juvenile probation a matter so designated and may, sua sponte, refer a matter for nonjudicial handling prior to adjudication.

COMMENTARY: Entirely new processing procedures for family with service needs (FWSN) matters are addressed in new Section 27-9 of this chapter. Throughout this chapter specific references are now made only to delinquency and FWSN matters. Youth in crisis matters will be addressed in accordance with policies established by the Chief Court Administrator.

In subsection (a) use of the term ‘assigned’ more accurately reflects proper procedure. The change from “shall” to “may” in subsection (b) provides for the situation when a child is previously issued a summons to appear by a police officer and additional notice by a probation officer may not be necessary. Other technical changes in this section are made to reflect the fact that nonjudicial cases are processed based upon complaints filed without petitions.

Sec. 27-4. Additional Offenses and Misconduct

Any additional police summons, delinquency complaint, [or] delinquency petition, or information regarding a child which is received by the court prior to action by the judicial authority on any pending request for nonjudicial handling shall be consolidated with the initial offenses or misconduct for purposes of eligibility for nonjudicial handling.
COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are for clarification and to reflect the fact that an information may also be used in these cases.

Sec. 27-4A. Ineligibility for Nonjudicial Handling of Delinquency Complaint

In the case of a delinquency complaint, [A] a child shall not be eligible for nonjudicial handling if one or more of the following apply, unless waived by the judicial authority:

(1) The alleged misconduct:
   (A) is a serious juvenile offense under General Statutes § 46b-120, or any other felony or violation of General Statutes § 53a-54d;
   (B) concerns the theft or unlawful use or operation of a motor vehicle; or
   (C) concerns the sale of, or possession of with intent to sell, any illegal drugs or the use or possession of a firearm.

(2) The child was previously [adjudged] convicted delinquent or [adjudged] a child from a family with service needs.

(3) The child admitted nonjudicially at least twice previously to [have] having been delinquent [or a child from a family with service needs].

(4) The alleged misconduct was committed by a child while on probation or under judicial supervision.

(5) If the nature of the alleged misconduct warrants judicial intervention.

COMMENTARY: The change made in subsection (2) adopts terminology used in General Statutes § 46b-140(a). Additional changes are made in this section because entirely new processing procedures for family with service needs matters are now addressed in new Section 27-9.

Sec. 27-5. Initial Interview For Delinquency Nonjudicial Handling Eligibility

(a) At the initial interview to determine eligibility for nonjudicial handling of a delinquency complaint, held at the time of arraignment or notice date, the probation officer shall inquire of the child and parent or guardian whether they have
read the court documents and understand the nature of the complaint set forth therein. Any allegations of misconduct being considered for nonjudicial handling, including any additional allegations not contained in the summons or notice to appear because they were filed with the court after the issuance of that notice shall likewise be explained in simple and nontechnical language.

(b) The probation officer shall inform the child and parent or guardian of their rights under Section 30a-1. If either the child or the parent or guardian state that they wish to be represented by counsel, or if the probation officer determines that a judicial hearing is necessary, the interview shall end. Any further interview to consider nonjudicial handling shall take place with counsel present unless waived.

COMMENTARY: The revision to this section clarifies that this process is only to be used for delinquency complaints.

Sec. 27-6. Denial of Responsibility

Where the child denies responsibility for the alleged misconduct, the interview shall end and the child and the parent or guardian shall be informed that, if the evidence warrants, the case will be set down for a [judicial] plea hearing [to determine the child’s responsibility for the alleged misconduct, for which hearing the child must have counsel unless waived and for which hearing the judicial authority will provide counsel if the parties parent or guardian of the child cannot afford counsel].

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made because procedures concerning the plea hearing and appointment of counsel are now set forth in Section 30a-1 and to clarify that this process is only to be used for delinquency.

Sec. 27-7. —Written Statement of Responsibility

(a) Where the child and the parent or guardian affirm that they are ready to go forward with the investigation, with or without counsel, and to make a statement concerning the child’s responsibility for the alleged misconduct, such affirmation must be embodied in a written statement of responsibility executed by both child and parent, or guardian,
and, in the case of the child, in the presence of the parent or guardian.

(b) If a child orally acknowledges responsibility for the alleged misconduct but refuses to execute a written statement of responsibility, such an oral admission shall not be accepted as the equivalent of an admission, and the case shall be dealt with in the manner prescribed in Section 27-6. If the written statement of responsibility is executed, the probation officer shall accept it as authorization to proceed with the nonjudicial handling of the case [those aspects of investigation which are essential to the compiling of the predispositional study].

(c) The age, intelligence and maturity of the child and the mutuality of interests between parent or guardian and child shall be weighed in determining their competency to execute such written statement of responsibility.

COMMENTARY: The above change clarifies that this process is only to be used for delinquency. A predispositional study is not prepared in a case that is handled nonjudicially.

Sec. 27-8A. Nonjudicial Supervision-Delinquency

(a) If a child has acknowledged responsibility for the alleged misconduct which is not one for which a judicial hearing is mandated pursuant to Section 27-4A, and the probation officer has then found from investigation of the child’s total circumstances that some form of court accountability less exacting than that arising out of a court appearance appears to be in the child’s best interests, the officer may, subject to the conditions imposed by subsection (b) hereof, place the child on nonjudicial supervision for a term established by the juvenile probation supervisor for a period not to exceed 180 days.

(b) Whenever the probation officer seeks to effect nonjudicial supervision, the parent and the child shall have a right to a conference with the probation officer’s administrative superior, or a court hearing. Whenever a parent or child elects to pursue either or both rights, supervision shall be held in abeyance until the outcome thereof.

(c) Such nonjudicial supervision when completed shall constitute a resolution of the case, and thereafter a child may not again be presented for formal court action on the same
summons, complaint or petition or the facts therein set forth, provided however, that a judicial hearing may be initiated on the original summons, complaint, [or] petition, or information during said nonjudicial supervision if there has been a failure to comply with terms of the supervision and any oral or written statement of responsibility shall not be used against the child. When the judicial authority refers the file for nonjudicial handling, the referral order should provide that upon successful completion of any nonjudicial handling, the matter will be dismissed and erased immediately without the filing of a request, application or petition for erasure, for all purposes except for subsequent consideration for nonjudicial handling under Section 27-4A.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to subsection (c) are made because an information may also be used in these cases and to clarify the nonjudicial erasure provision.

(NEW) Sec. 27-9. Family with Service Needs Referrals

(a) Any complaint alleging that a child is from a family with service needs shall be referred to a probation officer, who shall determine its sufficiency as a family with service needs complaint. If the probation officer determines the complaint is sufficient, the probation officer shall, after initial assessment promptly refer the child and the child’s family to a suitable community-based program or other service provider or to a family support center for voluntary services.

(b) If the child and the child’s family are referred to a community-based program or other service provider and the person in charge of such program or provider determines that the child and the child’s family can no longer benefit from its services, such person shall inform the probation officer, who shall, after an appropriate assessment, either refer the child and the child’s family to a family support center for additional services or determine whether or not to file a petition with the court. If the child and the child’s family are referred to a family support center and the person in charge of the family support center determines that the child and the child’s family can no longer benefit from its services, such person shall inform the probation officer, who may file a petition with the court.
(c) When a judicial authority, after a petition has been filed, refers a child alleged to be from a family with service needs to community based services or other services or a family support center pursuant to General Statutes Section 46b-149(g), the referral order should provide that upon successful resolution, the matter will be dismissed and erased without the filing of a request, application, or petition for erasure for all purposes except subsequent consideration for non-judicial handling of a delinquency complaint under Section 27-4A.

COMMENTARY: This new section is intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-149 as amended by June Special Session, Public Act 07-4, Sec. 30. It also provides for dismissal and erasure of petitions as previously provided for family with service needs matters in Section 27-8A(c).

CHAPTER 30
DETENTION

(NEW) Sec. 30-2A. Family With Service Needs and Detention

(a) No child who has been adjudicated as a child from a family with service needs in accordance with General Statutes § 46b-149 may be processed or held in a juvenile detention center as a delinquent child, or be convicted as a delinquent, solely for the violation of a valid order which regulates future conduct of the child that was issued by the court following such an adjudication, and no such child who is charged or found to be in violation of any such order may be ordered detained in any juvenile detention center.

(b) No non-delinquent juvenile runaway from another state may be held in a juvenile detention center in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 46b-151 to 46b-151g inclusive.

COMMENTARY: This new section is intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-148(a), as amended by Public Act 05-250, Sec. 2. The language of the above rule differs slightly from the statute. The statute references commitments to detention centers which is confusing due to the distinctly different commitment of a delinquent to the Department of Children and Families.
Sec. 30-4. Notice to Parents by Detention Personnel

[If, u]Upon admission, [the officer or other person who brings the child to detention has not complied with the duty of notifying the parent or guardian as set forth in Section 30-1A,] the detention [supervisor] superintendent or a designated representative shall make efforts to immediately notify the parent or guardian in the manner calculated most speedily to effect such notice and, upon the parent’s or guardian’s appearance at the detention facility, shall advise the parent or guardian of his or her rights and note the child’s rights, including the child’s right to a detention hearing.

COMMENTARY: The above change reflects that the position is now juvenile detention superintendent, as opposed to supervisor. Regardless of whether a police officer has notified a child’s parent or guardian, the detention superintendent should also make efforts to notify the child’s parent or guardian.

Sec. 30-6. Basis for Detention

No child shall be held in detention unless it appears from the available facts that there is probable cause to believe that the child is responsible for the acts alleged and that there is (1) a strong probability that the child will run away prior to court hearing or disposition, or (2) a strong probability that the child will commit or attempt to commit other offenses injurious to the child or to the community before court disposition, or (3) probable cause to believe that the child’s continued residence in the home pending disposition will not safeguard the best interests of the child or the community because of the serious and dangerous nature of the act or acts set forth in the attached delinquency petition or information, or (4) a need to hold the child for another jurisdiction, or (5) a need to hold the child to assure the child’s appearance before the court, in view of a previous failure to respond to the court process. The court in exercising its discretion to detain under General Statutes § 46b-133 (d) may consider a suspended detention order with graduated sanctions as an alternative to detention in accordance with graduated sanctions procedures established by the judicial branch.
COMMENTARY: The revision to this section is made to clarify that an information may also be used in these cases.

Sec. 30-7. Place of Detention Hearings
The initial detention hearing may be conducted in the superior court for juvenile matters at the detention facility where the child is held and, thereafter, detention hearings shall be held at the superior court for juvenile matters [case] of appropriate venue.
COMMENTARY: The revision to this section is made for clarification and to be consistent with General Statutes § 17a-76(b).

Sec. 30-8. Initial Order for Detention; Waiver of Hearing
Such initial order of detention may be signed without a hearing only if there is a written waiver of the detention hearing by the child and the child’s attorney and there is a finding by the judicial authority that the circumstances outlined in Section 30-6 pertain to the child in question. An order of detention entered without a hearing shall authorize the detention of the child for a period not to exceed ten days, including the date of admission, and may further authorize the detention [supervisor] superintendent or a designated representative to release the child to the custody of a parent, guardian or some other suitable person, with or without conditions of release, if detention is no longer necessary, except that no child shall be released from detention who is alleged to have committed a serious juvenile offense except by order of a judicial authority of the superior court. Such an ex parte order of detention shall not be renewable without a detention hearing before the judicial authority.
COMMENTARY: The revision to this section is made to standardize terms.

Sec. 30-10. Orders of a Judicial Authority after Initial Detention Hearing
(a) At the conclusion of the initial detention hearing, the judicial authority shall issue an order for detention on finding probable cause to believe that the child has committed a delinquent act and that at least one of the factors outlined in Section 30-6 applies to the child.
(b) If the child is placed in detention, such order for detention shall be for a period not to exceed fifteen days, including the date of admission, or until the dispositional hearing is held, whichever is the shorter period, unless, following a further detention review hearing, the order is renewed. Such detention review hearing may not be waived.

(c) If the child is not placed in detention but released on a suspended order of detention on conditions, such suspended order of detention shall continue to the dispositional hearing or until further order of the judicial authority. Said suspended order of detention may be reviewed by the judicial authority every fifteen days. Upon a finding of probable cause that the child has violated any condition, a judicial authority may issue a take into custody order or order such child to appear in court for a hearing on revocation of the suspended order of detention. Such an order to appear shall be served upon the child in accordance with General Statutes § 46b-128 (b), or, if the child is represented, by serving the order to appear upon the child’s counsel, who shall notify the child of the order and the hearing date. After a hearing and upon a finding that the child has violated reasonable conditions imposed on release, the judicial authority may impose different or additional conditions of release or may remand the child to detention.

(d) In conjunction with any order of release from detention the judicial authority may, in accordance with General Statutes § 46b-133 (f), order the child to participate in a program of periodic drug testing and treatment as a condition of such release. The results of any such drug test shall be admissible only for the purposes of enforcing the conditions of release from detention.

COMMENTARY: The above change is made in light of new Section 30-2A.

Sec. 30-11. Detention after Dispositional Hearing

While awaiting implementation of the judicial authority’s order in a delinquency case, a child may be held in detention subsequent to the dispositional hearing, provided a hearing to review the circumstances and conditions of such detention order shall be conducted every fifteen days and such hearing may not be waived.
COMMENTARY: The above change is made in light of new Section 30-2A.

CHAPTER 31a
DELINQUENCY, FAMILY WITH SERVICE NEEDS AND YOUTH IN CRISIS MOTIONS AND APPLICATIONS

Sec. 31a-1. Motions and Amendments

(a) A motion other than one made during a hearing shall be in writing and have annexed to it a proper order and, where appropriate, shall be in the form called for by Section 4-1. A motion shall state in paragraphs successively numbered the specific grounds upon which it is made. A copy of the written motion shall be served on the opposing party or counsel pursuant to Sections 10-12 through 10-17.

(b) Motions shall be filed not later than ten days after the date the matter is scheduled for trial except with the permission of the judicial authority. All motions shall be calendared to be heard by the judicial authority within not later than fifteen days after filing provided reasonable notice is given to parties in interest, or notices are waived. Any motion filed in a case on trial or assigned for trial may be disposed of by the judicial authority at its discretion or ordered upon the docket to be scheduled for hearing.

(c) If the moving party determines and reports that all counsel and pro se parties agree to the granting of a motion or the consideration of a motion without the need for oral argument or testimony, or the motion states on its face that there is such an agreement, the motion may be granted without a hearing.

(d) A petition or information may be amended at any time by the judicial authority on its own motion or in response to the motions of any party prior to any final adjudication. When an amendment has been so ordered, a continuance shall be granted whenever the judicial authority finds that the new allegations in the petition or charges in the information justify the need for additional time to permit the parties to respond adequately to the additional or changed facts and circumstances.
COMMENTARY: The changes in subsection (b) are made for clarity because the current language is confusing in that it can be interpreted to mean that motions can be filed ten days after the actual trial date.

The change to “not later than” is made for clarity. The term “upon the docket” is antiquated and is therefore replaced.

The revision to subsection (d) is made because an information may also be used in these cases.

(NEW) Sec. 31a-1A. Continuances and Advancements

(a) Motions for continuances or changes in scheduled court dates must be submitted in writing in compliance with Section 31a-1(a) and filed no later than seven days prior to the scheduled date. Such motions must state the precise reasons for the request, the name of the judicial authority scheduled to hear the case, and whether or not all other parties consent to the request. After consulting with the judicial authority, the clerk will handle bona fide emergency requests submitted less than seven days prior to scheduled court dates.

(b) Trials that are not completed within the allotted prescheduled time will be subject to continuation at the next available court date.

COMMENTARY: This new section addresses continuances in these cases, and is similar to Section 34a-5.

Sec. 31a-5. Motion for Judgment of Acquittal

(a) After the close of the juvenile prosecutor’s case in chief, upon motion of the child or youth or upon its own motion, the judicial authority shall order the entry of a judgment of acquittal as to any principal offense charged and as to any lesser included offense for which the evidence would not reasonably permit an adjudication or finding of guilty. Such judgment of acquittal shall not apply to any lesser included offense for which the evidence would reasonably permit a finding of guilty.

(b) The judicial authority shall either grant or deny the motion before calling upon the child or youth to present the [defendant’s] respondent’s case in chief. If the motion is not granted, the [defendant] respondent may offer evidence without having reserved the right to do so.
COMMENTARY: The change in subsection (b) is made to standardize terms used in delinquency cases.

Sec. 31a-13. Take into Custody Order

(a) Upon application in a delinquency proceeding, a take into custody order may be issued by the judicial authority:

(1) Upon a finding of probable cause to believe that the child is responsible for: (i) a delinquent act, including violation of court orders of probation [or supervision conditions] or the failure of the child charged with a delinquent act, duly notified, to attend a pretrial, probation or evaluation appointment, or (ii) for failure to comply with any duly warned condition of a suspended order of detention. The judicial authority also must find at the time it issues a take into custody order that a ground for detention pursuant to Section 30-6 exists before issuing the order.

(2) For failure to appear in court in response to a delinquency petition or summons served in hand or to a direct notice previously provided in court.

(b) Any application for a take into custody order must be supported by a sworn statement alleging facts to substantiate probable cause, and where applicable, a petition or information charging a delinquent act.

(c) Any child detained under a take into custody order is subject to Sections 30-1A through 30-11.

COMMENTARY: The change in subsection (a) (1) is intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-148(a), as amended by Public Act 05-250 (b), that provide that a child adjudicated as a child from a family with service needs cannot be the subject of a take into custody order.

(NEW) Section 31a-13A. Temporary Custody Order – Family With Service Needs Petition

If it appears from the allegations of a petition or other sworn affirmation that there is: (1) A strong probability that the child may do something that is injurious to himself or herself prior to court disposition; (2) a strong probability that the child will run away prior to the hearing; or (3) a need to hold the child for another jurisdiction, a judicial authority may vest temporary custody of such child in some suitable person or agency. A hearing on temporary custody shall be held not
later than ten days after the date on which a judicial authority signs an order of temporary custody. Following such hearing, the judicial authority may order that the child’s temporary custody continue to be vested in some suitable person or agency.

COMMENTARY: This new section is intended to adopt provisions of June Special Session, Public Act 07-4, Sec. 30(f).

Sec. 31a-14. Physical and Mental Examinations

(a) No physical and/or mental examination or examinations by any physician, psychologist, psychiatrist or social worker shall be ordered by the judicial authority of any child denying delinquent behavior or status as a child or youth from family with service needs or youth in crisis prior to the adjudication, except (1) with the agreement of the child’s or youth’s parent or guardian and attorney, (2) when the child or youth has executed a written statement of responsibility, (3) when the judicial authority finds that there is a question of the child’s or youth’s competence to understand the nature of the proceedings or to participate in the defense, or a question of the child or youth having been mentally capable of unlawful intent at the time of the commission of the alleged act, or (4) where the child or youth has been detained and as an incident of detention is administered a physical examination to establish the existence of any contagious or infectious condition.

(b) Any information concerning a child or youth that is obtained during any mental health screening or assessment of such child or youth shall be used solely for planning and treatment purposes and shall otherwise be confidential and retained in the files of the entity performing such screening or assessment. Such information may be further disclosed only for the purposes of any court-ordered evaluation or treatment of the child or youth, or provision of services to the child or youth, or pursuant to General Statutes §§ 17a-101 to 17a-101e, inclusive, 17b-450, 17b-451 or 51-36a. Such information shall not be subject to subpoena or other court process for use in any other proceeding or for any other purpose.

[(b)](c) Upon a showing that the mental health of a child or youth is at issue, either prior to adjudication for the reasons
set forth in subsection (a) herein or subsequent thereto as a determinate of disposition, the judicial authority may order a child’s or youth’s [detention] placement for a period not to exceed thirty days in a hospital or other institution empowered by law to treat mentally ill children for study and a report on the child’s or youth’s mental condition.

COMMENTARY: The revision to subsection (a) is made to clarify that the process applies to youth in crisis.

New subsection (b) is intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-124(j), which codified Public Act 05-152.

Revisions to subsection (c) are for clarification.

Sec. 31a-16. Discovery

(a) The child or youth or the juvenile prosecutor shall be permitted pretrial discovery in accordance with subsections (b), (c) and (d) of this section by interrogatory, production, inspection or deposition of a person in delinquency, family with service needs or youth in crisis matters if the information or material sought is not otherwise obtainable and upon a finding that proceedings will not be unduly delayed.

(b) Motions or requests for discovery shall be filed with the court in accordance with Section 31a-1. The clerk shall calendar any such motion or request for a hearing. Objections to such motions or requests may be filed with the court and served in accordance with Sections 10-12 through 10-17 [within] not later than ten days of the filing of the motion or request unless the judicial authority, for good cause shown, allows a later filing. Upon its own motion or upon the request or motion of a party, the judicial authority may, after a hearing, order discovery. The judicial authority shall fix the times for filing and for responding to discovery motions and requests and, when appropriate, shall fix the hour, place, manner, terms and conditions of responses to the motions and requests, provided that the party seeking discovery shall be allowed a reasonable opportunity to obtain information needed for the preparation of the case.

(c) Motions or requests for discovery should not be filed unless the moving party has attempted unsuccessfully to obtain an agreement to disclose from the party or person from whom information is being sought.
(d) The provisions of Sections 40-2 through 40-6, inclusive, 40-7 (b), 40-8, through 40-16, inclusive, and 40-26 through 40-58, inclusive, of the rules of procedure in criminal matters shall be applied by the judicial authority in determining whether to grant, limit or set conditions on the requested discovery, issue any protective orders, or order appropriate sanctions for any clear misuse of discovery or arbitrary delay or refusal to comply with a discovery request.

COMMENTARY: The change made in this section is for clarification.

Sec. 31a-17. Disclosure of Defenses in Delinquency Proceedings

The child in a delinquency case shall disclose defenses to the charged offenses in accordance with Sections 40-17 through 40-25 of the rules of criminal procedure. Such disclosures shall be made [within] not later than ten days after the matter is scheduled for trial except with the permission of the judicial authority.

COMMENTARY: The change made in this section is for clarification.

Sec. 31a-18. Modification of Probation and Supervision

(a) At any time during the period of probation, supervision or suspended commitment, after hearing and for good cause shown, the judicial authority may modify or enlarge the conditions, whether originally imposed by the judicial authority under this section or otherwise. The judicial authority may extend the period of probation as deemed appropriate by the judicial authority. The judicial authority shall cause a copy of any such order to be delivered to the child or youth and to such child’s or youth’s parent, guardian or other person having control over such child or youth, and the child’s or youth’s probation officer.

(b) [Any modification of the terms of probation or supervision, including discharge, shall be given in writing to] The child, attorney, juvenile prosecutor [and] or parent [who] may, in the event of disagreement, in writing request the judicial authority [within] not later than five days of the receipt thereof for a hearing on the propriety of the modification. In the absence of any request, the modification of the terms of
probation may be effected by the probation officer with the approval of the supervisor and the judicial authority.

COMMENTARY: The changes in this section are intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-140a(a) and to standardize terms.

Sec. 31a-19. Motion for Extension of Delinquency Commitment; Motion for Review of Permanency Plan

(a) The commissioner of the department of children and families may file a motion for an extension of a delinquency commitment beyond the eighteen-month or four-year period on the grounds that such extension is for the best interests of the child or the community. The clerk of the court shall give notice to [the parent or guardian and to] the child, the child’s parent or guardian, all counsel of record at the time of disposition and, if applicable, the guardian ad litem [at least] not later than fourteen days prior to the hearing upon such motion. The judicial authority may, after hearing and upon finding such extension is in the best interests of the child or the community, continue the commitment for an additional period of not more than eighteen months.

(b) Not later than twelve months after a child is committed as a delinquent to the commissioner of the department of children and families, the judicial authority shall hold a permanency hearing. Such a hearing will be held every twelve months thereafter if the child remains committed. Such hearing may include the submission of a motion to the judicial authority by the commissioner to either modify or extend the commitment.

(c) At least sixty days prior to each permanency hearing required under subsection (b) of this section, the commissioner of the department of children and families shall file a permanency plan with the judicial authority. At each permanency hearing, the judicial authority shall review and approve a permanency plan that is in the best interests of the child and takes into consideration the child’s need for permanency. The judicial authority shall also determine whether the commissioner of the department of children and families has made reasonable efforts to achieve the permanency plan.
COMMENTARY: The changes in this section are made to standardize terms and for clarification.

(NEW) Sec. 31a-19A. Motion for Extension or Revocation of Family With Service Needs Commitment; Motion for Review of Permanency Plan

(a) The commissioner of the department of children and families may file a motion for an extension of a commitment of a child who has been adjudicated as a child from a family with service needs on the grounds that an extension would be in the best interests of the child. The clerk shall give notice to the child, the child’s parent or guardian, all counsel of record at the time of disposition and, if applicable, the guardian ad litem not later than fourteen days prior to the hearing upon such motion. The judicial authority may, after hearing and upon finding that such extension is in the best interests of the child and that there is no suitable less restrictive alternative, continue the commitment for an additional indefinite period of not more than eighteen months.

(b) The commissioner of the department of children and families may at any time file a motion to revoke a commitment of a child who has been adjudicated as a child from a family with service needs, or the parent or guardian of such child, may at any time but not more often than once every six months file a motion with the judicial authority which committed the child to revoke such commitment. The clerk shall notify the child, the child’s parent or guardian, all counsel of record at the time of disposition, if applicable, the guardian ad litem, and the commissioner of the department of children and families of any motion filed to revoke a commitment under this subsection, and of the time when a hearing on such motion will be held.

(c) Not later than twelve months after the commitment of a child who has been adjudicated as a child from a family with service needs to the commissioner of the department of children and families, the judicial authority shall hold a permanency hearing. Such a hearing will be held every twelve months thereafter if the child remains committed. Such a hearing also may include the submission of a motion to the judicial authority by the commissioner of the department of
children and families, the child’s parent or guardian to either extend or revoke the commitment.

(d) At least sixty days prior to each permanency hearing required under subsection (c) of this section, the commissioner of the department of children and families shall file a permanency plan with the judicial authority. At each permanency hearing, the judicial authority shall review and approve a permanency plan that is in the best interests of the child and takes into consideration the child’s need for permanency. That judicial authority shall also determine whether the commissioner of the department of children and families has made reasonable efforts to achieve the permanency plan.

COMMENTARY: This new section adopts provisions of June Special Session, Public Act 07-4, Sec. 30(i). The federal Adoption and Safe Families Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 620-679, requires permanency plans for family with service needs matters. There has been a gradual shift away from petitions to motions in addressing post disposition matters; the above rule reflects this shift in practice.

(NEW) Sec. 31a-20. Petition for Violation of Family With Service Needs Post-Adjudicatory Orders

(a) When a child who has been adjudicated as a child from a family with service needs violates any valid order which regulates future conduct of the child made by the judicial authority following such an adjudication, a probation officer, on receipt of a complaint setting forth the facts alleged to be a violation, or on the probation officer’s own motion on the basis of his or her knowledge of such a violation, may file a petition with the court alleging that the child has violated a valid court order and setting forth the facts claimed to constitute such a violation.

(b) The judicial authority will ensure that the child is provided an evidentiary hearing on the allegations contained in the petition and that counsel is assigned for the child or youth pursuant to Section 30a-1 of these rules or that counsel of record is notified of the evidentiary hearing.

(c) Upon a finding by the judicial authority by clear and convincing evidence that the child has violated a valid court order, the judicial authority may (1) order the child to remain in
such child’s home or in the custody of a relative or any other suitable person, subject to the supervision of a probation officer, (2) upon a finding that there is no less restrictive alternative appropriate to the needs of the child and the community, enter an order that directs or authorizes a peace officer or other appropriate person to place the child in a staff-secure facility under the auspices of the Court Support Services Division of the Judicial Branch for a period not to exceed forty-five days, with review by the judicial authority every fifteen days to consider whether continued placement is appropriate, at the end of which period the child shall be returned to the community and may be subject to the supervision of probation officer, or (3) order that the child be committed to the care and custody of the commissioner of the department of children and families for a period not to exceed eighteen months and that the child cooperate in such care and custody.

COMMENTARY: This new section is intended to adopt provisions of June Special Session, Public Act 07-4, Sec. 32(a).

CHAPTER 32a
RIGHTS OF PARTIES
NEGLECTED, UNCARED FOR AND DEPENDENT CHILDREN AND TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS

Sec. 32a-1. Right to Counsel and to Remain Silent
(a) At the first hearing in which the parents or guardian appear, [T]he judicial authority shall advise and explain to the parents or guardian of a child or youth their right to silence and to counsel [prior to commencement of any proceeding].

((b) The parents or guardian of a child or youth and the child or youth have the rights of confrontation and cross-examination and may be represented by counsel in each and every phase of any and all proceedings in juvenile matters, including appeals, and if they are unable to afford counsel, counsel will be appointed to represent them if such is their request. The judicial authority shall appoint counsel for these parties or any of them (1) upon request and upon a finding that the party, is, in fact, financially unable to employ counsel, or (2) in the case of counsel for the child, whether a request is
made or not, in any proceeding on a juvenile matter in which
the custody of a child is at issue, or if in the opinion of the
judicial authority the interests of the child and the parents
conflict, or (3) in the case of counsel for the child and the
parent, whether a request is made or not, if in the opinion of
the judicial authority a fair hearing necessitates such an
appointment.]

(b) The child or youth has the rights of confrontation
and cross-examination and shall be represented by counsel in
each and every phase of any and all proceedings in child
protection matters, including appeals. The judicial authority
before whom a juvenile matter is pending shall notify the Chief
Child Protection Attorney who shall assign an attorney to
represent the child or youth.

c) The judicial authority on its own motion or upon the
motion of any party, may appoint a separate guardian ad litem
for the child or youth upon a finding that such appointment is
necessary to protect the best interest of the child or youth.
An attorney guardian ad litem shall be appointed for a child or
youth who is a parent in a termination of parental rights
proceeding or any parent who is found to be incompetent by
the judicial authority.

d) The parents or guardian of the child or youth have
the rights of confrontation and cross-examination and may be
represented by counsel in each and every phase of any and all
proceedings in child protection matters, including appeals. The
judicial authority shall determine if the parents or guardian of
the child or youth are eligible for counsel. Upon a finding that
such parents or guardian of the child or youth are unable to
afford counsel, the judicial authority shall notify the Chief Child
Protection Attorney of such finding, and the Chief Child
Protection Attorney shall assign an attorney to provide
representation.

e) If the judicial authority, even in the absence of a
request for appointment of counsel, determines that the
interests of justice require the provision of an attorney to
represent the child’s or youth’s parent or parents or guardian,
or other party, the judicial authority may appoint an attorney to
represent any such party and shall notify the Chief Child
Protection Attorney who shall assign an attorney to represent
any such party. For the purposes of determining eligibility for
appointment of counsel, the judicial authority shall cause the parents or guardian of a child or youth to complete a written statement under oath or affirmation setting forth the parents’ or guardian’s liabilities and assets, income and sources thereof, and such other information as the Commission on Child Protection shall designate and require on forms adopted by said commission.

[(c)] (f) Where under the provisions of this section, the judicial authority so appoints counsel for any such party who is found able to pay, in whole or in part, the cost thereof, [it] the judicial authority shall assess as costs on the appropriate form against such parents, guardian or custodian, including any agency vested with the legal custody of the child or youth, the expense so incurred and paid for by the [court] Chief Child Protection Attorney in providing such counsel, to the extent of their financial ability to do so, in accordance with the rates established by the Commission on Child Protection for compensation of counsel. Reimbursement to the appointed attorney of unrecovered costs shall be made to that attorney by the [judicial branch] Chief Child Protection Attorney upon the attorney’s certification of his or her unrecovered expenses to the [judicial branch] Chief Child Protection Attorney.

[(d)] (g) Notices of initial hearings on petitions, shall contain a statement of the respondent’s right to counsel and that if the respondent is unable to afford counsel, counsel will be appointed to represent the respondent, that the respondent has a right to refuse to make any statement and that any statement the respondent makes may be introduced in evidence against him or her.

[(e)] (h) Any confession, admission or statement, written or oral, made by the parent or parents or guardian of the child or youth after the filing of a petition alleging such child or youth to be neglected, uncared-for or dependent, shall be inadmissible in any proceeding held upon such petition against the person making such admission or statement unless such person shall have been advised of [his] the right to retain counsel, and that if [he] such person is unable to afford counsel, counsel will be [appointed] assigned to provide [represent] representation [him], that such person [he] has a right to refuse to make any statement and that any statements
such person makes may be introduced in evidence against such person [him].

COMMENTARY: Subsection (b) of this section has been transferred with amendments to new subsections (b), (c) and (d). These new subsections are intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-129a which specifies that the child shall have the right to counsel and a guardian ad litem in child protection proceedings.

Additional changes to this section adopt provisions of Public Act 07-159, Secs. 4 and 7, which amended General Statutes § 46b-136 and General Statutes § 45a-708. These provisions require that any parent in termination of parental rights proceedings who is a minor or an incompetent shall be appointed an attorney guardian ad litem.

The amendment to subsection (g) adopts provisions of General Statutes § 46b-137(b).

The revisions to subsection (h) are technical corrections.

Sec. 32a-2. Hearing Procedure; Subpoenas

(a) All hearings are essentially civil proceedings except where otherwise provided by statute. Testimony may be given in narrative form and the proceedings shall at all times be as informal as the requirements of due process and fairness permit.

(b) Issuance, service, and compliance with subpoenas are governed by General Statutes § 52-143 et seq.

(c) Any pro se [indigent] party may request the clerk of the court to issue subpoenas for persons to testify before the judicial authority. Pro se [indigent] parties [and court-appointed counsel] shall obtain prior approval from the judicial authority to issue subpoenas and, if indigent, may seek reimbursement for the costs thereof.

Sec. 32a-3. Standards of Proof

(a) The standard of proof applied in a neglect, uncared for or dependency proceeding is a fair preponderance of the evidence.

(b) The standard of proof applied in a decision to terminate parental rights or a finding that efforts to reunify a
parent with a child or youth are no longer appropriate, is clear and convincing evidence.

(c) Any Indian child or youth custody proceedings, except delinquency, involving removal of an Indian child or youth from a parent or Indian custodian for placement shall, in addition, comply with the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA), 25 U.S.C. § 1901 et seq.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms and for clarification.

Sec. 32a-4. Child or Youth Witness

(a) All oral testimony shall be given under oath. For child or youth witnesses, the oath may be “you promise that you will tell the truth.” The judicial authority may, however, admit the testimony of a child or youth without the imposition of a formal oath if the judicial authority finds that the oath would be meaningless to the particular child or youth, or would otherwise inhibit the child or youth from testifying freely and fully.

(b) Any party who intends to call a child or youth as a witness shall first file a motion seeking permission of the judicial authority.

(c) In any proceeding when testimony of a child or youth is taken, an adult who is known to the child or youth and with whom the child or youth feels comfortable shall be permitted to sit in close proximity to the child or youth during the child’s or youth’s testimony without obscuring the child or youth from view and the attorneys shall ask questions and pose objections while seated and in a manner which is not intimidating to the child or youth. The judicial authority shall minimize any distress to a child or youth in court.

(d) The judicial authority with the consent of all parties may privately interview the child or youth. Counsel may submit questions and areas of concern for examination. The knowledge gained in such a conference shall be shared on the record with counsel and, if there is no legal representative, with the parent.

(e) When the witness is the child or youth of the respondent, the respondent may be excluded from the hearing room upon a showing by clear and convincing evidence that the child or youth witness would be so intimidated or inhibited
that trustworthiness of the child or youth witness is seriously called into question. In such an instance, if the respondent is without counsel, the judicial authority shall summarize for the respondent the nature of the child’s or youth’s testimony.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms and for clarification.

Sec. 32a-5. Consultation with Child or Youth [in the Court]
(a) In any permanency hearing held with respect to the child or youth, including, but not limited to, any hearing regarding the transition of the child or youth from foster care to independent living, the judicial authority shall assure that there is consultation with the child or youth in an age-appropriate manner regarding the proposed permanency or transition plan for the child or youth.

(b) For good cause shown, the child or youth who is the subject of the hearing may be excluded from the courtroom.

COMMENTARY: The Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. §675(5)(C), requires new subsection (a). The federal law requires that an attorney consult with his or her child client on that child’s position on any proposed permanency or transition plan and report it to the judicial authority at the permanency hearing.

(NEW) Sec. 32a-9 Competency of Parent
(a) In any proceeding for the termination of parental rights, either upon its own motion or a motion of any party alleging specific factual allegations of mental impairment that raise a reasonable doubt about the parent’s competency, the judicial authority shall appoint an evaluator who is an expert in mental illness to assess such parent’s competency; the judicial authority shall thereafter conduct a competency hearing within ten days of receipt of the evaluator’s report.

(b) At a competency hearing held under section (a), the judicial authority shall determine whether the parent is incompetent and if so, whether competency may be restored within a reasonable time, considering the age and needs of the child or youth, including the possible adverse impact of delay in the proceedings. If competency may be restored within a reasonable time, the judicial authority shall stay proceedings
and shall issue specific steps the parent shall take to have competency restored. If competency may not be restored within a reasonable time, the judicial authority may make reasonable accommodations to assist the parent and his or her attorney in the defense of the case, including the appointment of a guardian ad litem if one has not already been provided.

COMMENTARY: The need for competency assessments in termination of parental rights cases is outlined in In re Alexander V., 223 Conn. 557 (1992).

CHAPTER 33a
PETITIONS FOR NEGLECT, UNCARED FOR, DEPENDENCY AND TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS: INITIATION OF PROCEEDINGS, ORDERS OF TEMPORARY CUSTODY AND PRELIMINARY HEARINGS

Sec. 33a-2. Service of Summons, Petitions and Ex Parte Orders

(a) A summons accompanying a petition alleging that a child or youth is neglected, uncared for or dependent, along with the summary of facts, shall be served by the petitioner on the respondents and provided to the office of the attorney general at least fourteen days before the date of the initial plea hearing on the petition, which shall be held not more than forty-five days from the date of filing the petition.

(b) A summons accompanying a petition for termination of parental rights, along with the summary of facts, shall be served by the petitioner on the respondents and provided to the office of the attorney general at least ten days prior to the date of the initial plea hearing on the petition, which shall be held not more than thirty days after the filing of the petition except in the case of a petition for termination of parental rights based on consent which shall be held not more than twenty days after the filing of the petition.

(c) A summons accompanying simultaneously filed coterminous petitions, along with the summary of facts, shall be served by the petitioner on the respondents and provided to the office of the attorney general at least ten days prior to the date of the initial plea hearing on the petition, which shall be held not more than thirty days after the filing of the petition.
petitions except in the case of a petition for termination of parental rights based on consent which shall be held not more than twenty days after the filing of the petition.

(d) A summons accompanying any petition filed with an application for order of temporary custody shall be served by the petitioner on the respondents and provided to the office of the attorney general as soon as practicable after the issuance of any ex parte order or order to appear, along with such order, any sworn statements supporting the order, the summary of facts, the specific steps provided by the judicial authority, and the notice required by Section 33a-6.

COMMENTARY: Revisions to this section adopt provisions of Public Act 04-128, which amended General Statutes §§ 17a-112(i) and 45a-716(a).

Sec. 33a-3. Venue

All child protection petitions shall be filed within the juvenile matters district where the child or youth resided at the time of the filing of the petition, but any child or youth born in any hospital or institution where the mother is confined at the time of birth shall be deemed to have residence in the district wherein such child’s or youth’s mother was living at the time of her admission to such hospital or institution. When placement of a child or youth has been effected prior to filing of a petition, venue shall be in the district wherein the custodial parent is living at the time of the filing of the petition.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms.

Sec. 33a-4. Identity or Location of Respondent Unknown

(a) If the identity or present location of a respondent is unknown when a petition is filed, an affidavit shall be attached reciting the efforts to identify and locate that respondent. [The judicial authority shall require reasonable efforts to identify and locate the absent respondent.] Notice by publication to unidentified persons shall be required in any petition for termination of parental rights.

(b) Subject to section 32a-1 of these rules, [T]he judicial authority may [appoint] notify the Chief Child Protection Attorney to assign counsel for an unidentified parent or an absent parent who has received only constructive
notice of termination of parental rights proceedings, for the limited purposes of conducting a reasonable search for the unidentified or absent parents and reporting to the judicial authority before any adjudication.

COMMENTARY: The deletion in subsection (a) removes language that is redundant and that inaccurately suggests that a different reasonable efforts finding is required.

The revisions to subsection (b) are made in light of Section 32a-1 as revised above.

Sec. 33a-6. Order of Temporary Custody; Ex Parte Orders and Orders to Appear

(a) If the judicial authority finds, based upon the specific allegations of the petition and other verified affirmations of fact provided by the applicant, that there is reasonable cause to believe that: (1) the child or youth is suffering from serious physical illness or serious physical injury or is in immediate physical danger from his surroundings and (2) that as a result of said conditions, the child’s or youth’s safety is endangered and immediate removal from such surroundings is necessary to ensure the child’s or youth’s safety, the judicial authority shall, upon proper application at the time of filing of the petition or at any time subsequent thereto, either (A) issue an order to the respondents or other persons having responsibility for the care of the child or youth to appear at such time as the judicial authority may designate to determine whether the judicial authority should vest in some suitable agency or person the child’s or youth’s temporary care and custody pending disposition of the petition, or (B) issue an order ex parte vesting in some suitable agency or person the child’s or youth’s temporary care and custody.

(b) A preliminary hearing on any ex parte custody order or order to appear issued by the judicial authority shall be held as soon as practicable but [no more] not later than ten days [from] after the issuance of such order.

(c) If the application is filed subsequent to the filing of the petition, a motion to amend the petition or to modify protective supervision shall be filed no later than the next business date before such preliminary hearing.

(d) Upon issuance of an ex parte order or order to appear, the judicial authority shall provide to the commissioner
of the department of children and families and the respondents
specific steps necessary for each to take for the respondents
to retain or regain custody of the child or youth.

(e) An ex parte order or order to appear shall be
accompanied by a conspicuous notice to the respondents
written in clear and simple language containing at least the
following information: (i) That the order contains allegations
that conditions in the home have endangered the safety and
welfare of the child or youth; (ii) that a hearing will be held on
the date on the form; (iii) that the hearing is the opportunity to
present the respondents’ position concerning the
alleged facts; (iv) that the respondent has the right to remain
silent; (v) that an attorney will be appointed for respondents
who cannot afford an attorney by the Chief Child
Protection Attorney; (vi) that such respondents may
apply for state paid representation by going in person to the court address on the form and are
advised to go as soon as possible in order for the attorney to
prepare for the hearing; and (vii) if such respondents
have any questions concerning the case or appointment of
counsel, any such respondent is advised to go to the
court, or contact the Chief Child Protection Attorney as soon as
possible.

(f) Upon application for state paid representation, the judicial authority shall promptly determine
eligibility and, if the respondent is eligible, promptly notify the Chief Child Protection Attorney who shall
assign an attorney to provide representation. In the absence of
such a request prior to the preliminary hearing, the judicial authority shall ensure that
standby counsel is available at such hearing to assist and/or
represent the respondents.

COMMENTARY: The changes in subsection (b) are
intended to adopt provisions of Public Act 06-102, Sec. 9,
which amended General Statutes § 46b-129(b). The changes
in subsections (e) and (f) are intended to adopt provisions of
Public Act 07-159, Sec. 4. Other revisions to this section are
made to standardize terms.
Sec. 33a-7. Preliminary Order of Temporary Custody or First Hearing; Actions by Judicial Authority

(a) At the preliminary hearing on the order of temporary custody or order to appear, or at the first hearing on a petition for neglect, uncared for, dependency, or termination of parental rights, the judicial authority shall:

1. first determine whether all necessary parties are present and that the rules governing service on or notice to nonappearing parties, and notice to grandparents, foster parents, relative caregivers and pre-adoptive parents have been complied with, and shall note these facts for the record;

2. inform the respondents of the allegations contained in all petitions and applications that are the subject of the hearing;

3. inform the respondents of their right to remain silent;

4. ensure that an attorney, and where appropriate, a separate guardian ad litem, has been appointed to represent the child or youth by the Chief Child Protection Attorney, in accordance with General Statutes §§ 46b-123e, 46b-129a (2), and 46b-136 and section 32a-1 of these rules;

5. advise the respondents of their right to counsel and their right to have counsel appointed if they are unable to afford representation, determine eligibility for state paid representation and notify the Chief Child Protection Attorney to assign an attorney to represent any respondent who is unable to afford representation, as determined by the judicial authority;

6. advise the respondents of the right to a hearing on the petitions and applications, to be held within not later than ten days after the date of the preliminary hearing if the hearing is pursuant to an ex parte order of temporary custody or an order to appear;

7. notwithstanding any prior statements acknowledging responsibility, inquire of the custodial respondent in neglect, uncared for and dependency matters, and of all respondents in termination matters, whether the allegations of the petition are presently admitted or denied;

8. make any interim orders, including visitation, that the judicial authority determines are in the best interests of the child or youth, and order specific steps the commissioner and
the respondents shall take for the respondents to regain or to retain custody of the child or youth;

(9) take steps to determine the identity of the father of the child or youth, including ordering genetic testing, if necessary and appropriate, and order service of the amended petition citing in the putative father and notice of the hearing date, if any, to be made upon him;

(10) if the person named as the putative father appears, and admits that he is the biological father, provide him and the mother with the notices which comply with General Statutes § 17b-27 and provide them with the opportunity to sign a paternity acknowledgment and affirmation on forms which comply with General Statutes § 17b-27, which documents shall be executed and filed in accordance with [chapter 815y of the] General Statutes § 46b-172 and a copy delivered to the clerk of the superior court for juvenile matters; and

(11) in the event that the person named as a putative father appears and denies that he is the biological father of the child or youth, advise him that he may have no further standing in any proceeding concerning the child or youth, and either order genetic testing to determine paternity or direct him to execute a written denial of paternity on a form promulgated by the office of the chief court administrator. Upon execution of such a form by the putative father, the judicial authority may remove him from the case and afford him no further standing in the case or in any subsequent proceeding regarding the child or youth until such time as paternity is established by formal acknowledgment or adjudication in a court of competent jurisdiction.

(b) At the preliminary hearing on the order of temporary custody or order to appear, the judicial authority may provide parties an opportunity to present argument with regard to the sufficiency of the sworn statements.

(c) If any respondent fails, after proper service, to appear at the preliminary hearing, the judicial authority may enter or sustain an order of temporary custody.

(d) Upon request, or upon its own motion, the judicial authority shall schedule a hearing on the order for temporary custody or the order to [show cause] appear to be held as soon as practicable but not [more] later than ten days [from] after the date of the preliminary hearing. Such hearing shall be
held on consecutive days except for compelling circumstances or at the request of the respondents.

[(e) When the allegations are denied, necessitating testimony in support of the petitioner’s allegations, the case shall be continued for a case status conference and a subsequent hearing before a judicial authority who has not read the case status conference memo. Said case status conference may be waived by the judicial authority, on its own motion or upon request of the parties.]

(e) Subject to the requirements of Sec. 33a-7 (a) (6), upon motion of any party or on its own motion, the judicial authority may consolidate the hearing on the order of temporary custody or order to appear with the adjudicatory phase of the trial on the underlying petition. At a consolidated order of temporary custody and neglect adjudication hearing the judicial authority shall determine the outcome of the order of temporary custody based upon whether or not continued removal is necessary to ensure the child’s or youth’s safety, irrespective of its findings on whether there is sufficient evidence to support an adjudication of neglect or uncared for. Nothing in this subsection prohibits the judicial authority from proceeding to disposition of the underlying petition immediately after such consolidated hearing if the social study has been filed and the parties had previously agreed to sustain the order of temporary custody and waived the ten-day hearing or the parties should reasonably be ready to proceed.

COMMENTARY: The change in subsection (a) (1) is in response to federal requirements and adopts provisions of Public Act 06-37, which required notification to grandparents, and of Public Act 07-174, Sec. 3.

The changes in subsections (a) (4) and (a) (5) adopt provisions of Public Act 07-159, Sec. 5.

The changes in subsection (a) (6) adopt provisions of Public Act 06-102, Sec. 9, and General Statutes § 46b-129(d).

The changes in subsections (a) (9) through (a) (11) adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-129(d)(7); specifically the option of genetic testing to determine the identity of the father. Where a respondent has in another court been legally adjudicated the father, genetic testing is not
appropriate absent reopening of an original judgment of paternity.

Current subsection (e) is deleted because the substance of the subsection also appears in subsection 35a-2 (a), which is a more appropriate place for it.

New subsection (e) makes it clear that the judicial authority may consolidate matters that involve the same child in one hearing or trial.

Sec. 33a-8. Emergency, Life-Threatening Medical Situations—Procedures

When an emergency medical situation exists which requires the immediate assumption of temporary custody of a child or youth by the commissioner of the department of children and families in order to save the child’s or youth’s life, [the application for a temporary custody order shall be filed together with a neglect or uncared for petition.] [T]wo physicians under oath must attest to the need for such medical treatment. Oral permission by the judicial authority may be given after receiving sworn oral testimony of two physicians that the specific surgical or medical intervention is absolutely necessary to preserve the child’s or youth’s life. The judicial authority may grant the temporary custody order ex parte or may schedule an immediate hearing prior to issuing said order. At any immediate hearing the two physicians shall be available for testifying, and the judicial authority shall appoint counsel for the child or youth and notify the Chief Child Protection Attorney as soon as practicable that said counsel has been appointed. If the judicial authority grants the temporary custody order ex-parte by oral permission, based on the sworn oral testimony from the physicians, the commissioner of the department of children and families shall file the application for a temporary custody order together with a neglect or uncared for petition on the next business day following the granting of such order.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section clarify the procedure concerning emergency medical situations. Notice to the Chief Child Protection Attorney is appropriate in light of the statutes concerning that office.
CHAPTER 34a
PLEADINGS, MOTIONS AND DISCOVERY
NEGLECTED, UNCARED FOR AND DEPENDENT CHILDREN
AND TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS

Sec. 34a-1. Motions, Requests and Amendments
(a) Except as otherwise provided, the sections in chapters 1 through 7 shall apply to juvenile matters in the superior court as defined by General Statutes § 46b-121.
(b) The provisions of Sections 8-2, 9-5, 9-22, 10-12 (a), 10-13, 10-14, 10-17, 10-18, 10-29, 10-62, 11-4, 11-5, 11-6, 11-7, 11-8, 11-10,11-11, 11-12, 11-13, 12-1, 12-2, [and] 12-3 and 17-21 of the rules of practice shall apply to juvenile matters as defined by General Statutes § 46b-121.
(c) A motion or request, other than a motion made orally during a hearing, shall be in writing. An objection to a request shall also be in writing. A motion, request or objection to a request shall have annexed to it a proper order and where appropriate shall be in the form called for by Section 4-1. The form and manner of notice shall adequately inform the interested parties of the time, place and nature of the hearing. A motion, request, or objection to a request whose form is not therein prescribed shall state in paragraphs successively numbered the specific grounds upon which it is made. A copy of all written motions, requests, or objections to requests shall be served on the opposing party or counsel pursuant to Sections 10-12 (a) and (c), 10-13, 10-14 and 10-17. All motions or objections to requests shall be given an initial hearing by the judicial authority within fifteen days after filing provided reasonable notice is given to parties in interest, or notices are waived; any motion in a case on trial or assigned for trial may be disposed of by the judicial authority at its discretion or ordered upon the docket.
(d) A petition may be amended at any time by the judicial authority on its own motion or in response to a motion prior to any final adjudication. When an amendment has been so ordered, a continuance shall be granted whenever the judicial authority finds that the new allegations in the petition justify the need for additional time to permit the parties to respond adequately to the additional or changed facts and circumstances.
(e) If the moving party determines and reports that all counsel and pro se parties agree to the granting of a motion or agree that the motion may be considered without the need for oral argument or testimony and the motion states on its face that there is such an agreement, the judicial authority may consider and rule on the motion without a hearing.

COMMENTARY: The proposed revision adds the military affidavit rule for child protection cases. Case law supports this addition.

CHAPTER 35a
HEARINGS CONCERNING NEGLECTED, UNCARED FOR AND DEPENDENT CHILDREN
AND TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS

Sec. 35a-1. [Adjudicatory Hearing; Actions by Judicial Authority] Adjudication upon Acceptance of Admission or Written Plea of Nolo Contendere

[(a) The judicial authority shall first determine whether all necessary parties are present and that the rules governing service for nonappearing parties have been complied with, and shall note these facts for the record. The judicial authority shall then inform the unrepresented parties of the substance of the petition.]

[(b)] (a) Notwithstanding any prior statements acknowledging responsibility, the judicial authority shall inquire whether the allegations of the petition are presently admitted or denied. This inquiry shall be made of the [custodial] parent(s) or guardian in neglect, uncared for or dependent matters; and of [all appearing] the parents in termination matters.

[(c)] (b) [A] An admission to allegations or a written plea of nolo contendere signed by the respondent may be accepted by the judicial authority. Before accepting an admission or plea of nolo contendere, the judicial authority shall determine whether the right to counsel has been waived, and that the parties understand the content and consequences of their admission or plea. If the allegations are admitted or the plea accepted, the judicial authority shall make its adjudicatory finding as to the validity of the facts alleged in the petition and may proceed to a dispositional hearing. Where appropriate, the
judicial authority may permit a noncustodial parent or guardian to stand silent as to the entry of an adjudication.

[(d) A verbatim stenographic or electronic recording of all hearings shall be kept, any transcript of which shall be part of the record of the case.] COMMENTARY: The substance of current subsection (a) appears in subsections 33a-7 (1) and (2), therefore, subsection (a) is unnecessary. The revisions to new subsection (a) are for clarification. The revisions to new subsection (b) clarify that, in lieu of taking evidence in the adjudicatory phase, the defendant may admit to allegations in the petition by an admission or a written plea of nolo contendere. The amendment concerning standing silent is consistent with In re David L., 54 Conn. App. 185 (1999). Subsection (d) has been relocated to new Section 35a-1A.

(NEW) Sec. 35a-1A. Record of the Case

A verbatim stenographic or electronic recording of all hearings shall be kept, any transcript of which shall be part of the record of the case.

COMMENTARY: This new section has been relocated from subsection 35a-1 (d).

(NEW) Sec. 35a-1B. Exclusion of Unnecessary Persons from Courtroom

Any judicial authority hearing a child protection matter may, during such hearing, exclude from the room in which such hearing is held any person whose presence is, in the opinion of the judicial authority, not necessary.

COMMENTARY: This new section adopts provisions of General Statutes § 46b-122.

Sec. 35a-2. Case Status Conference or Judicial Pretrial

(a) When the allegations of the petition are denied, necessitating testimony in support of the petitioner’s allegations, the case shall be continued for a case status conference and/or a judicial pretrial. The case status conference or judicial pretrial may be waived by the judicial authority upon request of all the parties.

(b) Parties with decision-making authority to settle must be present or immediately accessible during a case status
conference or judicial pretrial. Continuances will be granted only in accordance with Section 34a-5.

(c) At the case status conference and/or judicial pretrial, all attorneys and pro se parties will be prepared to discuss the following matters:

(1) Settlement;
(2) Simplification and narrowing of the issues;
(3) Amendments to the pleadings;
[(4) Such other actions as may aid in the disposition of the case;]
[(5)] (4) The setting of firm trial dates;
[(6)] (5) Preliminary witness lists;
[(7)] (6) Identification of necessary arrangements for trial including, but not limited to, application for writ of habeas corpus for incarcerated parties, transportation, interpreters, and special equipment[].

(7) Such other actions as may aid in the disposition of the case.

(d) When necessary, the judicial authority may issue a trial management order including, but not limited to, an order fixing a date prior to trial by which all parties are to exchange proposed witness and exhibit lists and copies of proposed exhibits not previously exchanged. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions as the ends of justice may require.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms and for clarification.

Sec. 35a-3. Coterminous Petitions

When coterminous petitions are filed, the judicial authority first determines by a fair preponderance of the evidence whether the child or youth is neglected, uncared for or dependent; if so, then the judicial authority determines whether statutory grounds exist to terminate parental rights by clear and convincing evidence; if so, then the judicial authority determines whether termination of parental rights is in the best interests of the child or youth by clear and convincing evidence. If the judicial authority determines that termination grounds do not exist or termination of parental rights is not in the best interests of the child or youth, then the judicial authority may consider by a fair preponderance of the evidence
any of the dispositional alternatives available under the neglect, uncared for or dependent petition.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms and for clarification.

Sec. 35a-4. Intervening Parties
(a) In making a determination upon a motion to intervene by any grandparent of the child or youth, the judicial authority shall consider:
   (1) the timeliness of the motion as judged by all the circumstances of the case;
   (2) whether the [applicant] movant has a direct and immediate interest in the case.
(b) Other persons including, but not limited to, siblings may move to intervene in the dispositional phase of the [trial] case and the judicial authority may grant said motion if it determines that such intervention is in the best interest of the child or youth or in the interests of justice.
(c) In making a determination upon a motion to intervene by any other [applicant] person, the judicial authority shall consider:
   (1) the timeliness of the motion as judged by all the circumstances of the case;
   (2) whether the [applicant] movant has a direct and immediate interest in the case;
   (3) whether the [applicant’s] movant’s interest is not adequately represented by existing parties;
   (4) whether the intervention may cause delay in the proceedings or other prejudice to the existing parties;
   (5) the necessity for or value of the intervention in terms of resolving the controversy before the judicial authority.
(d) Upon the granting of such motion, such grandparent or other [applicant] person may appear by counsel or in person. Intervenors are responsible for obtaining their own counsel and are not entitled to [appointment of counsel at state expense] state paid representation by [the court] the Chief Child Protection Attorney.
(e) When a judicial authority grants a motion to intervene in proceedings concerning a pending neglect or uncared for petition, the judicial authority may determine at the time of disposition of the petition whether good cause exists.
to permit said intervenor to participate in future proceedings as a party and what, if any further actions, the intervenor is required to take.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to subsections (a) and (b) are made to standardize terms and for clarification.

The revisions to subsection (c) are made because the use of the word “applicant” misleadingly implies application for counsel.

The revisions to subsection (d) are intended to adopt provisions General Statutes §§ 46b-123e and 46b-136. The Chief Child Protection Attorney (CCPA) is not authorized to provide counsel to intervenors unless the judicial authority determines that the interests of justice require that the CCPA provide representation to an intervenor.

New subsection (e) clarifies that intervenors may continue to participate in post-disposition proceedings only with the approval of the judicial authority.

Sec. 35a-5. [Foster Parents’ and Siblings’ Right to Be Heard]
Notice and Right to be Heard

(a) Any foster parent, prospective adoptive parent or relative caregiver shall be notified of and have a right to be heard in any proceeding held concerning a [foster] child or youth living with such foster parent, prospective adoptive parent or relative caregiver. The commissioner of the department of children and families shall provide written notice of all court proceedings concerning any child or youth to any such foster parent, prospective adoptive parent or relative caregiver of such child or youth. Records of such notice shall be kept by the commissioner of the department of children and families and information about notice given in each case provided to the court.

(b) Upon motion of any sibling of any child or youth committed to the commissioner of the department of children and families pursuant to General Statutes § 46b-129, the sibling shall have the right to be heard concerning visitation with and placement of any such child or youth.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to subsection (a) are intended to adopt provisions of Public Act 07-174, Sec. 3, General Statutes § 46b-129(o) and federal law.
The revisions to subsection (b) are made to standardize terms.

Sec. 35a-6. Post-Disposition Role of Former Guardian
When a court of competent jurisdiction has ordered legal guardianship of a child or youth to a person other than the biological parents of the child or youth prior to the juvenile court proceeding, the juvenile court shall determine at the time of the commitment of the child or youth to the commissioner of the department of children and families whether good cause exists to allow said legal guardian to participate in future proceedings as a party and what, if any further actions the commissioner of the department of children and families and the guardian are required to take.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms.

(NEW) Sec. 35a-7 Consolidation
Upon motion of any party or on its own motion, the judicial authority may consolidate separate petitions for trial. In determining whether to consolidate, the judicial authority shall consider whether consolidation will expedite the business of the court without causing delay or injustice.

COMMENTARY: This new section clarifies that the judicial authority may resolve matters involving the same child or children of the same parent or parents in one hearing or trial.

Sec. 35a-7A. Evidence
(a) In the adjudicatory phase, the judicial authority is limited to evidence of events preceding the filing of the petition or the latest amendment, except where the judicial authority must consider subsequent events as part of its determination as to the existence of a ground for termination of parental rights.

(b) In the discretion of the judicial authority, evidence on adjudication and disposition may be heard in a non-bifurcated hearing, provided disposition may not be considered until the adjudicatory phase has concluded.
(NEW) 35a-7B. Adverse Inference

If a party requests that the judicial authority draw an adverse inference from a parent’s or guardian’s failure to testify or the judicial authority intends to draw an adverse inference, either at the start of any trial or after the close of the petitioner’s case in chief, the judicial authority shall notify the parents or guardian that an adverse inference may be drawn from their failure to testify.

COMMENTARY: If an adverse inference is not requested, hearing the warning at the start of every trial may compel a parent or guardian to testify in every case, even where an adverse inference is not being requested. In re: Samantha C., 268 Conn. 614, 666-73 (2004) permits the judicial authority to take an adverse inference from a party’s failure to testify provided the party is given fair warning of that possibility.

Sec. 35a-8. Burden of Proceeding

(a) The petitioner shall be prepared to substantiate the allegations of the petition. [If a custodial parent respondent fails to appear, the judicial authority may default that parent,] All parties except the child or youth shall be present at trial unless excused for good cause shown. Failure of any party to appear in person or by their statutorily permitted designee may result in a default or nonsuit for failure to appear for trial, as the case may be, and evidence may be introduced and judgment rendered. [In the event of a coterminous hearing the judicial authority shall ensure that the parents are given adequate time to appear.]

(b) The clerk shall give notice by mail to the defaulted party and the party’s attorney of the default and of any action taken by the judicial authority. The clerk shall note [on the docket] the date that such notice is given or mailed.

COMMENTARY: The revisions in subsection (a) regarding default are made to emphasize the need for all parties, not just the custodial parent, to be present at trial. The last sentence is deleted because there is no statutory or case law distinction for the type of or time for notice required for coterminous petitions. There is not a different notice standard for respondents to coterminous petitions.
The revisions to subsection (b) are made to clarify that this information is recorded in the court file, not on the docket.

Sec. 35a-9. Dispositional Hearing; Evidence and Social Study
The judicial authority may admit into evidence any testimony relevant and material to the issue of the disposition, including events occurring through the close of the evidentiary hearing, but no disposition may be made by the judicial authority until any mandated social study has been submitted to the judicial authority. Said study shall be marked as an exhibit subject to the right of any party to be heard on a motion in limine requesting redactions and to require that the author, if available, appear for cross-examination.

COMMENTARY: The revision to this section reflects existing practice and makes clear that social studies may contain objectionable material that the opposing party should be able to challenge prior to admission into evidence.

Sec. 35a-12. Protective Supervision—Conditions and Modification

(a) When protective supervision is ordered, the judicial authority will set forth any conditions of said supervision including duration, specific steps and review dates. [Parental noncompliance with the order of protective supervision shall be a ground for a motion to modify the disposition. Upon finding that the circumstances so warrant, the judicial authority on its own motion or acting on a motion of any party and after notice is given and hearing has been held, may modify a previously entered disposition of protective supervision in accordance with the applicable General Statutes.]

(b) A protective supervision order shall be scheduled for an in court review and reviewed by the judicial authority at least thirty days prior to its expiration. At said review, an updated social study shall be provided to the judicial authority.

(c) If an extension of protective supervision is being sought by the commissioner of the department of children and families or any other party in interest, including counsel for the minor child or youth, then a written motion for the same shall be filed not less than thirty days prior to such expiration. Such motion shall be heard either at the in court review of protective supervision if it is held within thirty days of such expiration or
at a hearing to be held within ten days after the filing of such motion. For good cause shown and under extenuating circumstances, such written motion may be filed in a period of less than thirty days prior to the expiration of the protective supervision and the same shall be docketed accordingly. The motion shall set forth the reason(s) for the extension of the protective supervision and the period of the extension being sought. If the judicial authority orders such extension of protective supervision, the extension order shall be reviewed by the judicial authority at least thirty days prior to its expiration.

(d) Parental or guardian noncompliance with the order of protective supervision shall be a ground for a motion to modify the disposition. Upon finding that the best interests of the child so warrant, the judicial authority on its own motion or acting on a motion of any party and after notice is given and hearing has been held, may modify a previously entered disposition of protective supervision in accordance with the applicable General Statutes.

COMMENTARY: This section has been reformatted into several subsections for clarification. The text deleted in subsection (a) has been relocated with amendments to new subsection (d). The last line in subsection (c) is added for clarification. In new subsection (d), the reference to the guardian is intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-129(j). Other revisions in subsections (a) and (b) are made to standardize terms and for clarification.

Sec. 35a-13. Findings as to Continuation in the Home, Efforts to Prevent Removal
Whenever the judicial authority orders a child or youth to be removed from the home, the judicial authority shall make written findings: (1) at the time of the order that continuation in the home is contrary to the welfare of the child or youth; and (2) at the time of the order or within sixty days [thereafter] after the child or youth has been removed from the home, whether the commissioner of the department of children and families has made reasonable efforts to prevent removal or whether such efforts were not possible.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms and for clarification. Federal regulation 45
CFR 1356.21(b)(1), adopted to implement the Adoption and Safe Families Act, requires that the “prevent removal” finding be made within sixty days after the removal of the child or youth from the home.

Sec. 35a-14. Motions for Review of Permanency Plan [and to Maintain or Revoke the Commitment]

(a) Motions for review of the permanency plan [and to maintain or revoke the commitment] shall be filed nine months after the placement of the child or youth in the custody of the commissioner of the department of children and families pursuant to a voluntary placement agreement, or removal of a child or youth pursuant to General Statutes § 17a-101g or an order of a court of competent jurisdiction whichever is earlier. At the date custody is vested by order of a court of competent jurisdiction, or if no order of temporary custody is issued, at the date when commitment is ordered, the judicial authority shall set a date by which the subsequent motion for review of the permanency plan [and to maintain or revoke the commitment] shall be filed. The commissioner of the department of children and families shall propose a permanency plan that conforms to the statutory requirements and shall provide a social study to support said plan, including information indicating what steps the commissioner has taken to implement it. Nothing in this section shall preclude any party from filing a motion for revocation of commitment separate from a motion for review of permanency plan [and to maintain or revoke the commitment] pursuant to General Statutes § 46b-129(m) and subject to [sub]section 35A-14A [(c) of this rule].

(b) Once a motion for review of the permanency plan [and to maintain or revoke the commitment] has been filed, the clerk of the court shall set a hearing [within] not later than ninety days thereafter. The court shall provide notice to the child or youth, and the parent or guardian of such child or youth and any other party found entitled to such notice of the time and place of the court hearing on any such motion not less than fourteen days prior to such hearing. Any party who is in opposition to [a] any such motion shall file a written objection and state the reasons therefor within thirty days after the filing of the commissioner[‘s] of the department of
children and families’ motion for review of permanency plan [or a motion for revocation of commitment which] and the objection shall be considered at the hearing. The court shall hold an evidentiary hearing in connection with any contested motion for review of the permanency plan. If there is no objection or motion for revocation filed, then the motion [or motions shall] may be granted by the judicial authority at the date of said hearing.

(c) Whether to [maintain] approve the permanency plan [or revoke the commitment] is a dispositional question, based on the prior adjudication, and the judicial authority shall determine whether it is in the best interests of the child or youth to [maintain] approve the permanency plan [or revoke] upon a fair preponderance of the evidence. [The party seeking to maintain the commitment has the burden of proof that it is in the best interest of the child to maintain the commitment. The party seeking revocation of commitment has the burden of proof that no cause for commitment exists. If the burden is met, the party opposing the revocation has the burden of proof that revocation would not be in the best interest of the child. If a motion for revocation is denied, a new motion shall not be filed by the movant until at least six months has elapsed from the date of the filing of the prior motion unless waived by the judicial authority.] The commissioner of the department of children and families shall have the burden of proving that the proposed permanency plan is in the best interests of the child or youth.

(d) [The commissioner of the department of children and families shall propose a permanency plan that conforms to the statutory requirements and shall provide a social study to support said plan, including information indicating what steps the commissioner has taken to implement it.] At [the] each hearing on [the] a motion for review of permanency plan, the judicial authority shall [determine whether efforts to reunify the child with the parent have been made, whether such efforts are still appropriate, and whether the commissioner has made reasonable efforts to achieve the permanency plan for the child] review the status of the child, the progress being made to implement the permanency plan, determine a timetable for attaining the permanency plan, determine the services to be provided to the parent if the court approves a permanency plan
of reunification and the timetable for such services, and
determine whether the commissioner of the department of
children and families has made reasonable efforts to achieve
the permanency plan. The judicial authority shall also
determine whether the proposed goal of the permanency plan
as set forth in General Statutes § 46b-129(k)(2) is in the best
interests of the child or youth by a fair preponderance of the
evidence, taking into consideration the child’s or youth’s need
for permanency. The child’s or youth’s health and safety shall
be of paramount concern in formulating such plan. If a
permanency plan is not approved by the judicial authority, it
shall order the filing of a revised plan and set a hearing to
review said revised plan within sixty days.

[(e) If the judicial authority determines at the hearing on
the motion for review of permanency plan and to maintain or
revoke the commitment that further efforts to reunify the child
with the parent are appropriate, the judicial authority shall
provide the parent with specific steps the parent shall take to
address problems preventing reunification. Six months after
such hearing, the judicial authority shall hold another hearing
to assess the parent’s progress. If the judicial authority finds
that the parent has failed to make sustained and significant
progress, the judicial authority shall redetermine whether
further reunification efforts are appropriate. If the judicial
authority determines efforts are not appropriate, it shall order
the filing of a revised permanency plan and set a hearing to
review said revised plan.]

[(f)(e) As long as a child or youth remains in the
custody of the commissioner of the department of children and
families, the commissioner shall file a motion for review of
permanency plan [and to maintain or revoke commitment] nine
months after the prior permanency plan hearing. No later than
twelve months after the prior [approval of a] permanency plan
hearing, the judicial authority shall hold a subsequent
permanency review hearing in accordance with subsection
(d), [unless such child has been adopted, returned home or
guardianship of the child has been transferred pursuant to an
order of the judicial authority.]

[(g) A determination that further efforts to reunify the
child with the parent are not appropriate need not be made at
subsequent permanency review hearings if the judicial
authority has previously determined that such efforts are not appropriate. A determination as to whether the commissioner of the department of children and families has made reasonable efforts to achieve the permanency plan must be made at each hearing on the motion for review of permanency plan.

[(h)] (f) Whenever an approved permanency plan needs revision, the commissioner of the department of children and families shall file a motion for review of the revised permanency plan. The commissioner [is] shall not be precluded from initiating a proceeding in the best interests of the child or youth considering the needs for safety and permanency.

(g) Where a petition for termination of parental rights is granted, the guardian or statutory parent of the child or youth shall report to the judicial authority not later than thirty days after the date the judgment is entered on a permanency plan and on the status of the child or youth. At least every three months thereafter, such guardian or statutory parent shall make a report to the judicial authority on the implementation of the plan, or earlier if the plan changes before the elapse of three months. The judicial authority may convene a hearing upon the filing of a report and shall convene and conduct a permanency hearing for the purpose of reviewing the permanency plan for the child no more than twelve months from the date judgment is entered or from the date of the last permanency hearing held in accordance with General Statutes § 46b-129(k), whichever is earlier, and at least once a year thereafter while the child or youth remains in the custody of the commissioner of the department of children and families. At each court hearing, the judicial authority shall make factual findings whether or not reasonable efforts to achieve the permanency plan or promote adoption have been made.

COMMENTARY: The changes to subsections (a) and (b) are intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-129(k)(1), as amended by Public Act 06-102.

The changes in subsections (c) and (d) are intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-129(k)(3), as amended by Public Act 06-102. For clarification, the provisions that address the revocation of a commitment have been transferred to new Section 35a-14A.
The changes in new subsection (e) are intended to adopt provisions of General Statutes § 46b-129, as amended by Public Act 06-102. Additional revisions in this subsection have been made to standardize terms.

Current subsection (g) has been deleted in its entirety; its substance is in subsection (d).

The substance of new subsection (g) has been transferred from Section 35a-17 with revisions adopted from Public Act 06-102, Sec. 8, that amended General Statutes § 17a-112(o).

**NEW** 35a-14A. Revocation

A party may file a motion seeking revocation of commitment. The judicial authority may revoke commitment if a cause for commitment no longer exists and it is in the best interests of the child or youth. Whether to revoke the commitment is a dispositional question, based on the prior adjudication, and the judicial authority shall determine whether to revoke the commitment upon a fair preponderance of the evidence. The party seeking revocation of commitment has the burden of proof that no cause for commitment exists. If the burden is met, the party opposing the revocation has the burden of proof that revocation would not be in the best interests of the child. If a motion for revocation is denied, a new motion shall not be filed by the movant until at least six months has elapsed from the date of the filing of the prior motion unless waived by the judicial authority.

COMMENTARY: To clarify the revocation process, various provisions of section 35a-14 have been transferred, with revisions, to the above new section.

Sec. 35a-15. Reunification Efforts—Aggravating Factors

Whenever [the commissioner] any party seeks a finding of the existence of an aggravating factor negating the requirement that reasonable efforts be made to reunify a child or youth with a parent, the [commissioner] movant shall, file a [petition, or] motion [if a case is already pending,] requesting such finding and the judicial authority shall proceed in accordance with General Statutes § 17a-111b(b).
COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms. These changes are also intended to adopt provisions of Public Act 06-102, Sec. 6(b).

Sec. 35a-16. Modifications
Motions to modify dispositions are dispositional in nature based on the prior adjudication and the judicial authority shall determine whether a modification is in the best interests of the child or youth upon a fair preponderance of the evidence. Unless filed by the commissioner of the department of children and families, any modification motion to return [the] a child or youth to the custody of the parent without protective supervision shall be treated as a motion for revocation of commitment.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms and for clarification.

[Sec. 35a-17. Motions to Review Plan for Child Whose Parents’ Rights Have Been Terminated
Where a petition for termination of parental rights is granted, the guardian of the child or statutory parent shall report to the judicial authority within thirty days of the date judgment is entered on a permanency plan and on the status of the child. At least every three months thereafter, such guardian or statutory parent shall make a report to the judicial authority on the implementation of the plan, or earlier if the plan changes before the elapse of three months. A hearing shall be held before the judicial authority for the purpose of reviewing the plan for the child no more than twelve months from the date judgment is entered or from the date of the last permanency hearing held in accordance with General Statutes § 46b-129 (k), whichever is earlier, and at least once a year thereafter until such time as a proposed adoption or transfer of guardianship is finalized. At each court hearing, the judicial authority will make factual findings whether or not reasonable efforts to achieve permanency or promote adoption have been made.]

COMMENTARY: The substance of this section has been transferred to subsection 35a-14(g).
Sec. 35a-18. Opening Default

Any order or decree entered through a default may be set aside within four months succeeding the date of such entry of the order or decree upon the written motion of any party or person prejudiced thereby, showing reasonable cause, or that a defense in whole or in part existed at the time of the rendition of such order or of such decree, and that the party so defaulted was prevented by mistake, accident or other reasonable cause from prosecuting or appearing to make the same. Such written motion shall be verified by the oath of the complainant, and shall state in general terms the nature of the claim or defense and shall particularly set forth the reason why the party failed to appear. The judicial authority shall order reasonable notice of the pendency of such motion to be given to all parties to the action, and may enjoin enforcement of such order or decree until the decision upon such written motion, unless said action shall prejudice or place the child’s or youth’s health, safety or welfare in jeopardy. A hearing on said motion shall be held as a priority matter but no later than fifteen days after the same has been filed with the clerk [of the juvenile court], unless otherwise agreed to by the parties and sanctioned by the judicial authority. In the event that said motion is granted the matter shall be scheduled for an immediate pretrial or case status conference within fourteen days thereof, and failing a resolution at that time, then the matter shall be scheduled for a trial as expeditiously as possible.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to be consistent with the use of the term “clerk” in sections 35a-8, 35a-10, and 35a-14 and for clarification. In Section 35a-19, a distinction must be made between Superior Court for Juvenile Matters clerk and Probate clerk, so those references in that section are more specific.

Sec. 35a-19. Transfer from Probate Court of Petitions for Removal of Parent as Guardian or Termination of Parental Rights

(a) When a contested application for removal of parent as guardian or petition for termination of parental rights or application to commit a child or youth to a hospital for the mentally ill has been transferred from the court of probate to
the superior court, the superior court clerk shall transmit to the probate court from which the transfer was made a copy of any orders or decrees thereafter rendered, including orders regarding reinstatement pursuant to General Statutes § 45a-611 and visitation pursuant to General Statutes § 45a-612, and a copy of any appeal of a superior court decision in the matter.

(b) The date of receipt by the superior court of a transferred petition shall be the filing date for determining initial hearing dates in the superior court. The date of receipt by the superior court of any court of probate issued ex parte order of temporary custody not heard by that court shall be the issuance date in the superior court.

(c) [(1)] Any appearance filed for any party in the court of probate shall continue in the superior court until withdrawn or replaced. [The superior court clerk shall notify appearing parties in applications for removal of guardian by mail of the date of the initial hearing. Not less than ten days before the initial hearing, the superior court clerk shall cause a copy of the transfer order and probate petition for removal of guardian, and an advisement of rights notice to be served on any non-appearing party or any party not served within the last twelve months with an accompanying order of notice and summons to appear at an initial hearing.

(2) Not less than ten days before the initial hearing, the superior court clerk shall cause a copy of the transfer order and probate petition for termination of parental rights, and an advisement of rights notice to be served on all parties, regardless of prior service, with an accompanying order of notice and summons to appear at an initial hearing not less than ten days before the date of the hearing.

(3) The superior court clerk shall mail notice of the initial hearing date for all transferred petitions to all counsel of record and to the commissioner of the department of children and families or to any other agency which has been ordered by the probate court to conduct an investigation pursuant to General Statutes § 45a-619. The commissioner or any other investigating agency will be notified of the need to have a representative present at the initial hearing.]

(d) (1) The superior court clerk shall notify appearing parties in applications for removal of guardian by mail of the
date of the initial hearing which shall be held not more than thirty days from the date of receipt of the transferred application. Not less than ten days before the initial hearing, the superior court clerk shall cause a copy of the transfer order and probate petition for removal of guardian, and an advisement of rights notice to be served on any non-appearing party or any party not served within the last twelve months with an accompanying order of notice and summons to appear at an initial hearing.

(2) Not less than ten days before the date of the initial hearing, the superior court clerk shall cause a copy of the transfer order and probate petition for termination of parental rights, and an advisement of rights notice to be served on all parties, regardless of prior service, with an accompanying order of notice and summons to appear at an initial hearing which shall be held not more than thirty days from the date of receipt petition except in the case of a petition for termination of parental rights based on consent which shall be held not more than twenty days after the filing of the petition.

(3) The superior court clerk shall mail notice of the initial hearing date for all transferred petitions to all counsel of record and to the commissioner of the department of children and families or to any other agency which has been ordered by the probate court to conduct an investigation pursuant to General Statutes § 45a-619. The commissioner of the department of children and families or any other investigating agency will be notified of the need to have a representative present at the initial hearing.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made to standardize terms and for clarification.

The deleted language in subsection (c) (1) has been relocated to new subsection (d) (1) with revisions that adopt provisions of General Statutes § 45a-609(a).

The deleted language in subsection (c) (2) has been relocated to new subsection (d) (2) with revisions that adopt provisions of Public Act 04-128 that amended General Statutes § 45a-716(a).

The deleted language in subsection (c) (3) has been relocated to new subsection (d) (3) with a revision made to standardize terms.
Sec. 35a-20. [Petitions] Motions for Reinstatement of Parent as Guardian or Modification of Guardianship Post-disposition

(a) Whenever a parent or legal guardian whose guardianship rights to a child or youth were removed and transferred to another person by the superior court for juvenile matters seeks reinstatement as that child’s guardian, or modification of guardianship post-disposition, the parent or legal guardian may file a petition motion with the court that ordered the transfer of guardianship.

(b) A parent, legal guardian or other interested party seeking guardianship of the child or youth after guardianship rights to that child or youth were transferred to another person by the superior court for juvenile matters may file a motion with the court that ordered the transfer of guardianship.

(c) The clerk of the court shall assign such petition motion a hearing date and issue a summons to the current guardian and the nonmoving parent or parents. The petitioner moving party shall cause a copy of such petition motion and summons to be served on the child’s or youth’s current legal guardian(s) and the nonmoving parent or parents.

(d) Before acting on such petition motion, the judicial authority shall determine if the court still has custody jurisdiction and shall request, if necessary, that the commissioner of the department of children and families conduct an investigation and submit written findings and recommendations before rendering a decision.

COMMENTARY: This section has been expanded to address situations where parties ask the judicial authority to address guardianship post-disposition. An example is as follows: a mother initially has guardianship of the child; the Department of Children and Families then files a neglect petition listing the mother and father as respondents; and, finally, the judicial authority transfers guardianship to the grandmother at the disposition. Then, post-disposition, the father wants to file a petition for guardianship. This would not be considered a reinstatement, but a modification of guardianship. Additionally, revisions to this section have been made to reflect a gradual shift from petitions to motions in addressing post disposition matters.
Sec. 35a-21. Appeals

(a) Appeals from final judgments or decisions of the superior court in juvenile matters shall be taken within twenty days from the issuance of notice of the rendition of the judgment or decision from which the appeal is taken in the manner provided by the rules of appellate procedure.

(b) If an indigent party wishes to appeal a final decision and if the trial counsel declines to represent the party because in counsel’s professional opinion the appeal lacks merit, counsel shall file a timely motion to withdraw and to extend time in which to take an appeal. The judicial authority shall then forthwith notify the Chief Child Protection Attorney to [appoint] assign another attorney to review this record who, if willing to represent the party on appeal, will be [appointed] assigned by the Chief Child Protection Attorney for this purpose. If the second attorney determines[d] that there is no merit to an appeal, that attorney shall make this known to the judicial authority and the Chief Child Protection Attorney at the earliest possible moment, and the party will be informed by the clerk forthwith that the party has the balance of the extended time to appeal in which to secure counsel who, if qualified, may [be appointed] file an appearance to represent the party on the appeal.

(c) The time to take an appeal shall not be extended past forty days from the date of the issuance of notice of the rendition of the judgment or decision.

COMMENTARY: The revisions to this section are made for clarification and are intended to adopt provisions of Public Act 07-159.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO
THE CRIMINAL RULES

Sec. 37-2. —Information and Materials to Be Provided to the Defendant Prior to Arraignment

Prior to the arraignment of the defendant before the judicial authority to determine the existence of probable cause to believe such person committed the offense charged or to determine the conditions of such person’s release pursuant to Section 38-4, the prosecuting authority shall provide the defendant or counsel with a copy of [any] either (1) the arrest
warrant affidavit and the reports or statements relied upon in said affidavit; or (2) any police report and any other report or statement referred to in said police report submitted to the court for the purpose of making such determination; except that the judicial authority may, upon motion of the prosecuting authority and for good cause shown, limit the disclosure of any such affidavit or report, or portion thereof.

COMMENTARY: The above change specifies the documents that are to be provided to the defendant by the prosecuting authority prior to arraignment.

Sec. 42-34. Trial without Jury

In a case tried without a jury the judicial authority shall, in accordance with Section 6-1, render a finding of guilty, not guilty, or not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect where appropriate.

COMMENTARY: The above change makes this section consistent with Section 6-1.

Sec. 43-9. —Use and Disclosure of Reports

The presentence investigation and alternate incarceration assessment reports shall not be public records and shall not be accessible to the public. They shall be available initially to the parties designated in Section 43-7 for use in the sentencing hearing and in any subsequent proceedings wherein the same conviction may be involved, and they shall be available at all times to the following:

(1) The office of adult probation;
(2) The correctional or mental health institution to which the defendant is committed or may be committed;
(3) The board of parole;
(4) The board of pardons and paroles;
(5) The sentence review division of the superior court;
(6) The judicial review council;
(7) Any court of proper jurisdiction where it is relevant to any proceeding before such court. Such court may also order that the report be made available to counsel for the parties for the purpose of such proceeding;
(8) Counsel for the defendant and the prosecuting authority during negotiations relating to other offenses pending
against the defendant or subsequently charged against the defendant;
   [(9)] (8) Counsel for the defendant in a sentence review hearing or habeas corpus proceeding upon counsel’s request to the department of adult probation;
   [(10)] (9) Counsel for the defendant and the prosecuting authority in connection with extradition proceedings; and
   [(11)] (10) Any other person or agency specified by statute. The prosecuting authority and counsel for the defendant shall retain a copy of the presentence investigation and alternate incarceration reports and may use the same in connection with any matter pertaining to actions by the entities defined in subdivisions (1) through [(10)] (9) of this section, or for any other purpose for which permission is first obtained from any judicial authority. In all other respects, both the prosecuting authority and counsel for the defendant shall maintain the confidentiality of the information contained in the records. A defendant may obtain a copy of the presentence and alternate incarceration reports under proper application to a judicial authority in the judicial district in which sentence was imposed.

COMMENTARY: Subsections 3 and 4 are combined to reflect that the Board of Pardons and the Board of Paroles were combined into a single board effective July 1, 2004; Public Act 04-234; General Statutes § 54-124a.