On Monday, March 24, 2014, the Rules Committee met in the Supreme Court courtroom from 2:00 p.m. to 3:46 p.m.

Members in attendance were:

HON. DENNIS G. EVELEIGH, CHAIR  
HON. JON M. ALANDER  
HON. MARSHALL K. BERGER  
HON. WILLIAM M. BRIGHT, JR.  
HON. HENRY S. COHN  
HON. KARI A. DOOLEY  
HON. NINA F. ELGO  
HON. ROBIN L. WILSON  
HON. ROBERT E. YOUNG

Also in attendance was Joseph J. Del Ciampo, Counsel to the Rules Committee and Attorney Denise K. Poncini of the Judicial Branch’s Legal Services Unit.

1. The Committee unanimously approved the minutes of the meeting held on February 24, 2014.

2. The Committee considered a proposal by Judge Bozzuto on behalf of the Judges Advisory Committee on E-Filing to amend Section 25-60 to make technical changes to accommodate e-filing, and to update the rule to include the other confidential reports that are filed by Family Services. Judge Bozzuto was in attendance at the meeting as an invited guest and she addressed the Committee’s questions concerning the proposal.

    After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted to submit to public hearing the proposed revisions to Section 25-60, as set forth in Appendix A attached to these minutes.

3. The Committee considered a proposal by Chief Disciplinary Counsel Patricia King and Michael Bowler, Statewide Bar Counsel, to amend Section 2-39 concerning reciprocal discipline. Attorney King, an invited guest of the committee, addressed the Committee’s questions concerning the proposal. The Committee also considered a correspondence from Attorney Richard A. Cerrato on behalf of the Connecticut Bar Association’s Professional Discipline Section requesting that the Rules Committee delay action on the proposal until the
CBA has an opportunity to discuss the proposal.

After discussion, the Committee tabled the proposal.

4. The Committee considered proposals by the CBA, Professional Ethics Committee, regarding changes to Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 1.1 and 5.3 that were previously considered by the Rules Committee and approved for public hearing on February 24, 2014; Rules 1.18, 5.5, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 8.5; Rules 1.2 and 8.4; and comments from Patricia King, Chief Disciplinary Counsel on Rule 1.2. Attorneys Marcy Stovall and John Logan of the CBA addressed the Committee concerning the proposals.

After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted to submit to public hearing the proposed further revisions to Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules 1.1 and 5.3, and the proposed revisions to Rules 1.2, 1.18, 5.5, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 8.4 and 8.5, as set forth in Appendix B attached to these minutes.

5. The Committee considered a proposal by the CBA to amend Section 9-9 by adding a provision dealing with the disposition of residual class action funds, and a letter from Judge Lager, on behalf of the Civil Commission regarding the proposal. Attorneys William Clendenen and James T. Shearin addressed the Committee concerning the proposal.

After discussion, the Committee voted (Judge Bright abstained) to submit to public hearing the proposed revisions to Section 9-9, as revised by the Committee, as set forth in Appendix C attached to these minutes.

6. The Committee considered a proposal submitted by Judge Linda K. Lager, on behalf of the Civil Commission, for new Practice Book Section 1-25 concerning actions subject to sanctions, proposed revisions to that new Section 1-25, and comments from Judges Bozzuto, Conway and Devlin.

After discussion, the Committee voted (Judge Alander and Judge Cohn voted in opposition) to submit to public hearing new Section 1-25, as amended by the Committee, as set forth in Appendix D attached to these minutes.

7. The Committee considered the impending expiration of members’ terms on the Legal Specialization Screening Committee (LSSC). The terms of Attorneys DePiano, Low and Brady will expire on June 30, 2014. The Rules Committee pursuant to Rule 7.4B of the Rules of Professional Conduct, must make recommendations for appointments to the Chief Justice who shall appoint members of the LSSC.
After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted to recommend that Attorneys DePiano and Low, currently Chair and Vice Chair, be reappointed in their respective capacities, and to recommend that Attorney Patrick J. Kennedy be appointed to replace Attorney Francis Brady who no longer wishes to serve on the Committee.

8. The Committee considered rescheduling the Public Hearing and Rules Committee Meeting from May 15, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. to May 19, 2014 at 2:00 p.m.

   After brief discussion, the Committee voted to make this change to the Committee schedule.

9. Judge Cohn noted that item 5-7 from the Committee’s January 27, 2014 agenda concerning the requirement of a recognizance is still under consideration by the Civil Commission.

10. The Committee considered a proposal by the Judges Advisory Committee on E-filing to amend Section 10-14 concerning certification of service, and the related proposal by Counsel to amend Practice Book forms 201-211.

   After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted to submit to public hearing the proposed revisions to Section 10-14, and the related proposed revisions to Practice Book forms 201-211, as set forth in Appendix E attached to these minutes.

11. The Committee considered a proposal by Judges Trombley and Danaher to amend Section 11-1 to require that motions, requests, applications and objections filed under that section, as well as any supporting briefs or memoranda, be paginated; comments from the Civil Commission on the proposal; and the Committee’s additional proposal to amend Section 4-1. The Committee also considered Counsel’s drafts of the proposals.

   After discussion, the Committee unanimously voted to submit to public hearing the proposed revisions to Section 4-1 and to Section 11-1, as set forth in Appendix F attached to these minutes.

12. The Committee considered the status of a previously considered proposal by Justice Zarella to amend the rules concerning the retention and destruction of court records to provide that electronic records filed with the court shall be retained indefinitely.

   After discussion, the Committee tabled this matter and instructed Counsel to send a letter to the Chief Court Administrator inquiring about the status of the matter.

13. The Committee considered a proposal by Judge Cara Eschuk to expand Section 35a-
which permits the presence of a person to be by means of interactive audio visual device in certain juvenile proceedings.

Counsel informed the Committee that Judge Eschuk, after discussing the matter with Judge Bernadette Conway, Chief Administrative Judge, Juvenile Matters, asked that the proposal be withdrawn. The Committee took no further action on the proposal.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph J. Del Ciampo
Counsel to the Rules Committee

Attachments
Sec. 25-60. Evaluations, [and] Studies, Family Services Mediation Reports and Family Services Conflict Resolution Reports

(a) Whenever, in any family matter, an evaluation or study has been ordered pursuant to Section 25-60A or Section 25-61, or the Court Support Services Division Family Services Unit has been ordered to conduct mediation or to hold a conflict resolution conference pursuant to Section 25-61, the case shall not be disposed of until the report has been filed as hereinafter provided, and counsel and the parties have had a reasonable opportunity to examine it prior to the time the case is to be heard, unless the judicial authority orders that the case be heard before the report is filed.

(b) Any report of an evaluation or study pursuant to Section 25-60A or Section 25-61, or any mediation report or conflict resolution conference report filed by the Family Services Unit as a result of a referral of the matter to such unit [shall be made in quadruplicate], shall be filed with the clerk, who will [impound] seal such report[s], and shall be [mailed] provided by the filer to counsel of record, guardians ad litem and self-represented parties unless otherwise ordered by the judicial authority. [Said] Any such report shall be available for inspection to counsel of record, guardians ad litem, and the parties to the action, unless otherwise ordered by the judicial authority.

(c) Any report of an evaluation or study prepared pursuant to Section 25-60A or Section 25-61 shall be admissible in evidence provided the author of the report is available for cross-examination.

COMMENTARY: Technical changes have been made to this rule to accommodate e-filing, and it has been updated to include the other confidential reports that are filed by Family Services.
APPENDIX B (032414mins)

Rule 1.1. Competence

A lawyer shall provide competent representation to a client. Competent representation requires the legal knowledge, skill, thoroughness and preparation reasonably necessary for the representation.

COMMENTARY: Legal Knowledge and Skill. In determining whether a lawyer employs the requisite knowledge and skill in a particular matter, relevant factors include the relative complexity and specialized nature of the matter, the lawyer’s general experience, the lawyer’s training and experience in the field in question, the preparation and study the lawyer is able to give the matter and whether it is feasible to refer the matter to, or associate or consult with, a lawyer of established competence in the field in question. In many instances, the required proficiency is that of a general practitioner. Expertise in a particular field of law may be required in some circumstances.

A lawyer need not necessarily have special training or prior experience to handle legal problems of a type with which the lawyer is unfamiliar. A newly admitted lawyer can be as competent as a practitioner with long experience. Some important legal skills, such as the analysis of precedent, the evaluation of evidence and legal drafting, are required in all legal problems. Perhaps the most fundamental legal skill consists of determining what kind of legal problems a situation may involve, a skill that necessarily transcends any particular specialized knowledge. A lawyer can provide adequate representation in a wholly novel field through necessary study. Competent representation can also be provided through the association of a lawyer of established competence in the field in question.

In an emergency a lawyer may give advice or assistance in a matter in which the lawyer does not have the skill ordinarily required where referral to or consultation or association with another lawyer would be impractical. Even in an emergency, however, assistance should be limited to that reasonably necessary in the circumstances, for ill-considered action under emergency conditions can jeopardize the client’s interest. A lawyer may accept representation where the requisite level of competence can be achieved by reasonable preparation. This applies as well to a lawyer who is appointed as counsel for an unrepresented person. See also Rule 6.2.

Thoroughness and Preparation. Competent handling of a particular matter includes inquiry into and analysis of the factual and legal elements of the problem, and use of
methods and procedures meeting the standards of competent practitioners. It also includes adequate preparation. The required attention and preparation are determined in part by what is at stake; major litigation and complex transactions ordinarily require more extensive treatment than matters of lesser complexity and consequence. An agreement between the lawyer and the client regarding the scope of the representation may limit the matters for which the lawyer is responsible. See Rule 1.2 (c).

**Retaining or Contracting With Other Lawyers.** Before a lawyer retains or contracts with other lawyers outside the lawyer’s own firm to provide or assist in the provision of legal services to a client, the lawyer should ordinarily obtain informed consent from the client and must reasonably believe that the other lawyers’ services will contribute to the competent and ethical representation of the client. See also Rules 1.2 (allocation of authority), 1.4 (communication with client), 1.5 (b) (scope of representation, basis or rate of fee and expenses), 1.5 (e) (fee sharing), 1.6 (confidentiality), and 5.5 (a) (unauthorized practice of law). Client consent may not be necessary when a nonfirm lawyer is hired to perform a discrete and limited task and the task does not require the disclosure of information protected by Rule 1.6. The reasonableness of the decision to retain or contract with other lawyers outside the lawyer’s own firm will depend upon the circumstances, including the education, experience and reputation of the nonfirm lawyers; the nature of the services assigned to the nonfirm lawyers; and the legal protections, professional conduct rules, and ethical environments of the jurisdictions in which the services will be performed, particularly relating to confidential information.

When lawyers from more than one law firm are providing legal services to the client on a particular matter, the lawyers should consult with each other and the client about the scope of their respective representations and the allocation of responsibility among them. See Rule 1.2. When making allocations of responsibility in a matter pending before a tribunal, lawyers and parties may have additional obligations that are a matter of law beyond the scope of these Rules.

**Maintaining Competence.** To maintain the requisite knowledge and skill, a lawyer should keep abreast of changes in the law and its practice, including the benefits and risks associated with relevant technology, engage in continuing study and education and comply with all continuing legal education requirements to which the lawyer is subject.

**AMENDMENT NOTE:** The revisions to this rule and to Rule 5.3 include guidance for lawyers on outsourcing and are prompted by the ABA’s Commission on Ethics 20/20.
Rule 1.2. Scope of Representation and Allocation of Authority between Client and Lawyer

(a) Subject to subsections (c) and (d), a lawyer shall abide by a client’s decisions concerning the objectives of representation and, as required by Rule 1.4, shall consult with the client as to the means by which they are to be pursued. A lawyer may take such action on behalf of the client as is impliedly authorized to carry out the representation. A lawyer shall abide by a client’s decision whether to settle a matter. In a criminal case, the lawyer shall abide by the client’s decision, after consultation with the lawyer, as to a plea to be entered, whether to waive jury trial and whether the client will testify. Subject to revocation by the client and to the terms of the contract, a client’s decision to settle a matter shall be implied where the lawyer is retained to represent the client by a third party obligated under the terms of a contract to provide the client with a defense and indemnity for the loss, and the third party elects to settle a matter without contribution by the client.

(b) A lawyer’s representation of a client, including representation by appointment, does not constitute an endorsement of the client’s political, economic, social or moral views or activities.

(c) A lawyer may limit the scope of the representation if the limitation is reasonable under the circumstances and the client gives informed consent. Such informed consent shall not be required when a client cannot be located despite reasonable efforts where the lawyer is retained to represent a client by a third party which is obligated by contract to provide the client with a defense.

(d) A lawyer shall not counsel a client to engage, or assist a client, in conduct that the lawyer knows is criminal or fraudulent, but a lawyer may (1) discuss the legal consequences of any proposed course of conduct with a client; (2) [and may] counsel or assist a client to make a good faith effort to determine the validity, scope, meaning or application of the law; or (3) counsel or assist a client regarding conduct expressly permitted by Connecticut law, provided that the lawyer counsels the client about the legal consequences, under other applicable law, of the client’s proposed course of conduct.

COMMENTARY: Allocation of Authority between Client and Lawyer. Subsection (a) confers upon the client the ultimate authority to determine the purposes to be served by legal representation, within the limits imposed by law and the lawyer’s professional obligations. The decisions specified in subsection (a), such as whether to settle a civil matter, must also be made by the client. See Rule 1.4 (a) (1) for the lawyer’s duty to
communicate with the client about such decisions. With respect to the means by which the client’s objectives are to be pursued, the lawyer shall consult with the client as required by Rule 1.4 (a) (2) and may take such action as is impliedly authorized to carry out the representation.

On occasion, however, a lawyer and a client may disagree about the means to be used to accomplish the client’s objectives. Clients normally defer to the special knowledge and skill of their lawyer with respect to the means to be used to accomplish their objectives, particularly with respect to technical, legal and tactical matters. Conversely, lawyers usually defer to the client regarding such questions as the expense to be incurred and concern for third persons who might be adversely affected. Because of the varied nature of the matters about which a lawyer and client might disagree and because the actions in question may implicate the interests of a tribunal or other persons, this Rule does not prescribe how such disagreements are to be resolved. Other law, however, may be applicable and should be consulted by the lawyer. The lawyer should also consult with the client and seek a mutually acceptable resolution of the disagreement. If such efforts are unavailing and the lawyer has a fundamental disagreement with the client, the lawyer may withdraw from the representation. See Rule 1.16 (b) (4). Conversely, the client may resolve the disagreement by discharging the lawyer. See Rule 1.16 (a) (3).

At the outset of a representation, the client may authorize the lawyer to take specific action on the client’s behalf without further consultation. Absent a material change in circumstances and subject to Rule 1.4, a lawyer may rely on such an advance authorization. The client may, however, revoke such authority at any time.

In a case in which the client appears to be suffering diminished capacity, the lawyer’s duty to abide by the client’s decisions is to be guided by reference to Rule 1.14.

**Independence from Client’s Views or Activities.** Legal representation should not be denied to people who are unable to afford legal services or whose cause is controversial or the subject of popular disapproval. By the same token, representing a client does not constitute approval of the client’s views or activities.

**Agreements Limiting Scope of Representation.** The scope of services to be provided by a lawyer may be limited by agreement with the client or by the terms under which the lawyer’s services are made available to the client. For example, when a lawyer has been retained by an insurer to represent an insured, the representation may be limited to matters related to the insurance coverage. A limited representation may be appropriate because the
client has limited objectives for the representation. In addition, the terms upon which representation is undertaken may exclude specific means that might otherwise be used to accomplish the client’s objectives. Such limitations may exclude actions that the client thinks are too costly or that the lawyer regards as repugnant or imprudent. Nothing in Rule 1.2 shall be construed to authorize limited appearances before any tribunal unless otherwise authorized by law or rule.

Although this Rule affords the lawyer and client substantial latitude to limit the scope of representation, the limitation must be reasonable under the circumstances. If, for example, a client’s objective is limited to securing general information about the law the client needs in order to handle a common and typically uncomplicated legal problem, the lawyer and client may agree that the lawyer’s services will be limited to a brief telephone consultation. Such a limitation, however, would not be reasonable if the time allotted was not sufficient to yield advice upon which the client could rely. Although an agreement for a limited representation does not exempt a lawyer from the duty to provide competent representation, the limitation is a factor to be considered when determining the legal knowledge, skill, thoroughness and preparation reasonably necessary for the representation. See Rule 1.1.

All agreements concerning a lawyer’s representation of a client must accord with the Rules of Professional Conduct and other law. See, e.g., Rules 1.1, 1.8 and 5.6.

Criminal, Fraudulent and Prohibited Transactions. Subsection (d) prohibits a lawyer from knowingly counseling or assisting a client to commit a crime or fraud. This prohibition, however, does not preclude the lawyer from giving an honest opinion about the actual consequences that appear likely to result from a client’s conduct. Nor does the fact that a client uses advice in a course of action that is criminal or fraudulent of itself make a lawyer a party to the course of action. There is a critical distinction between presenting an analysis of legal aspects of questionable conduct and recommending the means by which a crime or fraud might be committed.

When the client’s course of action has already begun and is continuing, the lawyer’s responsibility is especially delicate. The lawyer is required to avoid assisting the client, for example, by drafting or delivering documents that the lawyer knows are fraudulent or by suggesting how the wrongdoing might be concealed. A lawyer may not continue assisting a client in conduct that the lawyer originally believed legally proper but then discovers is criminal or fraudulent. The lawyer must, therefore, withdraw from the
representation of the client in the matter. See Rule 1.16 (a). In some cases, withdrawal alone might be insufficient. It may be necessary for the lawyer to give notice of the fact of withdrawal and to disaffirm any opinion, document, affirmation or the like. See Rule 4.1.

Where the client is a fiduciary, the lawyer may be charged with special obligations in dealings with a beneficiary.

Subsection (d) applies whether or not the defrauded party is a party to the transaction. Hence, a lawyer must not participate in a transaction to effectuate criminal or fraudulent avoidance of tax liability. Subsection (d) does not preclude undertaking a criminal defense incident to a general retainer for legal services to a lawful enterprise. [The last clause of subsection (d) recognizes that determining the validity or interpretation of a statute or regulation may require a course of action involving disobedience of the statute or regulation or of the interpretation placed upon it by governmental authorities. Subsection (d) (3) is intended to permit counsel to provide legal services to clients without being subject to discipline under these Rules notwithstanding that the services concern conduct prohibited under federal or other law but expressly permitted under Connecticut law, e.g., conduct under An Act Concerning the Palliative Use of Marijuana, Public Act 12-55, effective Oct. 1, 2012. Subsection (d) (3) shall not provide a defense to a presentment filed pursuant to Practice Book Section 2-41 against an attorney found guilty of a serious crime in another jurisdiction.]

If a lawyer comes to know or reasonably should know that a client expects assistance not permitted by the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law or if the lawyer intends to act contrary to the client’s instructions, the lawyer must consult with the client regarding the limitations on the lawyer’s conduct. See Rule 1.4 (a) (5).

AMENDMENT NOTE: The revisions to this rule and its commentary are intended to assist lawyers in advising clients about conduct expressly permitted under Connecticut law that is prohibited under federal or other law.

Rule 1.18. Duties to Prospective Client

(a) A person who [discusses or communicates] consults with a lawyer concerning the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client.

(b) Even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has [had discussions with] learned information from a prospective client shall not use or reveal that
information [learned in the consultation], except as Rule 1.9 would permit with respect to information of a former client.

(c) A lawyer subject to subsection (b) shall not represent a client with interests materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or a substantially related matter if the lawyer received information from the prospective client that could be significantly harmful to that person in the matter, except as provided in subsection (d). If a lawyer is disqualified from representation under this paragraph, no lawyer in a firm with which that lawyer is associated may knowingly undertake or continue representation in such a matter, except as provided in subsection (d).

(d) When the lawyer has received disqualifying information as defined in subsection (c), representation is permissible if:

(1) both the affected client and the prospective client have given informed consent, confirmed in writing, or

(2) the lawyer who received the information took reasonable measures to avoid exposure to more disqualifying information than was reasonably necessary to determine whether to represent the prospective client; and

(i) the disqualified lawyer is timely screened from any participation in the matter; and

(ii) written notice is promptly given to the prospective client.

COMMENTARY: Prospective clients, like clients, may disclose information to a lawyer, place documents or other property in the lawyer's custody, or rely on the lawyer's advice. A lawyer's [discussions] consultations with a prospective client usually are limited in time and depth and leave both the prospective client and the lawyer free (and sometimes required) to proceed no further. Hence, prospective clients should receive some but not all of the protection afforded clients.

[Not all persons who transmit information to a lawyer are entitled to protection under this Rule.] A person becomes a prospective client by consulting with a lawyer about the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter. Whether communications, including written, oral, or electronic communications, constitute a consultation depends on the circumstances. For example, a consultation is likely to have occurred if a lawyer, either in person or through the lawyer's advertising in any medium, specifically requests or invites the submission of information about a potential representation without clear and reasonably understandable warnings and cautionary
statements that limit the lawyer’s obligations, and a person provides information in response. In contrast, a consultation does not occur if a person provides information to a lawyer in response to advertising that merely describes the lawyer’s education, experience, areas of practice, and contact information, or provides legal information of general interest. [A person who transmits] Such a person communicates information unilaterally to a lawyer, without any reasonable expectation that the lawyer is willing to discuss the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship, and is thus not a “prospective client” [within the meaning of subsection (a)]. Moreover, a person who communicates with a lawyer for the purpose of disqualifying the lawyer is not a “prospective client.”

It is often necessary for a prospective client to reveal information to the lawyer during an initial consultation prior to the decision about formation of a client-lawyer relationship. The lawyer often must learn such information to determine whether there is a conflict of interest with an existing client and whether the matter is one that the lawyer is willing to undertake. Subsection (b) prohibits the lawyer from using or revealing that information, except as permitted by Rule 1.9, even if the client or lawyer decides not to proceed with the representation. The duty exists regardless of how brief the initial [conference] consultation may be.

In order to avoid acquiring disqualifying information from a prospective client, a lawyer considering whether or not to undertake a new matter should limit the initial [interview] consultation to only such information as reasonably appears necessary for that purpose. Where the information indicates that a conflict of interest or other reason for non-representation exists, the lawyer should so inform the prospective client or decline the representation. If the prospective client wishes to retain the lawyer, and if consent is possible under Rule 1.7, then consent from all affected present or former clients must be obtained before accepting the representation.

A lawyer may condition [conversations] consultations with a prospective client on the person’s informed consent that no information disclosed during the consultation will prohibit the lawyer from representing a different client in the matter. See Rule 1.0 (f) for the definition of informed consent. If the agreement expressly so provides, the prospective client may also consent to the lawyer’s subsequent use of information received from the prospective client.

Even in the absence of an agreement, under subsection (c), the lawyer is not prohibited from representing a client with interests adverse to those of the prospective
client in the same or a substantially related matter unless the lawyer has received from the prospective client information that could be significantly harmful if used in the matter.

Under subsection (c), the prohibition in this Rule is imputed to other lawyers as provided in Rule 1.10, but, under subsection (d) (1), imputation may be avoided if the lawyer obtains the informed consent, confirmed in writing, of both the prospective and affected clients. In the alternative, imputation may be avoided if the conditions of subsection (d) (2) are met and all disqualified lawyers are timely screened and written notice is promptly given to the prospective client. See Rule 1.0 (1) (requirements for screening procedures).

Notice, including a general description of the subject matter about which the lawyer was consulted, and of the screening procedures employed, generally should be given as soon as practicable after the need for screening becomes apparent.

For the duty of competence of a lawyer who gives assistance on the merits of a matter to a prospective client, see Rule 1.1. For a lawyer’s duties when a prospective client entrusts valuables or papers to the lawyer’s care, see Rule 1.15.

AMENDMENT NOTE: The revisions to this rule and its commentary provide that a person becomes a prospective client by consulting with a lawyer about the possibility of establishing a client-lawyer relationship. The various types and nature of communications that may constitute a consultation are also discussed.

**Rule 5.3. Responsibilities regarding Nonlawyer [Assistants] Assistance**

With respect to a nonlawyer employed or retained by or associated with a lawyer:

(1) A partner, and a lawyer who individually or together with other lawyers possesses comparable managerial authority in a law firm shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm has in effect measures giving reasonable assurance that the person’s conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer;

(2) A lawyer having direct supervisory authority over the nonlawyer shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the person’s conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer; and

(3) A lawyer shall be responsible for conduct of such a person that would be a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct if engaged in by a lawyer if:

(A) The lawyer orders or, with the knowledge of the specific conduct, ratifies the conduct involved; or
The lawyer is a partner or has comparable managerial authority in the law firm in which the person is employed, or has direct supervisory authority over the person, and knows of the conduct at a time when its consequences can be avoided or mitigated but fails to take reasonable remedial action.

COMMENTARY: Lawyers generally employ assistants in their practice, including secretaries, investigators, law student interns, and paraprofessionals. Such assistants, whether employees or independent contractors, act for the lawyer in rendition of the lawyer's professional services. A lawyer must give such assistants appropriate instruction and supervision concerning the ethical aspects of their employment, particularly regarding the obligation not to disclose information relating to representation of the client, and should be responsible for their work product. The measures employed in supervising nonlawyers should take account of the fact that they do not have legal training and are not subject to professional discipline.

Subdivision (1) requires lawyers with managerial authority within a law firm to make reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm has in effect measures giving reasonable assurance that nonlawyers in the firm and nonlawyers outside the firm who work on firm matters act in a way compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer. See Commentary to Rule 1.1 and first paragraph of Commentary to Rule 5.1. Subdivision (2) applies to lawyers who have supervisory authority over such nonlawyers within or outside the firm. Subdivision (3) specifies the circumstances in which a lawyer is responsible for the conduct of such nonlawyers within or outside the firm that would be a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct if engaged in by a lawyer.

Nonlawyers Outside the Firm. A lawyer may use nonlawyers outside the firm to assist the lawyer in rendering legal services to the client. Examples include the retention of an investigative or paraprofessional service, hiring a document management company to create and maintain a database for complex litigation, sending client documents to a third party for printing or scanning, and using an Internet-based service to store client information. When using such services outside the firm, a lawyer must make reasonable efforts to ensure that the services are provided in a manner that is compatible with the lawyer's professional obligations. The extent of this obligation will depend upon the circumstances, including the education, experience and reputation of the nonlawyer; the
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Rules 1.1, 1.2, 1.18, 5.3, 5.5, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 8.4 and 8.5
nature of the services involved; the terms of any arrangements concerning the protection of client information; and the legal and ethical environments of the jurisdictions in which the services will be performed, particularly with regard to confidentiality. See also Rules 1.1 (competence), 1.2 (allocation of authority), 1.4 (communication with client), 1.6 (confidentiality), 5.4 (a) (professional independence of the lawyer), and 5.5 (a) (unauthorized practice of law). When retaining or directing a nonlawyer outside the firm, a lawyer should communicate directions appropriate under the circumstances to give reasonable assurance that the nonlawyer’s conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer.

Where the client directs the selection of a particular nonlawyer service provider outside the firm, the lawyer may need to consult with the client to determine how the outsourcing arrangement should be structured and who will be responsible for monitoring the performance of the nonlawyer services. Unless the client expressly agrees that the client will be responsible for monitoring the nonlawyer’s services, the lawyer will be responsible for monitoring the nonlawyer’s services.

AMENDMENT NOTE: The revisions to this rule and to Rule 1.1 include guidance for lawyers on outsourcing and are prompted by the ABA’s Commission on Ethics 20/20.

Rule 5.5. Unauthorized Practice of Law

(a) A lawyer shall not practice law in a jurisdiction in violation of the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction, or assist another in doing so. The practice of law in this jurisdiction is defined in Practice Book Section 2-44A. Conduct described in subsections (c) and (d) in another jurisdiction shall not be deemed the unauthorized practice of law for purposes of this subsection (a).

(b) A lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction, shall not:

(1) except as authorized by law, establish an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction for the practice of law; or

(2) hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in this jurisdiction.

(c) A lawyer admitted in another United States jurisdiction which accords similar privileges to Connecticut lawyers in its jurisdiction, and provided that the lawyer is not disbarred or suspended from practice in any jurisdiction, may provide legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction, that:
(1) are undertaken in association with a lawyer who is admitted to practice in this jurisdiction and who actively participates in the matter;

(2) are in or reasonably related to a pending or potential proceeding before a tribunal in this or another jurisdiction, if the lawyer, or a person the lawyer is assisting, is authorized by law or order to appear in such proceeding or reasonably expects to be so authorized;

(3) are in or reasonably related to a pending or potential mediation or other alternative dispute resolution proceeding in this or another jurisdiction, with respect to a matter that is substantially related to, or arises in, a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice and are not services for which the forum requires pro hac vice admission; or

(4) are not within subdivisions (c) (2) or (c) (3) and arise out of or are substantially related to the legal services provided to an existing client of the lawyer’s practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice.

(d) A lawyer admitted to practice in another jurisdiction, and not disbarred or suspended from practice in any jurisdiction, may provide legal services in this jurisdiction that:

(1) the lawyer is authorized to provide pursuant to Practice Book Section 2-15A and the lawyer is an authorized house counsel as provided in that section; or

(2) the lawyer is authorized by federal or other law or rule to provide in this jurisdiction.

(e) A lawyer not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction and authorized by the provisions of this Rule to engage in providing legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction is thereby subject to the disciplinary rules of this jurisdiction with respect to the activities in this jurisdiction.

(f) A lawyer desirous of obtaining the privileges set forth in subsections (c) (3) or (4): (1) shall notify the statewide bar counsel as to each separate matter prior to any such representation in Connecticut, (2) shall notify the statewide bar counsel upon termination of each such representation in Connecticut, and (3) shall pay such fees as may be prescribed by the Judicial Branch.

COMMENTARY: A lawyer may practice law only in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is authorized to practice. A lawyer may be admitted to practice law in a jurisdiction on a regular basis or may be authorized by court rule or order or by law to practice for a
limited purpose or on a restricted basis. Subsection (a) applies to unauthorized practice of law by a lawyer, whether through the lawyer's direct action or by the lawyer's assisting another person.

A lawyer may provide professional advice and instruction to nonlawyers whose employment requires knowledge of the law; for example, claims adjusters, employees of financial or commercial institutions, social workers, accountants and persons employed in government agencies. Lawyers also may assist independent nonlawyers, such as paraprofessionals, who are authorized by the law of a jurisdiction to provide particular law-related services. In addition, a lawyer may counsel nonlawyers who wish to proceed as self-represented parties.

Other than as authorized by law or this Rule, a lawyer who is not admitted to practice generally in this jurisdiction violates subsection (b) (1) if the lawyer establishes an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction for the practice of law. Presence may be systematic and continuous even if the lawyer is not physically present here. Such a lawyer must not hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in this jurisdiction. See also Rules 7.1 (a) and 7.5 (b). A lawyer not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction who engages in repeated and frequent activities of a similar nature in this jurisdiction such as the preparation and/or recording of legal documents (loans and mortgages) involving residents or property in this state may be considered to have a systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction which would not be authorized by this Rule and could thereby be considered to constitute unauthorized practice of law.

There are occasions in which a lawyer admitted to practice in another United States jurisdiction, and not disbarred or suspended from practice in any jurisdiction, may provide legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction under circumstances that do not create an unreasonable risk to the interests of their clients, the public or the courts. Subsection (c) identifies four such circumstances. The fact that conduct is not so identified does not imply that the conduct is or is not authorized. With the exception of subdivisions (d) (1) and (d) (2), this Rule does not authorize a lawyer to establish an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction without being admitted to practice generally here. There is no single test to determine whether a lawyer's services are provided on a “temporary basis” in this jurisdiction and may, therefore, be permissible under subsection (c). Services may be “temporary” even though the lawyer provides
services in this jurisdiction for an extended period of time, as when the lawyer is representing a client in a single lengthy negotiation or litigation.

Subsection (c) applies to lawyers who are admitted to practice law in any United States jurisdiction, which includes the District of Columbia and any state, territory or commonwealth of the United States. The word "admitted" in subsection (c) contemplates that the lawyer is authorized to practice in the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted and excludes a lawyer who, while technically admitted, is not authorized to practice, because, for example, the lawyer is in an inactive status.

Subdivision (c) (1) recognizes that the interests of clients and the public are protected if a lawyer admitted only in another jurisdiction associates with a lawyer licensed to practice in this jurisdiction. For this subdivision to apply, however, the lawyer admitted to practice in this jurisdiction must actively participate in and share responsibility for the representation of the client.

Lawyers not admitted to practice generally in a jurisdiction may be authorized by law or order of a tribunal or an administrative agency to appear before the tribunal or agency. This authority may be granted pursuant to formal rules governing admission pro hac vice or pursuant to informal practice of the tribunal or agency. Under subdivision (c) (2), a lawyer does not violate this Rule when the lawyer appears before a tribunal or agency pursuant to such authority. To the extent that a court rule or other law of this jurisdiction requires a lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction to obtain admission pro hac vice before appearing before a tribunal or administrative agency, this Rule requires the lawyer to obtain that authority.

Subdivision (c) (2) also provides that a lawyer rendering services in this jurisdiction on a temporary basis does not violate this Rule when the lawyer engages in conduct in anticipation of a proceeding or hearing in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is authorized to practice law or in which the lawyer reasonably expects to be admitted pro hac vice. Examples of such conduct include meetings with the client, interviews of potential witnesses, and the review of documents. Similarly, a lawyer admitted only in another jurisdiction may engage in conduct temporarily in this jurisdiction in connection with pending litigation in another jurisdiction in which the lawyer is or reasonably expects to be authorized to appear, including taking depositions in this jurisdiction.

When a lawyer has been or reasonably expects to be admitted to appear before a court or administrative agency, subdivision (c) (2) also permits conduct by lawyers who are
associated with that lawyer in the matter, but who do not expect to appear before the court or administrative agency. For example, subordinate lawyers may conduct research, review documents, and attend meetings with witnesses in support of the lawyer responsible for the litigation.

Subdivision (c) (3) permits a lawyer admitted to practice law in another jurisdiction to perform services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction if those services are in or reasonably related to a pending or potential mediation or other alternative dispute resolution proceeding in this or another jurisdiction, if the services are with respect to a matter that is substantially related to, or arises out of, a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice. The lawyer, however, must obtain admission pro hac vice in the case of a court-annexed arbitration or mediation or otherwise if court rules or law so require.

Subdivision (c) (4) permits a lawyer admitted in another jurisdiction to provide certain legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction if they arise out of or are substantially related to the lawyer’s practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted but are not within subdivisions (c) (2) or (c) (3). These services include both legal services and services that nonlawyers may perform but that are considered the practice of law when performed by lawyers.

Subdivision (c) (3) requires that the services be with respect to a matter that is substantially related to, or arises out of, a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted. A variety of factors may evidence such a relationship. However, the matter, although involving other jurisdictions, must have a significant connection with the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice. A significant aspect of the lawyer’s work might be conducted in that jurisdiction or a significant aspect of the matter may involve the law of that jurisdiction. The necessary relationship might arise when the client’s activities and the resulting legal issues involve multiple jurisdictions. Subdivision (c) (4) requires that the services provided in this jurisdiction in which the lawyer is not admitted to practice be for (1) an existing client, i.e., one with whom the lawyer has a previous relationship and not arising solely out of a Connecticut based matter and (2) arise out of or be substantially related to the legal services provided to that client in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice. Without both, the lawyer is prohibited from practicing law in the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is not admitted to practice.
Subdivision (d) (2) recognizes that a lawyer may provide legal services in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is not licensed when authorized to do so by federal or other law, which includes statute, court rule, executive regulation or judicial precedent.

A lawyer who practices law in this jurisdiction pursuant to subsections (c) or (d) or otherwise is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction. See Rule 8.5 (a).

In some circumstances, a lawyer who practices law in this jurisdiction pursuant to subsections (c) or (d) may have to inform the client that the lawyer is not licensed to practice law in this jurisdiction.

Subsections (c) and (d) do not authorize communications advertising legal services [to prospective clients] in this jurisdiction by lawyers who are admitted to practice in other jurisdictions. Whether and how lawyers may communicate the availability of their services in this jurisdiction is governed by Rules 7.1 to 7.5.

AMENDMENT NOTE: The revisions to subsection (d) (2) of this rule conform the rule to its commentary. The revisions to the commentary are consistent with the revisions to Rule 1.18 and, also, reference Rules 7.1 to 7.5 as governing whether and how lawyers may communicate their services.

Rule 7.1. Communications concerning a Lawyer’s Services

A lawyer shall not make a false or misleading communication about the lawyer or the lawyer’s services. A communication is false or misleading if it contains a material misrepresentation of fact or law, or omits a fact necessary to make the statement considered as a whole not materially misleading.

COMMENTARY: This Rule governs all communications about a lawyer’s services, including advertising permitted by Rule 7.2. Whatever means are used to make known a lawyer’s services, statements about them must be truthful. Statements, even if literally true, that are misleading are also prohibited by this Rule. A truthful statement is misleading if it omits a fact necessary to make the lawyer’s communication considered as a whole not materially misleading. A truthful statement is also misleading if there is a substantial likelihood that it will lead a reasonable person to formulate a specific conclusion about the lawyer or the lawyer’s services for which there is no reasonable factual foundation.

An advertisement that truthfully reports a lawyer’s achievements on behalf of clients or former clients may be misleading if presented so as to lead a reasonable person to form an unjustified expectation that the same results could be obtained for other clients.
in similar matters without reference to the specific factual and legal circumstances of each client’s case. Similarly, an unsubstantiated comparison of the lawyer’s services or fees with the services or fees of other lawyers may be misleading if presented with such specificity as would lead a reasonable person to conclude that the comparison can be substantiated. The inclusion of an appropriate disclaimer or qualifying language may preclude a finding that a statement is likely to create unjustified expectations or otherwise mislead [a prospective client] the public.

See also Rule 8.4 (5) for the prohibition against stating or implying an ability to influence improperly a government agency or official or to achieve results by means that violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law.

AMENDMENT NOTE: The revision to this rule substitutes the term “the public” for the term “a prospective client” and is consistent with the other changes to the Rules of Professional Conduct being recommended.

Rule 7.2. Advertising

(a) Subject to the requirements set forth in Rules 7.1 and 7.3, a lawyer may advertise services through written, recorded or electronic communication, including public media.

(b) (1) A copy or recording of an advertisement or communication shall be kept for three years after its last dissemination along with a record of when and where it was used. An electronic advertisement or communication shall be copied once every three months on a compact disc or similar technology and kept for three years after its last dissemination.

(2) A lawyer shall comply with the mandatory filing requirement of Practice Book Section 2-28A.

(c) A lawyer shall not give anything of value to a person for recommending the lawyer’s services, except that a lawyer may:

(1) pay the reasonable cost of advertisements or communications permitted by this Rule;

(2) pay the usual charges of a not-for-profit or qualified lawyer referral service. A qualified lawyer referral service is a lawyer referral service that has been approved by an appropriate regulatory authority;

(3) pay for a law practice in accordance with Rule 1.17.
(d) Any advertisement or communication made pursuant to this Rule shall include the name of at least one lawyer admitted in Connecticut responsible for its content. In the case of television advertisements, the name, address and telephone number of the lawyer admitted in Connecticut shall be displayed in bold print for fifteen seconds or the duration of the commercial, whichever is less, and shall be prominent enough to be readable.

(e) Advertisements on the electronic media such as television and radio may contain the same factual information and illustrations as permitted in advertisements in the print media.

(f) Every advertisement and written communication that contains information about the lawyer's fee, including those indicating that the charging of a fee is contingent on outcome, or that no fee will be charged in the absence of a recovery, or that the fee will be a percentage of the recovery, shall disclose whether and to what extent the client will be responsible for any court costs and expenses of litigation. The disclosure concerning court costs and expenses of litigation shall be in the same print size and type as the information regarding the lawyer's fee and, if broadcast, shall appear for the same duration as the information regarding the lawyer's fee. If the information regarding the fee is spoken, the disclosure concerning court costs and expenses of litigation shall also be spoken.

(g) A lawyer who advertises a specific fee or range of fees for a particular service shall honor the advertised fee or range of fees for at least ninety days unless the advertisement specifies a shorter period; provided that, for advertisements in the yellow pages of telephone directories or other media not published more frequently than annually, the advertised fee or range of fees shall be honored for no less than one year following publication.

(h) No lawyers shall directly or indirectly pay all or part of the cost of an advertisement by a lawyer not in the same firm unless the advertisement discloses the name and address of the nonadvertising lawyer, and whether the advertising lawyer may refer any case received through the advertisement to the nonadvertising lawyer.

(i) The following information in advertisements and written communications shall be presumed not to violate the provisions of Rule 7.1:

(1) Subject to the requirements of Rule 7.3, the name of the lawyer or law firm, a listing of lawyers associated with the firm, office addresses and telephone numbers, office
and telephone service hours, fax numbers, website and e-mail addresses and domain names, and a designation such as “attorney” or “law firm.”

(2) Date of admission to the Connecticut bar and any other bars and a listing of federal courts and jurisdictions where the lawyer is licensed to practice.

(3) Technical and professional licenses granted by the state or other recognized licensing authorities.

(4) Foreign language ability.

(5) Fields of law in which the lawyer practices or is designated, subject to the requirements of Rule 7.4, or is certified pursuant to Rule 7.4A.

(6) Prepaid or group legal service plans in which the lawyer participates.

(7) Acceptance of credit cards.

(8) Fee for initial consultation and fee schedule.

(9) A listing of the name and geographic location of a lawyer or law firm as a sponsor of a public service announcement or charitable, civic or community program or event.

(10) Nothing in this Rule prohibits a lawyer or law firm from permitting the inclusion in the law directories intended primarily for the use of the legal profession of such information as has traditionally been included in these publications.

(j) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (d), a lawyer and service may participate in an internet based client to lawyer matching service, provided the service otherwise complies with the Rules of Professional Conduct. If the service provides an exclusive referral to a lawyer or law firm for a particular practice area in a particular geographical region, then the service must comply with subsection (d).

COMMENTARY: To assist the public in learning about and obtaining legal services, lawyers should be allowed to make known their services not only through reputation but also through organized information campaigns in the form of advertising. Advertising involves an active quest for clients, contrary to the tradition that a lawyer should not seek clientele. However, the public’s need to know about legal services can be fulfilled in part through advertising. This need is particularly acute in the case of persons of moderate means who have not made extensive use of legal services. The interest in expanding public information about legal services ought to prevail over considerations of tradition. Nevertheless, advertising by lawyers entails the risk of practices that are misleading or overreaching.
This Rule permits public dissemination of information concerning a lawyer’s name or firm name, address, email address, website, and telephone number; the kinds of services the lawyer will undertake; the basis on which the lawyer’s fees are determined, including prices for specific services and payment and credit arrangements; whether and to what extent the client will be responsible for any court costs and expenses of litigation; lawyer’s foreign language ability; names of references and, with their consent, names of clients regularly represented; and other information that might invite the attention of those seeking legal assistance.

Questions of effectiveness and taste in advertising are matters of speculation and subjective judgment. Some jurisdictions have had extensive prohibitions against television advertising and other forms of advertising, against advertising going beyond specified facts about a lawyer, or against "undignified" advertising. Television, the Internet, and other forms of electronic communication are now among the most powerful media for getting information to the public, particularly persons of low and moderate income; prohibiting television, Internet, and other forms of electronic advertising, therefore, would impede the flow of information about legal services to many sectors of the public. Limiting the information that may be advertised has a similar effect and assumes that the bar can accurately forecast the kind of information that the public would regard as relevant.

Neither this Rule nor Rule 7.3 prohibits communications authorized by law, such as notice to members of a class in class action litigation.

Record of Advertising. Subsection (b) requires that a record of the content and use of advertising be kept in order to facilitate enforcement of this Rule. It does not require that advertising be subject to review prior to dissemination. Such a requirement would be burdensome and expensive relative to its possible benefits, and may be of doubtful constitutionality.

Paying Others to Recommend a Lawyer. Except as permitted under subsection (c) (1)-(c) (3), lawyers are not permitted to pay others for recommending the lawyer’s services or for channeling professional work in a manner that violates Rule 7.3. A communication contains a recommendation if it endorses or vouches for a lawyer’s credentials, abilities, competence, character, or other professional qualities. Subsection (c) (1), however, allows a lawyer to pay for advertising and communications permitted by this Rule, including the costs of print directory listings, on-line directory listings, newspaper ads, television and radio airtime, domain-name registrations, sponsorship fees, ads, Internet-based
advertisements, and group advertising. A lawyer may compensate employees, agents and vendors who are engaged to provide marketing or client development services, such as publicists, public-relations personnel, business development staff and website designers. Moreover, a lawyer may pay others for generating client leads, such as Internet-based client leads, as long as the lead generator does not recommend the lawyer, any payment to the lead generator is consistent with Rules 1.5 (e) (division of fees) and 5.4 (professional independence of the lawyer), and the lead generator’s communications are consistent with Rule 7.1 (communications concerning a lawyer’s services) and subsection (i). To comply with Rule 7.1, a lawyer must not pay a lead generator that states, implies, or creates a reasonable impression that it is recommending the lawyer, is making the referral without payment from the lawyer, or has analyzed a person’s legal problems when determining which lawyer should receive the referral. See also Rule 5.3 for the (duties of lawyers and law firms with respect to the conduct of nonlawyers); Rule 8.4 (a) (duty to avoid violating the Rules through the acts of another).

A lawyer may pay the usual charges of a legal service plan or a not-for-profit or qualified lawyer referral service. A legal service plan is a prepaid or group legal service plan or a similar delivery system that assists [prospective clients] people who seek to secure legal representation. A lawyer referral service, on the other hand, is any organization that holds itself out to the public as a lawyer referral service. Such referral services are understood by [laypersons] the public to be consumer oriented organizations that provide unbiased referrals to lawyers with appropriate experience in the subject matter of the representation and afford other client protections, such as complaint procedures or malpractice insurance requirements. Consequently, this Rule only permits a lawyer to pay the usual charges of a not-for-profit or qualified lawyer referral service. A qualified lawyer referral service is one that is approved by an appropriate regulatory authority as affording adequate protections for [prospective clients] the public. See, e.g., the American Bar Association’s Model Supreme Court Rules Governing Lawyer Referral Services and Model Lawyer Referral and Information Service Quality Assurance Act (requiring that organizations that are identified as lawyer referral services: [i] permit the participation of all lawyers who are licensed and eligible to practice in the jurisdiction and who meet reasonable objective eligibility requirements as may be established by the referral service for the protection of [prospective clients] the public; [ii] require each participating lawyer to carry reasonably adequate malpractice insurance; [iii] act reasonably to assess client...
satisfaction and address client complaints; and [iv] do not make referrals [prospective clients] to lawyers who own, operate or are employed by the referral service).

A lawyer who accepts assignments or referrals from a legal service plan or referrals from a lawyer referral service must act reasonably to assure that the activities of the plan or service are compatible with the lawyer’s professional obligations. See Rule 5.3. Legal service plans and lawyer referral services may communicate with [prospective clients] the public, but such communication must be in conformity with these Rules. Thus, advertising must not be false or misleading, as would be the case if the communications of a group advertising program or a group legal services plan would mislead [prospective clients] the public to think that it was a lawyer referral service sponsored by a state agency or bar association. Nor could the lawyer allow in person, telephonic, or real-time contacts that would violate Rule 7.3.

AMENDMENT NOTE: The revisions to the commentary to this rule are intended to more precisely define the term “recommendation” and thereby eliminate some of the confusion about the use of Internet-based lead generators and other client development tools.

Rule 7.3. [Personal Contact with Prospective] Solicitation of Clients

(a) A lawyer shall not initiate personal, live telephone, or real-time electronic contact, including telemarketing contact[, with a prospective client] for the purpose of obtaining professional employment, except in the following circumstances:

(1) If the [prospective client] target of the solicitation is a close friend, relative, former client or one whom the lawyer reasonably believes to be a client;
(2) Under the auspices of a public or charitable legal services organization;
(3) Under the auspices of a bona fide political, social, civic, fraternal, employee or trade organization whose purposes include but are not limited to providing or recommending legal services, if the legal services are related to the principal purposes of the organization;
(4) If the [prospective client] target of the solicitation is a business organization, a not-for-profit organization or governmental body and the lawyer seeks to provide services related to the organization.

(b) A lawyer shall not contact, or send[,] a written or electronic communication [to, a prospective client] for the purpose of obtaining professional employment if:
(1) The lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the physical, emotional or mental state of the person makes it unlikely that the person would exercise reasonable judgment in employing a lawyer;

(2) It has been made known to the lawyer that the person does not want to receive such communications from the lawyer;

(3) The communication involves coercion, duress, fraud, overreaching, harassment, intimidation or undue influence;

(4) The written communication concerns a specific matter and the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the person to whom the communication is directed is represented by a lawyer in the matter; or

(5) The written or electronic communication concerns an action for personal injury or wrongful death or otherwise relates to an accident or disaster involving the person to whom the communication is addressed or a relative of that person, unless the accident or disaster occurred more than forty days prior to the mailing of the communication.

(c) Every written communication, as well as any communication by audio or video recording, or other electronic means, used by a lawyer for the purpose of obtaining professional employment from any known to be in need of legal services in a particular matter, must be clearly and prominently labeled “Advertising Material” in red ink on the first page of any written communication and the lower left corner of the outside envelope or container, if any, and at the beginning and ending of any communication by audio or video recording or other electronic means. If the written communication is in the form of a self-mailing brochure or pamphlet, the label “Advertising Material” in red ink shall appear on the address panel of the brochure or pamphlet. Brochures solicited by clients or prospective clients need not contain such mark. No reference shall be made in the communication to the communication having any kind of approval from the Connecticut bar. Such [W]ritten communications [mailed to prospective clients] shall be sent only by regular United States mail, not by registered mail or other forms of restricted delivery.

(d) The first sentence of any written communication concerning a specific matter shall be: “If you have already retained a lawyer for this matter, please disregard this letter.”
(e) A written communication seeking employment [by a specific prospective client] in a specific matter shall not reveal on the envelope, or on the outside of a self-mailing brochure or pamphlet, the nature of the [client’s] legal [problem] matter.

(f) If a contract for representation is mailed with the communication, the top of each page of the contract shall be marked “Sample” in bold letters in red ink in a type size one size larger than the largest type used in the contract and the words “Do Not Sign” in bold letters shall appear on the client signature line.

(g) Written communications shall be on lettersized paper rather than legal-sized paper and shall not be made to resemble legal pleadings or other legal documents. This provision does not preclude the mailing of brochures and pamphlets.

(h) If a lawyer other than the lawyer whose name or signature appears on the communication will actually handle the case or matter, or if the case or matter will be referred to another lawyer or law firm, any written communication concerning a specific matter shall include a statement so advising the client.

(i) Notwithstanding the prohibitions in subsection (a), a lawyer may participate with a prepaid or group legal service plan operated by an organization not owned or directed by the lawyer which uses in-person or telephone contact to solicit memberships or subscriptions for the plan from persons who are not known to need legal services in a particular matter covered by the plan.

COMMENTARY: A solicitation is a targeted communication initiated by the lawyer that is directed to a specific person and that offers to provide, or can reasonably be understood as offering to provide, legal services. In contrast, a lawyer’s communication typically does not constitute a solicitation if it is directed to the general public, such as through a billboard, an Internet banner advertisement, a website or a television commercial, or if it is in response to a request for information or is automatically generated in response to Internet searches.

Unrestricted solicitation involves definite social harms. Among these are harassment, overreaching, provocation of nuisance litigation and schemes for systematic fabrication of claims, all of which were experienced prior to adoption of restrictions on solicitation. Measures reasonably designed to suppress these harms are constitutionally legitimate. At the same time, measures going beyond realization of such objectives would appear to be invalid under relevant decisions of the United States Supreme Court.
The potential for abuse inherent in direct in-person, live telephone or real-time electronic solicitation justifies their prohibition, particularly since lawyers have alternative means of conveying necessary information to those who may be in need of legal services. In particular, communications can be mailed or transmitted by email or other electronic means that do not involve real-time contact and do not violate other laws governing solicitations. These forms of communications and solicitations make it possible for the public to be informed about the need for legal services, and about the qualifications of available lawyers and law firms, without subjecting the public to direct in-person, telephone or real-time electronic persuasion that may overwhelm a person's judgment.

The use of general advertising and written, recorded and electronic communications to transmit information from lawyer to [prospective client] the public, rather than direct in-person, live telephone, or real-time electronic contact, will help to assure that the information flows cleanly as well as freely. The contents of advertisements and communications permitted under Rule 7.2 can be permanently recorded so that they cannot be disputed and may be shared with others who know the lawyer. This potential for informal review is itself likely to help guard against statements and claims that might constitute false and misleading communications, in violation of Rule 7.1. The contents of direct in-person, live telephone, or real-time electronic conversations [between a lawyer to a prospective client] contact can be disputed and are not subject to a third-party scrutiny. Consequently, they are much more likely to approach (and occasionally cross) the dividing line between accurate representations and those that are false and misleading.

There is far less likelihood that a lawyer would engage in abusive practices against a former client, or a person with whom the lawyer has a close personal or family relationship, or in situations in which the lawyer is motivated by considerations other than the lawyer's pecuniary gain. Nor is there a serious potential for abuse when the person contacted is a lawyer. Consequently, the general prohibition in Rule 7.3 (a) and the requirements of Rule 7.3 (c) are not applicable in those situations. Also, nothing in this Commentary is intended to prohibit a lawyer from participating in constitutionally protected activities of public or charitable legal service organizations or bona fide political, social, civic, fraternal, employee or trade organizations whose purposes include providing or recommending legal services to their members or beneficiaries.

In determining whether a contact is permissible under Rule 7.3 (b), it is relevant to consider the time and circumstances under which the contact is initiated. For example, a
person undergoing active medical treatment for traumatic injury is unlikely to be in an emotional state in which reasonable judgment about employing a lawyer can be exercised. Moreover, if after sending a letter or other communication to a [client] member of the public as permitted by Rule 7.2 the lawyer receives no response, any further effort to communicate with the [prospective client] person may violate the provisions of Rule 7.3 (b).

The requirement in Rule 7.3 (c) that certain communications be marked "Advertising Material" does not apply to communications sent in response to requests of potential clients or their spokespersons or sponsors. General announcements by lawyers, including changes in personnel or office location, do not constitute communications soliciting professional employment from a client known to be in need of legal services within the meaning of this Rule.

This Rule is not intended to prohibit a lawyer from contacting representatives of organizations or groups that may be interested in establishing a group or prepaid legal plan for their members, insureds, beneficiaries or other third parties for the purpose of informing such entities of the availability of and details concerning the plan or arrangement which the lawyer or lawyer’s firm is willing to offer. This form of communication is not directed to [a prospective client] people who are seeking legal services for themselves. Rather, it is usually addressed to an individual acting in a fiduciary capacity seeking a supplier of legal services for others who may, if they choose, become prospective clients of the lawyer. Under these circumstances, the activity which the lawyer undertakes in communicating with such representatives and the type of information transmitted to the individual are functionally similar to and serve the same purpose as advertising permitted under Rule 7.2. Subsection (i) of this Rule would permit an attorney to participate with an organization which uses personal contact to solicit members for its group or prepaid legal service plan, provided that the personal contact is not undertaken by any lawyer who would be a provider of legal services through the plan.

Subsection (i) of this Rule permits a lawyer to participate with an organization which uses personal contact to solicit members for its group or prepaid legal service plan, provided that the personal contact is not undertaken by any lawyer who would be a provider of legal services through the plan. The organization must not be owned by or directed (whether as manager or otherwise) by any lawyer or law firm that participates in the plan. For example, subsection (i) would not permit a lawyer to create an organization
controlled directly or indirectly by the lawyer and use the organization for the in-person or telephone solicitation of legal employment of the lawyer through memberships in the plan or otherwise. The communication permitted by these organizations also must not be directed to a person known to need legal services in a particular matter, but is to be designed to inform potential plan members generally of another means of affordable legal services. Lawyers who participate in a legal service plan must reasonably assure that the plan sponsors are in compliance with Rules 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3 (b). See 8.4 (a).

AMENDMENT NOTE: The revisions to this rule are made in light of the revisions to Rule 1.18, which can be understood to limit the class of “prospective clients” to those who have shared information with the lawyer. This rule, to the contrary, is intended to cover contacts with all possible future clients. The revisions to the commentary to this rule are intended to clarify “solicitations” governed by the rule.

Rule 8.4. Misconduct

It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to:

(1) Violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of another;

(2) Commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;

(3) Engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation;

(4) Engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice;

(5) State or imply an ability to influence improperly a government agency or official or to achieve results by means that violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law;

or

(6) Knowingly assist a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct or other law.

COMMENTARY: Lawyers are subject to discipline when they violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, knowingly assist or induce another to do so or do so through the acts of another, as when they request or instruct an agent to do so on the lawyer’s behalf. Subdivision (1), however, does not prohibit a lawyer from advising a client concerning action the client is legally entitled to take.

Many kinds of illegal conduct reflect adversely on fitness to practice law, such as offenses involving fraud and the offense of willful failure to file an income tax return.
However, some kinds of offenses carry no such implication. Traditionally, the distinction was drawn in terms of offenses involving "moral turpitude." That concept can be construed to include offenses concerning some matters of personal morality, such as adultery and comparable offenses, that have no specific connection to fitness for the practice of law. Although a lawyer is personally answerable to the entire criminal law, a lawyer should be professionally answerable only for offenses that indicate lack of those characteristics relevant to law practice. Offenses involving violence, dishonesty, breach of trust, or serious interference with the administration of justice are in that category. A pattern of repeated offenses, even ones of minor significance when considered separately, can indicate indifference to legal obligation. Counseling or assisting a client with regard to conduct expressly permitted under Connecticut law is not conduct that reflects adversely on a lawyer's fitness notwithstanding any conflict with federal law. Nothing in this commentary shall be construed to provide a defense to a presentment filed pursuant to Practice Book Section 2-41.

A lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates subdivision (4) when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice. Legitimate advocacy respecting the foregoing factors does not violate subdivision (4).

A lawyer may refuse to comply with an obligation imposed by law upon a good faith belief that no valid obligation exists. The provisions of Rule 1.2 (d) concerning a good faith challenge to the validity, scope, meaning or application of the law apply to challenges of legal regulation of the practice of law.

Lawyers holding public office assume legal responsibilities going beyond those of other citizens. A lawyer's abuse of public office can suggest an inability to fulfill the professional role of lawyers. The same is true of abuse of positions of private trust, such as trustee, executor, administrator, guardian, agent and officer, director or manager of a corporation or other organization.

AMENDMENT NOTE: The addition to the commentary to this rule clarifies that counseling or assisting a client with regard to conduct expressly permitted under Connecticut law, as is allowed pursuant to the amendments to Rule 1.2 and its commentary, is not conduct that reflects adversely on a lawyer's fitness to practice law.
Rule 8.5. Disciplinary Authority; Choice of Law

(a) Disciplinary Authority. A lawyer admitted to practice in this jurisdiction is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction, regardless of where the lawyer’s conduct occurs. A lawyer not admitted in this jurisdiction is also subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction if the lawyer provides or offers to provide any legal services in this jurisdiction. A lawyer may be subject to the disciplinary authority of both this jurisdiction and another jurisdiction for the same conduct.

(b) Choice of Law. In any exercise of the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction, the rules of professional conduct to be applied shall be as follows:

1. For conduct in connection with a matter pending before a tribunal, the rules of the jurisdiction in which the tribunal sits, unless the rules of the tribunal provide otherwise; and

2. For any other conduct, the rules of the jurisdiction in which the lawyer’s conduct occurred, or, if the predominant effect of the conduct is in a different jurisdiction, the rules of that jurisdiction shall be applied to the conduct. A lawyer shall not be subject to discipline if the lawyer’s conduct conforms to the rules of a jurisdiction in which the lawyer reasonably believes the predominant effect of the lawyer’s conduct will occur.

COMMENTARY: Disciplinary Authority. It is longstanding law that the conduct of a lawyer admitted to practice in this jurisdiction is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction. Extension of the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction to other lawyers who provide or offer to provide legal services in this jurisdiction is for the protection of the citizens of this jurisdiction. Reciprocal enforcement of a jurisdiction’s disciplinary findings and sanctions will further advance the purposes of this Rule. See Rules 6 and 22, ABA Model Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement. A lawyer who is admitted pursuant to Practice Book Sections 2-16 or 2-17 et seq. is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction under Rule 8.5 (a) and appoints an official to be designated by this Court to receive service of process in this jurisdiction. The fact that the lawyer is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction may be a factor in determining whether personal jurisdiction may be asserted over the lawyer for civil matters.

Choice of Law. A lawyer may be potentially subject to more than one set of rules of professional conduct which impose different obligations. The lawyer may be licensed to practice in more than one jurisdiction with differing rules, or may be admitted to practice before a particular court with rules that differ from those of the jurisdiction or jurisdictions...
in which the lawyer is licensed to practice. Additionally, the lawyer's conduct may involve significant contacts with more than one jurisdiction.

Subsection (b) seeks to resolve such potential conflicts. Its premise is that minimizing conflicts between rules, as well as uncertainty about which rules are applicable, is in the best interest of both clients and the profession (as well as the bodies having authority to regulate the profession). Accordingly, it takes the approach of (i) providing that any particular conduct of a lawyer shall be subject to only one set of rules of professional conduct, and (ii) making the determination of which set of rules applies to particular conduct as straightforward as possible, consistent with recognition of appropriate regulatory interests of relevant jurisdictions.

Subsection (b) (1) provides that, as to a lawyer’s conduct relating to a proceeding pending before a tribunal, the lawyer shall be subject only to the rules of the jurisdiction in which the tribunal sits unless the rules of the tribunal, including its choice of law rule, provides otherwise. As to all other conduct, including conduct in anticipation of a proceeding not yet pending before a tribunal, subsection (b) (2) provides that a lawyer shall be subject to the rules of the jurisdiction in which the lawyer’s conduct occurred, or, if the predominant effect of the conduct is in another jurisdiction, the rules of that jurisdiction shall be applied to the conduct. So long as the lawyer’s conduct conforms to the rules of a jurisdiction in which the lawyer reasonably believes the predominant effect will occur, the lawyer shall not be subject to discipline under this Rule.

When a lawyer’s conduct involves significant contacts with more than one jurisdiction, it may not be clear whether the predominant effect of the lawyer’s conduct will occur in a jurisdiction other than the one in which the conduct occurred. So long as the lawyer’s conduct conforms to the rules of a jurisdiction in which the lawyer reasonably believes the predominant effect will occur, the lawyer shall not be subject to discipline under this Rule. With respect to conflicts of interest, in determining a lawyer’s reasonable belief under subsection (b) (2), a written agreement between the lawyer and client that reasonably specifies a particular jurisdiction as within the scope of that paragraph may be considered if the agreement was obtained with the client’s informed consent confirmed in the agreement.

If two admitting jurisdictions were to proceed against a lawyer for the same conduct, they should, applying this Rule, identify the same governing ethics rules. They should take all appropriate steps to see that they do apply the same rule to the same
conduct, and in all events should avoid proceeding against a lawyer on the basis of two inconsistent rules.

The choice of law provision applies to lawyers engaged in transnational practice, unless international law, treaties or other agreements between competent regulatory authorities in the affected jurisdictions provide otherwise.

AMENDMENT NOTE: The revision to the commentary of this rule is intended to assist in determining a lawyer's reasonable belief under subsection (b) (2).
Sec. 9-9. — Procedure for Class Certification and Management of Class

(a) (1) (A) When a person sues or is sued as a representative of a class, the court must, at an early practicable time, determine by order whether to certify the action as a class action.

(B) An order certifying a class action must define the class and the class claims, issues or defenses, and must appoint class counsel.

(C) An order under Section 9-9 (a) (1) (A) may be altered or amended before final judgment.

(2) (A) For any class certified under Section 9-8 (1) or (2), the court must direct notice to the class.

(B) For any class certified under Section 9-8 (3), the court must direct to class members the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members who can be identified through reasonable effort. The notice must concisely and clearly state in plain, easily understood language:

(i) the nature of the action;

(ii) the definition of the class certified;

(iii) the class claims, issues or defenses;

(iv) that a class member may enter an appearance through counsel if the member so desires;

(v) that the court will exclude from the class any member who requests exclusion, stating when and how members may elect to be excluded; and

(vi) the binding effect of a class judgment on class members under Section 9-8 (3).

(3) The judgment in an action maintained as a class action under Section 9-8 (1) or (2), whether or not favorable to the class, shall include and describe those whom the court finds to be members of the class. The judgment in an action maintained as a class action under Section 9-8 (3), whether or not favorable to the class, shall include and specify or describe those to whom the notice provided in Section 9-9 (a) (2) (B) was directed, and who have not requested exclusion, and whom the court finds to be members of the class.

(4) When appropriate, (A) an action may be brought or maintained as a class action with respect to particular issues, or (B) a class may be divided into subclasses and each
subclass treated as a class, and the provisions of Sections 9-7 and 9-8 shall then be construed and applied accordingly.

(b) In the conduct of actions to which Section 9-7 et seq. apply, the court may make appropriate orders:

(1) determining the course of proceedings or prescribing measures to prevent undue repetition or complication in the presentation of evidence or argument;

(2) requiring, for the protection of the members of the class or otherwise for the fair conduct of the action, that notice be given in such manner as the court may direct to some or all of the members of:
   (i) any step in the action;
   (ii) the proposed extent of the judgment; or
   (iii) the opportunity of members to signify whether they consider the representation fair and adequate, to intervene and to present claims or defenses, or otherwise to come into the action;

(3) imposing conditions on the representative parties or on intervenors;

(4) requiring that the pleadings be amended to eliminate therefrom allegations as to representation of absent persons, and that the action proceed accordingly;

(5) dealing with similar procedural matters. The orders may be altered or amended as may be desirable from time to time.

(c) (1) (A) The court must approve any settlement, withdrawal, or compromise of the claims, issues, or defense of a certified class. Court approval is not required for settlement, withdrawal or compromise of a claim in which a class has been alleged but no class has been certified.

   (B) The court must direct notice in a reasonable manner to all class members who would be bound by a proposed settlement, withdrawal or compromise.

   (C) The court may approve a settlement, withdrawal, or compromise that would bind class members only after a hearing and on finding that the settlement, withdrawal, or compromise is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

   (2) The parties seeking approval of a settlement, withdrawal, or compromise of an action in which a class has been certified must file a statement identifying any agreement made in connection with the proposed settlement, withdrawal or compromise.

   (3) In an action previously certified as a class action under Section 9-8 (3), the court may refuse to approve a settlement unless it affords a new opportunity to request
exclusion to individual class members who had an earlier opportunity to request exclusion but did not do so.

(4) (A) Any class member may object to a proposed settlement, withdrawal or compromise that requires court approval under (c) (1) (A).

(B) An objection made under (c) (4) (A) may be withdrawn only with the court’s approval.

(d) Unless a statute provides otherwise, a court that certifies a class must appoint class counsel. An attorney appointed to serve as class counsel must fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class.

(1) In appointing class counsel, the court must consider:

(i) the work counsel has done in identifying or investigating potential claims in the action;

(ii) counsel’s experience in handling class actions, other complex litigation, and claims of the type asserted in the action;

(iii) counsel’s knowledge of the applicable law; and

(iv) the resources counsel will commit to representing the class.

(2) The court may:

(i) consider any other matter pertinent to counsel’s ability to represent the interests of the class fairly and adequately;

(ii) direct potential class counsel to provide information on any subject pertinent to the appointment and to propose terms for attorney’s fees and nontaxable costs; and

(iii) make further orders in connection with the appointment.

(e) The court may designate interim counsel to act on behalf of the putative class before determining whether to certify the action as a class action. When there is one applicant for appointment as class counsel, the court may appoint that applicant only if the applicant is adequate under subsection (d). If more than one adequate applicant seeks appointment as class counsel, the court must appoint the applicant best able to represent the interests of the class. The order appointing class counsel may include provisions about the award of attorney’s fees or nontaxable costs under subsection (f).

(f) In an action certified as a class action, the court may award reasonable attorney’s fees and nontaxable costs authorized by law or by consent of the parties as follows:
(1) A request for an award of attorney's fees and nontaxable costs must be made by motion subject to the provisions of this subdivision, at a time set by the court. Notice of the motion must be served on all parties and, for motions by class counsel, directed to class members in a reasonable manner.

(2) A class member or a party from whom payment is sought, may object to the motion.

(3) The court may hold a hearing and must find the facts and state its conclusions of law on such motion.

(g) (1) "Residual funds" are funds that remain after the payment of approved class member claims, expenses, litigation costs, attorney's fees, and other court-approved disbursements made to implement the relief granted. Nothing in this rule is intended to limit the parties to a class action from recommending, or the trial court from approving, a settlement that does not create residual funds.

(2) Any order, judgment or approved settlement in a class action that establishes a process for identifying and compensating members of the class may designate the recipient or recipients of any such residual funds that may remain after the claims payment process has been completed. In the absence of such designation, the residual funds shall be disbursed to the organization administering the program for the use of interest on lawyers' client funds pursuant to General Statutes § 51-81c for the purpose of funding those organizations that provide legal services for the poor in Connecticut.

COMMENTARY: The amendments to this section define "Residual Funds" in class actions and establish a process by which, and to whom, the judicial authority may disburse such funds.
APPENDIX D (032414mins)

(NEW) Sec. 1-25. Actions Subject to Sanctions

(a) No party or attorney shall bring or defend an action, or assert or oppose a claim or contention, unless there is a basis in law and fact for doing so that is not frivolous. Good faith arguments for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law shall not be deemed frivolous.

(b) Except as otherwise provided in these rules, the judicial authority, solely on its own motion and after a hearing, may impose sanctions for actions that include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Filing of pleadings, motions, objections, requests or other documents that violate subsection (a) above;

2. Wilful or repeated failure to comply with rules or orders of the court, including Section 4-7 on personal identifying information;

3. After prior direction from the court, the filing of materials or documents that (A) are not relevant and material to the matter before the court; or (B) contain personal, medical or financial information that is not relevant or material to the matter before the court.

(c) The judicial authority may impose sanctions including, but not limited to, fines pursuant to General Statutes §51-84; orders requiring the offending party to pay costs and expenses, including attorney’s fees; and orders restricting the filing of papers with the court.

(d) Offenders subject to such sanctions may include counsel, self-represented parties, and parties represented by counsel.

COMMENTARY: The Rules of Appellate Procedure list actions that can result in the imposition of sanctions on counsel and parties. Currently, no equivalent rule exists in the rules of the Superior Court. This section, to be used solely upon motion of the judicial authority, identifies actions that can result in the imposition of sanctions, including actions that violate Rule 3.1 of the Rules of Professional Conduct, wilfully or repeatedly failing to comply with the rules or orders of the court or repeated filing of documents or personal, medical or financial information that is not relevant and material to the matter before the trial court. This section provides the judicial authority at the trial level with explicit authority to protect counsel, parties and the court from abuse of the legal process and to hold counsel, self-represented parties and represented parties accountable for improper and inappropriate filings.
Sec. 10-14. —Proof of Service

(a) Proof of service pursuant to Section 10-12 (a) and (b) may be made by written acknowledgment of service by the party served, by a certificate of counsel for the party filing the pleading or paper or by the self-represented party, or by affidavit of the person making the service, but these methods of proof shall not be exclusive. Proof of service shall include the address at which such service was made. If proof of such service is made by a certificate of counsel or by the self-represented party, it shall be in substantially the following form:

I [hereby] certify that a copy of the above was or will immediately be mailed or [electronically] delivered electronically or non-electronically on (Date) to all counsel and self-represented parties of record and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all counsel and self-represented parties of record who were or will immediately be electronically served. (Here list the name of each party served or immediately to be served and the address at which service was made or will immediately be made.)

Or
to the party against whom the default for failure to appear is claimed. (Here list the name of each nonappearing party served or immediately to be served and the address at which service was made or will immediately be made.)

(Individual Signature of Counsel or Self-Represented Party)

(b) Proof of service pursuant to Section 10-12 (c) shall be made in the same manner as proof of service is made of an original writ and complaint, unless the judicial authority ordered service in some other manner in which event service may be proved as prescribed in subsection (a) above.

COMMENTARY: The changes to this section clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
Form 201

Plaintiff's Interrogatories

No. CV-                : SUPERIOR COURT
(Plaintiff)             : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF
VS.                     : AT
(Defendant)             : (Date)

The undersigned, on behalf of the Plaintiff, hereby propounds the following interrogatories to be answered by the Defendant, ______________, under oath, within thirty (30) days of the filing hereof.

Definition: "You" shall mean the Defendant to whom these interrogatories are directed except that if that Defendant has been sued as the representative of the estate of a decedent, ward, or incapable person, "you" shall also refer to the Defendant's decedent, ward or incapable person unless the context of an interrogatory clearly indicates otherwise.

In answering these interrogatories, the Defendant(s) is (are) required to provide all information within their knowledge, possession or power. If an interrogatory has subparts, answer each subpart separately and in full and do not limit the answer to the interrogatory as a whole. If any interrogatories cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

(1) State the following:
(a) your full name and any other name(s) by which you have been known;
(b) your date of birth;
(c) your motor vehicle operator's license number;
(d) your home address;
(e) your business address;
(f) if you were not the owner of the subject vehicle, the name and address of the owner or lessor of the subject vehicle on the date of the alleged occurrence.

(2) Have you made any statements, as defined in Practice Book Section 13-1, to any person regarding any of the incidents alleged in the Complaint?

COMMENT:
This interrogatory is intended to include party statements made to a representative of an insurance company prior to involvement of defense counsel.

(3) If the answer to Interrogatory #2 is affirmative, state:
(a) the name and address of the person or persons to whom such statements were made;
(b) the date on which such statements were made;
(c) the form of the statement (i.e., whether written, made by recording device or recorded by a stenographer, etc.);
(d) the name and address of each person having custody, or a copy or copies of each statement.

(4) State the names and addresses of all persons known to you who were present at the time of the incident alleged in the Complaint or who observed or witnessed all or part of the incident.
(5) As to each individual named in response to Interrogatory #4, state whether to your knowledge, or the knowledge of your attorney, such individual has given any statement or statements as defined in Practice Book Section 13-1 concerning the subject matter of the Complaint in this lawsuit. If your answer to this interrogatory is affirmative, state also:

(a) the date on which the statement or statements were taken;
(b) the names and addresses of the person or persons who took such statement or statements;
(c) the names and addresses of any person or persons present when such statement or statements were taken;
(d) whether such statement or statements were written, made by recording device or taken by court reporter or stenographer;
(e) the names and addresses of any person or persons having custody or a copy or copies or such statement or statements.

(6) Are you aware of any photographs depicting the accident scene, any vehicle involved in the incident alleged in the Complaint, or any condition or injury alleged to have been caused by the incident alleged in the Complaint? If so, for each set of photographs taken of each such subject by each photographer, please state:

(a) the name and address of the photographer, other than an expert who will not testify at trial;
(b) the dates on which such photographs were taken;
(c) the subject (e.g., “Plaintiff’s vehicle,” “scene,” etc.);
(d) the number of photographs.

(7) If, at the time of the incident alleged in the Complaint, you were covered by an insurance policy under which an insurer may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment or reimburse you for payments to satisfy part or all of a judgment, state the following:

(a) the name(s) and address(es) of the insured(s);
(b) the amount of coverage under each insurance policy;
(c) the name(s) and address(es) of said insurer(s).

(8) If at the time of the incident which is the subject of this lawsuit you were protected against the type of risk which is the subject of this lawsuit by excess umbrella insurance, or any other insurance, state:

(a) the name(s) and address(es) of the named insured;
(b) the amount of coverage effective at this time;
(c) the name(s) and address(es) of said insurer(s).

(9) State whether any insurer, as described in Interrogatories #7 and #8 above, has disclaimed/reserved its duty to indemnify any insured or any other person protected by said policy.
(10) If applicable, describe in detail the damage to your vehicle.

(11) If applicable, please state the name and address of an appraiser or firm which appraised or repaired the damage to the vehicle owned or operated by you.

(12) If any of the Defendants are deceased, please state the date and place of death, whether an estate has been created, and the name and address of the legal representative thereof.

(13) If any of the Defendants is a business entity that has changed its name or status as a business entity (whether by dissolution, merger, acquisition, name change, or in any other manner) since the date of the incident alleged in the Complaint, please identify such Defendant, state the date of the change, and describe the change.

(14) If you were the operator of any motor vehicle involved in the incident that is the subject of this action, please state whether, at the time of the incident, you were operating that vehicle in the course of your employment with any person or legal entity not named as a party to this lawsuit, and, if so, state the full name and address of that person or entity.

(15) If you were the operator of any motor vehicle involved in the incident that is the subject of this action, please state whether you consumed or used any alcoholic beverages, drugs or medications within the eight (8) hours next preceding the time of the incident alleged in the Complaint and, if so, indicate what you consumed or used, how much you consumed, and when.

(16) Please state whether, within eight (8) hours after the incident alleged in the Complaint, any testing was performed to determine the presence of alcohol, drugs or other medications in your blood, and, if so, state:

   (a) the name and address of the hospital, person or entity performing such test or screen;

   (b) the date and time;

   (c) the results.

(17) Please identify surveillance material discoverable under Practice Book Section 13-3 (c), by stating the name and address of any person who obtained or prepared any and all recordings by film, photograph, videotape, audiotape, or any other digital or electronic means, of any party concerning this lawsuit or its subject matter, including any transcript thereof which are in your possession or control or in the possession or control of your attorney, and state the date on which each such recordings were obtained and the person or persons of whom each such recording was made.

PLAINTIFF,

BY__________________________
I, __________, hereby certify that I have reviewed the above interrogatories and responses thereto and that they are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

__________________________
(Defendant)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this __________ day of __________, 20__.

__________________________
Notary Public/ Commissioner of the Superior Court

CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) _______ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.

Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to*

*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to:

Signed (Signature of filer) Print or type name of person signing Date Signed

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
Form 202

Defendant’s Interrogatories

No. CV- : SUPERIOR COURT
(Plaintiff) : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF
VS. : AT
( Defendant) : (Date)

The undersigned, on behalf of the Defendant, hereby propounds the following interrogatories to be answered by the Plaintiff, ______________, under oath, within thirty (30) days of the filing hereof in compliance with Practice Book Section 13-2.

Definition: “You” shall mean the Plaintiff to whom these interrogatories are directed except that if suit has been instituted by the representative of the estate of a decedent, ward, or incapable person, “you” shall also refer to the Plaintiff’s decedent, ward or incapable person unless the context of an interrogatory clearly indicates otherwise.

In answering these interrogatories, the Plaintiff(s) is (are) required to provide all information within their knowledge, possession or power. If an interrogatory has subparts, answer each subpart separately and in full and do not limit the answer to the interrogatory as a whole. If any interrogatories cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

(1) State the following:
   (a) your full name and any other name(s) by which you have been known;
   (b) your date of birth;
   (c) your motor vehicle operator’s license number;
   (d) your home address;
   (e) your business address;
   (f) if you were not the owner of the subject vehicle, the name and address of the owner or lessor of the subject vehicle on the date of the alleged occurrence.

(2) Identify and list each injury you claim to have sustained as a result of the incidents alleged in the Complaint.

(3) When, where and from whom did you first receive treatment for said injuries?

(4) If you were treated at a hospital for injuries sustained in the alleged incident, state the name and location of each hospital and the dates of such treatment and confinement therein.

(5) State the name and address of each physician, therapist or other source of treatment for the conditions or injuries you sustained as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint.

(6) When and from whom did you last receive any medical attention for injuries alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint?

(7) On what date were you fully recovered from the injuries or conditions alleged in your Complaint?

(8) If you claim you are not fully recovered, state precisely from what injuries or conditions you are presently suffering?
(9) Are you presently under the care of any doctor or other health care provider for the treatment of injuries alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint?

(10) If the answer to Interrogatory #9 is in the affirmative, state the name and address of each physician or other health care provider who is treating you.

(11) Do you claim any present disability resulting from injuries or conditions allegedly sustained as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint?

(12) If so, state the nature of the disability claimed.

(13) Do you claim any permanent disability resulting from said incident?

(14) If the answer to Interrogatory #13 is in the affirmative, please answer the following:

(a) list the parts of your body which are disabled;

(b) list the motions, activities or use of your body which you have lost or which you are unable to perform;

(c) state the percentage of loss of use claimed as to each part of your body;

(d) state the name and address of the person who made the prognosis for permanent disability and the percentage of loss of use;

(e) list the date for each such prognosis.

(15) If you were or are confined to your home or your bed as a result of injuries or conditions sustained as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint, state the dates you were so confined.

(16) List each medical report received by you or your attorney relating to your alleged injuries or conditions by stating the name and address of the treating doctor or other health care provider, and of any doctor or health care person you anticipate calling as a trial witness, who provided each such report and the date thereof.

(17) List each item of expense which you claim to have incurred as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint, the amount thereof and state the name and address of the person or organization to whom each item has been paid or is payable.

(18) For each item of expense identified in response to Interrogatory #17, if any such expense, or portion thereof, has been paid or reimbursed or is reimbursable by an insurer, state, as to each such item of expense, the name of the insurer that made such payment or reimbursement or that is responsible for such reimbursement.

(19) If, during the ten year period prior to the date of the incident alleged in the Complaint, you were under a doctor’s care for any conditions which were in any way similar or related to those identified and listed in your response to Interrogatory #2, state the nature of said conditions, the dates on which treatment was received, and the name of the doctor or health care provider.

(20) If, during the ten year period prior to the date of the incident alleged in your Complaint, you were involved in any incident in which you received personal injuries similar or related to those identified and listed in your response to Interrogatory #2, please answer the following with respect to each such earlier incident:
(a) on what date and in what manner did you sustain such injuries?

(b) did you make a claim against anyone as a result of said accident?

(c) if so, provide the name and address of the person or persons against whom a claim was made;

(d) if suit was brought, state the name and location of the Court, the return date of the suit, and the
docket number;

(e) state the nature of the injuries received in said accident;

(f) state the name and address of each physician who treated you for said injuries;

(g) state the dates on which you were so treated;

(h) state the nature of the treatment received on each such date;

(i) if you are presently or permanently disabled as a result of said injuries, please state the nature
of such disability, the name and address of each physician who diagnosed said disability and the date
of each such diagnosis.

(21) If you were involved in any incident in which you received personal injuries since the date of
the incident alleged in the Complaint, please answer the following:

(a) on what date and in what manner did you sustain said injuries?

(b) did you make a claim against anyone as a result of said accident?

(c) if so, provide the name and address of the person or persons against whom a claim was made;

(d) if suit was brought, state the name and location of the Court, the return date of the suit, and the
docket number;

(e) state the nature of the injuries received in said accident;

(f) state the name and address of each physician who treated you for said injuries;

(g) state the dates on which you were so treated;

(h) state the nature of the treatment received on each such date;

(i) if you are presently or permanently disabled as a result of said injuries, please state the nature
of such disability, the name and address of each physician who diagnosed said disability and the date
of each such diagnosis.

(22) Please state the name and address of any medical service provider who has rendered an
opinion in writing or through testimony that you have sustained a permanent disability to any body part
other than those listed in response to Interrogatories #13, #14, #20 or #21, and:

(a) list each such part of your body that has been assessed a permanent disability;

(b) state the percentage of loss of use assessed as to each part of your body;
(c) state the date on which each such assessment was made.

(23) If you claim that as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint you were prevented from following your usual occupation, or otherwise lost time from work, please provide the following information:

(a) the name and address of your employer on the date of the incident alleged in the Complaint;

(b) the nature of your occupation and a precise description of your job responsibilities with said employer on the date of the incident alleged in the Complaint;

(c) your average, weekly earnings, salary, or income received from said employment for the year preceding the date of the incident alleged in the Complaint;

(d) the date following the date of the incident alleged in the Complaint on which you resumed the duties of said employment;

(e) what loss of income do you claim as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint and how is said loss computed?

(f) the dates on which you were unable to perform the duties of your occupation and lost time from work as a result of injuries or conditions claimed to have been sustained as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint;

(g) the names and addresses of each employer for whom you worked for three years prior to the date of the incident alleged in your Complaint.

(24) Do you claim an impairment of earning capacity?

(25) List any other expenses or loss and the amount thereof not already set forth and which you claim to have incurred as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint.

(26) If you have signed a covenant not to sue, a release or discharge of any claim you had, have or may have against any person, corporation or other entity as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint, please state in whose favor it was given, the date thereof, and the consideration paid to you for giving it.

(27) If you or anyone on your behalf agreed or made an agreement with any person, corporation or other entity to limit in any way the liability of such person, corporation or other entity as a result of any claim you have or may have as a result of the incident alleged in your Complaint, please state in whose favor it was given, the date thereof, and the consideration paid to you for giving it.

(28) If since the date of the incident alleged in your Complaint, you have made any claims for workers' compensation benefits, state the nature of such claims and the dates on which they were made.

(29) Have you made any statements, as defined in Practice Book Section 13-1, to any person regarding any of the events or happenings alleged in your Complaint?

COMMENT:
This interrogatory is intended to include party statements made to a representative of an insurance company prior to involvement of defense counsel.

(30) State the names and addresses of all persons known to you who were present at the time of the incident alleged in your Complaint or who observed or witnessed all or part of the accident.
(31) As to each individual named in response to Interrogatory #30, state whether to your knowledge, or the knowledge of your attorney, such individual has given any statement or statements as defined in Practice Book Section 13-1 concerning the subject matter of your Complaint or alleged injuries. If your answer to this interrogatory is affirmative, state also:

(a) the date on which such statement or statements were taken;
(b) the names and addresses of the person or persons who took such statement or statements;
(c) the names and addresses of any person or persons present when such statement or statements were taken;
(d) whether such statement or statements were written, made by recording device or taken by court reporter or stenographer;
(e) the names and addresses of any person or persons having custody or a copy or copies of such statement or statements.

(32) Are you aware of any photographs depicting the accident scene, any vehicle involved in the incident alleged in the Complaint, or any condition or injury alleged to have been caused by the incident alleged in the Complaint? If so, for each set of photographs taken of each such subject by each photographer, please state:

(a) the name and address of the photographer, other than an expert who will not testify at trial;
(b) the dates on which such photographs were taken;
(c) the subject (e.g., “Plaintiff's vehicle,” “scene,” etc.);
(d) the number of photographs.

(33) If you were the operator of any motor vehicle involved in the incident that is the subject of this action, please state whether you consumed or used any alcoholic beverages, drugs or medications within the eight (8) hours next preceding the time of the incident alleged in the Complaint and, if so, indicate what you consumed or used, how much you consumed, and when.

(34) Please state whether, within eight (8) hours after the incident alleged in the Complaint, any testing was performed to determine the presence of alcohol, drugs or other medications in your blood, and, if so, state:

(a) the name and address of the hospital, person or entity performing such test or screen;
(b) the date and time;
(c) the results.

(35) Please identify surveillance material discoverable under Practice Book Section 13-3 (c), by stating the name and address of any person who obtained or prepared any and all recordings, by film, photograph, videotape, audiotape or any other digital or electronic means, of any party concerning this lawsuit or its subject matter, including any transcript thereof which are in your possession or control or in the possession or control of your attorney, and state the date on which each such recordings were obtained and the person or persons of whom each such recording was made.
COMMENT:

The following two interrogatories are intended to identify situations in which a Plaintiff has applied for and received workers’ compensation benefits. If compensation benefits were paid, then the supplemental interrogatories and requests for production may be served on the Plaintiff without leave of the court if the compensation carrier does not intervene in the action.

(36) Did you make a claim for workers’ compensation benefits as a result of the incident/occurrence alleged in the Complaint?

(37) Did you receive workers’ compensation benefits as a result of the incident/occurrence alleged in the Complaint?

DEFENDANT,

BY ____________________________

I, ____________________, hereby certify that I have reviewed the above interrogatories and responses thereto and that they are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

(Plaintiff)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this __________ day of __________, 20__.

Notary Public/ Commissioner of the Superior Court

CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) ________ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.

Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to

Signed (Signature of filer) Print or type name of person signing Date Signed

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
The undersigned, on behalf of the Plaintiff, hereby propounds the following interrogatories to be answered by the Defendant, ______________________, under oath, within thirty (30) days of the filing hereof in compliance with Practice Book Section 13-2.

In answering these interrogatories, the Defendant(s) is (are) required to provide all information within their knowledge, possession or power. If an interrogatory has subparts, answer each subpart separately and in full and do not limit the answer to the interrogatory as a whole. If any interrogatories cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

(1) Identify the person(s) who, at the time of the Plaintiff's alleged injury, owned the premises where the Plaintiff claims to have been injured.

(a) If the owner is a natural person, please state:
   (i) your name and any other name by which you have been known;
   (ii) your date of birth;
   (iii) your home address;
   (iv) your business address.

(b) If the owner is not a natural person, please state:
   (i) your name and any other name by which you have been known;
   (ii) your business address;
   (iii) the nature of your business entity (corporation, partnership, etc.);
   (iv) whether you are registered to do business in Connecticut;
   (v) the name of the manager of the property, if applicable.

(2) Identify the person(s) who, at the time of the Plaintiff's alleged injury, had a possessory interest (e.g., tenants) in the premises where the Plaintiff claims to have been injured.

(3) Identify the person(s) responsible for the maintenance and inspection of the premises at the time and place where the Plaintiff claims to have been injured.

(4) State whether you had in effect at the time of the Plaintiff's injuries any written policies or procedures that relate to the kind of conduct or condition the Plaintiff alleges caused the injury.

(5) State whether it is your business practice to prepare, or to obtain from your employees, a written report of the circumstances surrounding injuries sustained by persons on the subject premises.
(6) State whether any written report of the incident described in the Complaint was prepared by you or your employees in the regular course of business.

(7) State whether any warnings or caution signs or barriers were erected at or near the scene of the incident at the time the Plaintiff claims to have been injured.

(8) If the answer to the previous interrogatory is in the affirmative, please state:
   (a) the name, address and employer of the person who erected the warning or caution signs or barriers;
   (b) the name, address and employer who instructed the person to erect the warning or caution signs or barriers;
   (c) the time and date a sign or barrier was erected;
   (d) the size of the sign or barrier and wording that appeared thereon.

(9) State whether you received, at any time within twenty-four (24) months before the incident described by the Plaintiff, complaints from anyone about the defect or condition that the Plaintiff claims caused the Plaintiff's injury.

(10) If the answer to the previous interrogatory is in the affirmative, please state:
    (a) the name and address of the person who made the complaint;
    (b) the name, address and person to whom said complaint was made;
    (c) whether the complaint was in writing;
    (d) the nature of the complaint.

(11) Please identify surveillance material discoverable under Practice Book Section 13-3 (c), by stating the name and address of any person who obtained or prepared any and all recordings, by film, photograph, videotape, audiotape or any other digital or electronic means, of any party concerning this lawsuit or its subject matter, including any transcript thereof which are in your possession or control or in the possession or control of your attorney, and state the date on which each such recordings were obtained and the person or persons of whom each such recording was made.

(12)–(23) (Interrogatories #1 (a) through (e), #2 through #9, #12, #13 and #16 of Form 201 may be used to complete this standard set of interrogatories.)

PLAINTIFF,

BY __________________________________________
CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) ______ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.

Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to*

*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to.

Signed (Signature of filer) Print or type name of person signing Date Signed

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
Plaintiff’s Requests for Production

No. CV- : SUPERIOR COURT
(Plaintiff) : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF
VS. : AT
(Defendant) : (Date)

The Plaintiff(s) hereby request(s) that the Defendant provide counsel for the Plaintiff(s) with copies of the documents described in the following requests for production, or afford counsel for said Plaintiff(s) the opportunity or, if necessary, sufficient written authorization, to inspect, copy, photograph or otherwise reproduce said documents. The production of such documents, copies or written authorization shall take place at the offices of ____________ on ______ (day), ______ (date) at ______ (time).

In answering these production requests, the Plaintiff(s) are required to provide all information within their possession, custody or control. If any production request cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

Definition: “You” shall mean the Defendant to whom these interrogatories are directed except that if that Defendant has been sued as the representative of the estate of a decedent, ward, or incapable person, “you” shall also refer to the Defendant’s decedent, ward or incapable person unless the context of an interrogatory clearly indicates otherwise.

(1) A copy of the appraisal or bill for repairs as identified in response to Interrogatory #11.
(2) A copy of declaration page(s) of each insurance policy identified in response to Interrogatory #7 and/or #8.
(3) If the answer to Interrogatory #9 is in the affirmative, a copy of the complete policy contents of each insurance policy identified in response to Interrogatory #7 and/or #8.
(4) A copy of any photographs identified in response to Interrogatory #6.
(5) A copy of any nonprivileged statement, as defined in Practice Book Section 13-1, of any party in this lawsuit concerning this action or its subject matter.
(6) A copy of all lease agreements pertaining to any motor vehicle involved in the incident which is the subject of this action, which was owned or operated by you or your employee, and all documents referenced or incorporated therein.
(7) A copy of all records of blood alcohol testing or drug screens referred to in answer to Interrogatory #16, or a signed authorization, sufficient to comply with the provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) or those of the Public Health Service Act, whichever is applicable, to obtain the same for each hospital, person or entity that performed such test or screen. Information obtained pursuant to the provisions of HIPAA or the Public Health Service Act shall not be used or disclosed by the parties for any purpose other than the litigation or proceeding for which such information is requested.
(8) A copy of each and every recording of surveillance material discoverable under Practice Book Section 13-3 (c), by film, photograph, videotape, audiotape or any other digital or electronic means, of any party to this lawsuit concerning this lawsuit or the subject matter thereof, including any transcript of such recording.

PLAINTIFF,

BY ____________________________________
CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) ______ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.

Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to*

*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to.

Signed (Signature of filer) Print or type name of person signing Date Signed

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
The Defendant(s) hereby request(s) that the Plaintiff provide counsel for the Defendant(s) with copies of the documents described in the following requests for production, or afford counsel for said Defendant(s) the opportunity or, where requested, sufficient written authorization, to inspect, copy, photograph or otherwise reproduce said documents. The production of such documents, copies or written authorizations shall take place at the offices of __________________________ not later than thirty (30) days after the service of the Requests for Production.

In answering these production requests, the Plaintiff(s) are required to provide all information within their possession, custody or control. If any production request cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

(1) All hospital records relating to treatment received as a result of the alleged incident, and to injuries, diseases or defects to which reference is made in the answers to Interrogatories #19, #20, #21 and #22, or written authorization, sufficient to comply with the provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), to inspect and make copies of said hospital records. Information obtained pursuant to the provisions of HIPAA shall not be used or disclosed by the parties for any purpose other than the litigation or proceeding for which such information is requested.

(2) All reports and records of all doctors and all other care providers relating to treatment allegedly received by the Plaintiff(s) as a result of the alleged incident, and to the injuries, diseases or defects to which reference is made in the answers to Interrogatories #19, #20, #21 and #22 (exclusive of any records prepared or maintained by a licensed psychiatrist or psychologist) or written authorization, sufficient to comply with provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, to inspect and make copies of said reports. Information obtained pursuant to the provisions of HIPAA shall not be used or disclosed by the parties for any purpose other than the litigation or proceeding for which such information is requested.

(3) If a claim for lost wages or lost earning capacity is being made, copies of, or sufficient written authorization to inspect and make copies of, the wage and employment records of all employers of the Plaintiff(s) for three (3) years prior to the date of the incident and for all years subsequent to the date of the incident to and including the date hereof.

(4) If a claim of impaired earning capacity or lost wages is being alleged, provide copies of, or sufficient written authorization to obtain copies of, that part of all income tax returns relating to lost income filed by the Plaintiff(s) for a period of three (3) years prior to the date of the incident and for all years subsequent to the date of the incident through the time of trial.

(5) All property damage bills that are claimed to have been incurred as a result of this incident.

(6) All medical bills that are claimed to have been incurred as a result of this incident or written authorization, sufficient to comply with the provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, to inspect and make copies of said medical bills. Information obtained pursuant to the provisions of HIPAA shall not be used or disclosed by the parties for any purpose other than the litigation or proceeding for which such information is requested.
(7) All bills for each item of expense that is claimed to have been incurred in the answer to Interrogatory #18, and not already provided in response ¶5 and ¶6 above.

(8) Copies of all documentation of claims of right to reimbursement provided to the Plaintiff by third party payors, and copies of, or written authorization, sufficient to comply with provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, to obtain any and all documentation of payments made by a third party for medical services received or premiums paid to obtain such payment. Information obtained pursuant to the provisions of HIPAA shall not be used or disclosed by the parties for any purpose other than the litigation or proceeding for which such information is requested.

(9) All documents identified or referred to in the answers to Interrogatory #26.

(10) A copy of any nonprivileged statement, as defined in Practice Book Section 13-1, of any party in this lawsuit concerning this action or its subject matter.

(11) Any and all photographs identified in response to Interrogatory #32.

(12) A copy of all records of blood alcohol testing or drug screens referred to in answer to Interrogatory #35, or a signed authorization, sufficient to comply with the provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act or those of the Public Health Service Act, whichever is applicable, to obtain the same. Information obtained pursuant to the provisions of HIPAA or the Public Health Service Act shall not be used or disclosed by the parties for any purpose other than the litigation or proceeding for which such information is requested.

(13) A copy of each and every recording of surveillance material discoverable under Practice Book Section 13-3 (c), by film, photograph, videotape, audiotape or any other digital or electronic means, of any party to this lawsuit concerning this lawsuit or the subject matter thereof, including any transcript of such recording.

DEFENDANT,

BY ____________________________

CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) ________ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.

Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to*

*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to.
COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
Form 206

Plaintiff’s Requests for Production Premises Liability

No. CV- : SUPERIOR COURT
       (Plaintiff) : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF
VS. : AT
       (Defendant) : (Date)

The Plaintiff hereby requests that the Defendant provide counsel for the Plaintiff with copies of the documents described in the following requests for production, or afford counsel for said Plaintiff the opportunity or, if necessary, sufficient written authorization, to inspect, copy, photograph or otherwise reproduce said documents. The production of such documents, copies or written authorization shall take place at the offices of _____________ on ______ (day), ______ (date) at ______ (time).

In answering these production requests, the Defendant(s) are required to provide all information within their possession, custody or control. If any production request cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

1. A copy of the policies or procedures identified in response to Interrogatory #4.

2. A copy of the report identified in response to Interrogatory #6.

3. A copy of any written complaints identified in Interrogatory #10.

4. A copy of declaration page(s) evidencing the insurance policy or policies identified in response to Interrogatories numbered ______ and ______.

5. A copy of any nonprivileged statement, as defined in Practice Book Section 13-1, of any party in this lawsuit concerning this action or its subject matter.

6. A copy of each and every recording of surveillance material discoverable under Practice Book Section 13-3 (c), by film, photograph, videotape, audiotape or any other digital or electronic means, of any party to this lawsuit concerning this lawsuit or the subject matter thereof, including any transcript of such recording.

PLAINTIFF,

BY __________________________________________
CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) ________ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.

Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to*

*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to.

Signed (Signature of filer)                   Print or type name of person signing                   Date Signed

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
Interrogatories—Actions to Establish, Enforce or Modify Child Support Orders

The undersigned, on behalf of the Plaintiff/Defendant, propounds the following interrogatories to be answered by the Defendant/Plaintiff within thirty (30) days of the filing hereof.

1. For your present residence:
   (a) What is the address?
   (b) What type of property is it (apartment, condominium, single-family home)?
   (c) Who is the owner of the property?
   (d) What is your relationship to the owner (landlord, parents, spouse)?
   (e) When did you start living at this residence?

2. List the names of all the adults that live with you.
   (a) For each adult you live with, what is your relationship to them (spouse, sibling, roommate, parent, girlfriend or boyfriend)?
   (b) For each adult you live with, what is their financial contribution to the household (who pays the rent, who pays the utilities, who buys the groceries)?

3. Give the name and address of your employer.
   (a) Are you employed full-time or part-time? Are you self-employed? If you are self-employed, do not answer (b) through (h) and go directly to Interrogatory #4.
   (b) Are you paid a salary, on an hourly basis, or do you work on commission or tips?
   (c) What is your income per week?
   (d) How many hours per week do you usually work?
   (e) Is overtime available, and if it is, how many hours per week do you work overtime and what are you paid?
   (f) Do you, or have you, ever received bonus income from your employment and what is the basis for the bonus?
   (g) Does your employer deduct federal and state taxes and Medicare from your wages or are you responsible for filing your own deductions? If you file, provide a copy of your most recent tax returns.
(h) Do you have a second source of employment? If so, please provide the same information as requested in (a) through (g).

(4) If you are self-employed:

(a) Are you part of a partnership, corporation or LLC, and if you are, give the name of the business and your role in it?

(b) Name the other people involved in your business and their roles.

(c) Does the business file taxes (if so, bring copies of the last two tax returns filed to your next court date)?

(d) Describe the work you do.

(e) How many hours per week do you work, on average?

(f) How much do you typically earn per hour?

(g) List your business expenses, and what they cost per week.

(h) State how you are typically paid (check or cash).

(i) Name the five people or companies you did most of your work for in the last year.

(j) If you have a business account, what bank is it at (bring copies of the last six months of bank statements to your next court date)?

(k) Do you work alone or do you employ anyone and pay them wages? If you employ anyone, please identify them, their relationship to you, if any, and the amount you pay them.

(l) How do you keep your payment and expense records? Do you employ an accountant, and if so, please give the name and address of the accountant responsible for your records?

(5) Except for your current job, list all the places you have worked for the last three years. For each place, list the address, the type of work you did, the dates you worked there and how much you were paid at each job.

(6) If you cannot work because of a disability, what is the nature of your disability?

(a) What is the date you became disabled?

(b) Is this disability permanent or temporary?

(c) If a doctor has told you that you cannot work, what is the name of the doctor and his or her office (bring a note from this doctor stating that you cannot work to your next court date)?

(d) If a doctor has told you that you cannot work, did he or she say you cannot work full-time or part-time?

(e) If you have a partial or permanent disability, please provide the percentage rating.
(f) Is your disability the result of an automobile accident, an accident at work, an accident at home or otherwise? Please give the date and details of the incident and whether you have filed a lawsuit or workers’ compensation claim as a result.

(g) Have you had any children since the incident? If so, list their dates of birth.

(7) Have you applied for Social Security Disability (SSD) or Supplemental Security Income (SSI)?

(a) If you did, when did you apply and where are you in the application process?

(b) Have you been told if or when you will receive benefits? If so, who told you and what is the date they gave you?

(c) If your application for SSD and/or SSI has been denied, did you appeal? If you appealed, what is the status of the appeal and what lawyer, if any, represents you?

(d) Have you applied for or are you receiving state assistance?

(e) Are you a recipient of the state supplement program, medical assistance program, temporary family assistance program, state-administered general assistance program (SAGA medical or cash)? If so, state the source of the benefit, the effective date of the benefit and the date when your eligibility for benefits will be redetermined by the department of social services.

(8) Do you have any lawsuits pending?

(a) If you do, what type of case is it?

(b) Give the name, address, e-mail address and phone number of the lawyer handling the case for you.

(c) What amount do you expect to recover and when do you expect to receive it?

(d) If you have already settled the case, please provide a copy of the settlement statement.

(9) Do you expect to inherit any money or property in the next six months?

(a) If you do, who do you expect to inherit from and where do they or where did they live?

(b) What do you expect to inherit, what is its value and when do you expect to inherit it?

(c) What is the name and address of the person or lawyer handling the estate and where is the probate court in which the action is filed?

(10) Is anyone holding any money for you? If so, name the person, their relationship to you, their address and the amount of money they are holding.

(11) Do you own any rental properties, by yourself, with someone else or in trust? If the answer is yes:

(a) Is the property residential or commercial?

(b) Please identify the location of the property or properties, include the address and identify your ownership interest.

(c) Do you derive any income from the property? Do you calculate your net income from the property on a weekly, monthly or yearly basis?
(d) What are your expenses relating to the property or properties? Please state the amount of your mortgage payment, if any, and the amount of your taxes, insurance and utility payments, if any, and your method of payment of these expenses.

(e) Did you have to apply for a loan to finance any part of the real property or to finance the purchase of any personal property? If so, identify the item, state the amount of the loan and give a copy of the loan application.

(12) Are you the beneficiary or settlor of a trust?

(a) If so, please identify the trust, the type of trust, the date of the creation of the trust, the name and address of the trustee and how the trust is funded.

(b) How often do you receive a distribution from the trust and from whom and in what amounts are the distributions?

BY______________________________

I, _______________, certify that I have reviewed the interrogatories set out above and the responses to those interrogatories and they are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

______________________________

Subscribed and sworn to before me this___________ day of __________, 20__.

______________________________
Notary Public/
Commissioner of the Superior Court

CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) ________ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.
Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to

*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to.

Signed (Signature of filer)  Print or type name of person signing  Date Signed

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
The undersigned, on behalf of the Defendant, hereby propounds the following interrogatories to be answered by the Plaintiff, ________________, under oath, within thirty (30) days of the filing hereof insofar as the disclosure sought will be of assistance in the defense of this action and can be provided by the Plaintiff with substantially greater facility than could otherwise be obtained.

Definition: “You” shall mean the Plaintiff to whom these interrogatories are directed except that if suit has been instituted by the representative of the estate of a decedent, ward, or incapable person, “you” shall also refer to the Plaintiff’s decedent, ward or incapable person unless the context of an interrogatory clearly indicates otherwise.

In answering these interrogatories, the Plaintiff(s), is (are) required to provide all information within their knowledge, possession or power. If an interrogatory has subparts, answer each subpart separately and in full, and do not limit the answer to the interrogatory as a whole. If any interrogatories cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

(1) State your full name, home address, and business address.

(2) State the workers' compensation claim number and the date of injury of each workers' compensation claim that you have filed as a result of the incident/occurrence alleged in the complaint.

(3) State the total amount paid on your behalf on each of the claims filed as a result of the incident/occurrence alleged in the complaint and referred to in Interrogatory #2, and if known, specify the amount of medical benefits, loss of income benefits, and specific award benefits, and if unknown, provide an authorization for the same.

(4) Identify any First Report of Injury, Notice of Claim for Compensation, Notice of Intention to Reduce or Discontinue Benefits, Notice to Compensation Commissioner and Employee of Intention to Contest Employee's Right to Compensation Benefits, and any reports of medical exams requested by the commissioner, respondent and/or employer arising out of the incident/occurrence alleged in the Complaint.

(5) Identify any voluntary agreements, approved stipulations to date, approved full and final stipulations and findings and awards, and findings and denials arising out of the incident/occurrence alleged in the Complaint and which formed the basis for your answer to Interrogatory #3.

(6) Which of your claims arising out of the incident/occurrence alleged in the Complaint and referenced in your answer to Interrogatory #2 are still open?

COMMENT:

These supplemental interrogatories are specifically directed at eliciting information about any workers' compensation claims, benefits and agreements. Unless the compensation carrier is a party to the action, it can be difficult to obtain this information. Often the Plaintiff's lawyers do not represent the client in the workers' compensation case, and although this information is available in the workers' compensation file, providing these records to lawyers not involved in the compensation case could be
time-consuming for the workers' compensation office staff. If compensation benefits were paid, these supplemental interrogatories may be served on the Plaintiff without leave of the court if there is no Intervening Plaintiff in the action.

DEFENDANT,

BY ____________________________

I, ______________, hereby certify that I have reviewed the above interrogatories and responses thereto and that they are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

______________________________
(Plaintiff)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this __________ day of __________, 20__.  

______________________________
Notary Public/ 
Commissioner of the Superior Court

CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) ______ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.

Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to*
*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signed (Signature of filer)</th>
<th>Print or type name of person signing</th>
<th>Date Signed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
The Defendant(s) hereby request(s) that the Plaintiff provide counsel for the Defendant(s) with copies of the documents described in the following requests for production, or afford counsel for said Defendant(s) the opportunity or, where requested, sufficient written authorization, to inspect, copy, photograph or otherwise reproduce said documents. The production of such documents, copies or written authorizations shall take place at the offices of ___________ not later than thirty (30) days after the service of the Requests for Production.

In answering these production requests, the Plaintiff(s) are required to provide all information within their possession, custody or control. If any production request cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

(1) Produce a copy of the First Report of Injury (Form FRI), Notice of Claim for Compensation (Form 30C), Notice of Intention to Reduce or Discontinue Benefits (Form 36), and Notice to Compensation Commissioner and Employee of Intention to Contest Employee’s Right to Compensation Benefits (Form 43).

(2) Produce a copy of all of the approved voluntary agreements, approved stipulations to date, approved full and final stipulations, findings and awards, and findings and denials that relate to one or more of the claims referenced in your answer to Interrogatory #2 on Form 208.

(3) Produce a copy of all reports of medical exams requested by the commissioner, respondent and/or employer that were prepared concerning any of the claims referenced in your answer to Interrogatory #2 on Form 208.

(4) If you are unable to specify the amount of medical benefits, loss of income benefits, and specific award benefits paid on your behalf, provide an authorization for the same.

COMMENT:

These supplemental requests for production are specifically directed at eliciting information about any workers' compensation claims, benefits and agreements. Unless the compensation carrier is a party to the action, it can be difficult to obtain this information. Often the Plaintiff's lawyers do not represent the client in the workers' compensation case, and although this information is available in the workers' compensation file, providing these records to lawyers not involved in the compensation case could be time-consuming for the workers' compensation office staff. If compensation benefits were paid, these supplemental requests for production may be served on the Plaintiff without leave of the court if there is no Intervening Plaintiff in the action.

DEFENDANT,

BY______________________

CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) ______ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.
Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to*

*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to.

Signed (Signature of filer)  Print or type name of person signing  Date Signed

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
Form 210

Defendant's Interrogatories
Workers' Compensation Benefits—Intervening Plaintiff

No. CV- (Plaintiff) : SUPERIOR COURT
VS. : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF
(Defendant) : AT

: (Date)

The undersigned, on behalf of the Defendant, hereby propounds the following interrogatories to be answered by the Intervening Plaintiff, ________________under oath, within thirty (30) days of the filing hereof insofar as the disclosure sought will be of assistance in the defense of this action and can be provided by the Intervening Plaintiff with substantially greater facility than could otherwise be obtained.

Definition: “You” shall mean the Intervening Plaintiff to whom these interrogatories are directed except that if suit has been instituted by the representative of the estate of a decedent, ward, or incapable person, “you” shall also refer to the Intervening Plaintiff’s decedent, ward or incapable person unless the context of an interrogatory clearly indicates otherwise.

In answering these interrogatories, the Plaintiff(s) is (are) required to provide all information within their knowledge, possession or power. If an interrogatory has subparts, answer each subpart separately and in full, and do not limit the answer to the interrogatory as a whole. If any interrogatories cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

(1) State the name, business address, business telephone number, business e-mail address and relationship to the workers' compensation lien holder of the person answering these interrogatories.

(2) State the workers' compensation claim number and the date of injury of each workers' compensation claim that gave rise to the lien asserted by the workers' compensation lien holder.

(3) State the total amount paid on each claim referenced in the answer to Interrogatory #2, specifying the amount of medical benefits, loss of income benefits, and specific award benefits paid.

(4) Identify any First Report of Injury, Notice of Claim for Compensation, Notice of Intention to Reduce or Discontinue Benefits, Notice to Compensation Commissioner and Employee of Intention to Contest Employee's Right to Compensation Benefits, and any reports of medical exams requested by the commissioner, respondents and/or employer arising out of the incident/occurrence alleged in the Complaint.

(5) Identify any voluntary agreements, approved stipulations to date, approved full and final stipulations and findings and awards, and findings and denials.

(6) Identify the claims referenced in your answer to Interrogatory #2 that are still open.

COMMENT:

These standard interrogatories are intended to tailor the discovery from the intervening compensation carrier to the limited role and limited material information in the workers' compensation lien holder's file. The existing standard interrogatories directed to the Plaintiffs place an unnecessary burden on the parties, result in discovery disputes, and require the compensation carrier to produce information and documentation, in many instances, that is duplicative of the responses engendered by the same interrogatories served upon the Plaintiff in the case.

DEFENDANT,

BY________________________
I, __________, hereby certify that I have reviewed the above interrogatories and responses thereto and that they are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

__________________________
(Plaintiff)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ____________ day of ____________, 20___.

__________________________
Notary Public/
Commissioner of the Superior Court

CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) _______ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.

Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to*

*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to.

Signed (Signature of filer)          Print or type name of person signing          Date Signed

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
Form 211

Defendant's Requests for Production
Workers’ Compensation Benefits—Intervening Plaintiff

No. CV- : SUPERIOR COURT
              (Plaintiff)
VS. : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF
       (Defendant)
             : AT
             (Date)

The Defendant(s) hereby request(s) that the Intervening Plaintiff provide counsel for the Defendant(s) with copies of the documents described in the following requests for production, or afford counsel for said Defendant(s) the opportunity or, where requested, sufficient written authorization, to inspect, copy, photograph or otherwise reproduce said documents. The production of such documents, copies or written authorizations shall take place at the offices of ______________ not later than thirty (30) days after the service of the Requests for Production.

In answering these production requests, the Plaintiff(s) are required to provide all information within their possession, custody or control. If any production request cannot be answered in full, answer to the extent possible.

(1) Produce a copy of the First Report of Injury (Form FRI), Notice of Claim for Compensation (Form 30C), Notice of Intention to Reduce or Discontinue Benefits (Form 36), and Notice to Compensation Commissioner and Employee of Intention to Contest Employee's Right to Compensation Benefits (Form 43).

(2) Produce a copy of all of the approved voluntary agreements, approved stipulations to date, approved full and final stipulations, findings and awards, and findings and denials that relate to one or more of the claims referenced in your answer to Interrogatory #2 on Form 210.

(3) Produce a copy of all reports of medical exams requested by the commissioner, respondent and/or employer that were prepared concerning any of the claims referenced in your answer to Interrogatory #2 on Form 210.

(4) Produce a copy of your workers’ compensation lien calculations.

COMMENT:

These standard requests for production are intended to tailor the discovery from the intervening compensation carrier to the limited role and limited material information in the workers' compensation lien holder's file. The existing standard requests for production directed to the Plaintiffs place an unnecessary burden on the parties, result in discovery disputes, and require the compensation carrier to produce information and documentation, in many instances, that is duplicative of the responses engendered by the same requests for production served upon the Plaintiff in the case.

DEFENDANT,

BY __________________________
CERTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of this document was or will immediately be mailed or delivered electronically or non-electronically on (date) _______ to all attorneys and self-represented parties of record and to all parties who have not appeared in this matter and that written consent for electronic delivery was received from all attorneys and self-represented parties receiving electronic delivery.

Name and address of each party and attorney that copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to*

*If necessary, attach additional sheet or sheets with the name and address which the copy was or will immediately be mailed or delivered to.

Signed (Signature of filer) Print or type name of person signing Date Signed

Mailing address (Number, street, town, state and zip code) or Email address, if applicable

Telephone number

COMMENTARY: The changes to this form comply with the changes made to Section 10-14 to clarify the process of certifying proof of service.
Sec. 4-1. Form of Pleading

(a) All documents filed in paper format shall be typed or printed on size 81/2 by 11 inch paper and shall have no back or cover sheet, and shall include a page number on each page. Those subsequent to the complaint shall be headed with the title and number of the case, the name of the court, and the date and designation of the particular pleading, in conformity with the applicable form in the rules of practice which is set forth in the Appendix of Forms in this volume.

(b) At the bottom of the first page of each paper, a blank space of approximately two inches shall be reserved for notations of receipt or time of filing by the clerk and for statements by counsel pursuant to Section 11-18 (a) (2). Papers shall be punched with two holes, two and twelve-sixteenths inches apart, each centered seven-sixteenths of an inch from the upper edge, one being two and fourteen-sixteenths inches from the lefthand edge and the other being the same distance from the right-hand edge, and each four-sixteenths of an inch in diameter.

(c) All documents filed electronically shall be in substantially the same format as required by subsection (a) of this section.

(d) The clerk may require a party to correct any filed paper which is not in compliance with this section by substituting a paper in proper form.

(e) This section shall not apply to forms supplied by the judicial branch.

COMMENTARY: The revision to this section will facilitate organization of, and ease of reference to, the documents in question. The requirement to include a page number on each page of a document does not apply to exhibits.
Sec. 11-1. Form of Motion and Request

Every motion, request, application or objection directed to pleading or procedure, unless relating to procedure in the course of a trial, shall be in writing. A motion to extend time to plead, respond to written discovery, object to written discovery, or respond to requests for admissions shall state the date through which the moving party is seeking the extension.

(a) For civil matters, with the exception of housing, family and small claims matters, when any motion, application or objection is filed either electronically or on paper, no order page should be filed unless an order of notice and citation is necessary.

(b) For family, juvenile, housing and small claims matters, when any motion, application or objection is filed in paper format, an order shall be annexed to the filing until such cases are incorporated into the Judicial Branch’s electronic filing system. Once these case types are incorporated into such electronic filing system, no order page should be filed unless an order of notice and citation is necessary.

(c) Whether filed under subsection (a) or (b), such motion, request, application or objection shall be served on all parties as provided in Sections 10-12 through 10-17 and, when filed, the fact of such service shall be endorsed thereon. Any such motion, request, application or objection, as well as any supporting brief or memorandum, shall include a page number on each page.

COMMENTARY: The revision to this section will facilitate organization of, and ease of reference to, the documents in question.